# Trends in Buddhist Studies Amongst Western Scholars

1980-1999

VOL. 6

Compiled by Michael S. Drummond

### Margaret Cone

endeavours, I shall not easily regret an attempt which has procured me the honour of appearing thus publickly ... [the Pali Text Society's] most obedient and most humble servant.

Cambridge

Margaret Cone

# Chips from Buddhist Workshops Scribes and Manuscripts from Northern Thailand<sup>1</sup>

At the 4th International Conference on Thai Studies in Kunming in 1990 some colophons of old Län2-nä Päli manuscripts were discussed in a rather general and preliminary way2. This survey can and will be supplemented now by more detailed and new information gathered in the meantime first of all while working on a catalogue of the collection of Pāli manuscripts kept at Vat Lai Hin near Lampang. This collection is among the most remarkable ones by any standard anywhere in respect of both age and quality of the manuscripts, the oldest dated of which was copied in CS 833 : A.D. 1471. Today about 140 Pāli manuscripts are found in this collection, and quite a few fragments or single folios are sad witnesses of the former existence of many, sometimes fairly old, manuscripts, for originally this collection must have been substantially larger and richer. This is not only proved by these fragments, but also by those manuscripts which have found their way from Vat Lai Hin into other libraries under unknown circumstances. Some are with the Siam Society, Bangkok3, and at least one is today in the National Library, Bangkok: no. 303/5, tū2 129, ja 82/5: Samantapāsādikā, fasc. 5, which is one of the missing fascicles of Siam Society no. 54, as proved by identical measures and identical colophons. Otherwise the holdings of older northern Päli manuscripts in the National Library are negligible as

Journal of the Pali Text Society, Vol. XXII, 1996, pp.35-57

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Manuscripts are quoted either in referring to the forthcoming catalogue: Die Päli Handschriften des Klosters Lai Hin bei Lampang/Thailand" or to the microfilms of the "Preservation of Northern Thai Manuscripts Project" (PNTMP). – The letter ø is used for the "o ang".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> O. v.Hinüber: On some colophons of old Lännä Päli manuscripts, in: Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Thai Studies. 11-13th May 1990. Kunming 1990, Vol. IV, p.56-77.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Cf. O. v.Hinüber: The Päli manuscripts kept at the Siam Society, Bangkok. A Short Catalogue. JSS 75.1987, p.9-74.

36

#### Oskar von Hinüber

216

far as the catalogue is to be trusted. Furthermore, some of the manuscripts formerly preserved at the National Museum, Chiang Mai, and now transferred partly to the National Archives, partly to the National Library, both in Chiang Mai, seem to have belonged to Vat Lai Hin once.

Equally obscure as the reasons for the dispersion of part of this collection are the time and the circumstances under which it was brought together in this rather unassuming, though old, monastery. Hardly anything is known about its history. Fortunately, there is an inscription on one of the beams supporting the roof of the *vhära*, which gives the year CS 1045 : A.D. 1683 as the date of the construction or reconstruction of this building. Furthermore, the person who directed the (re-)construction is mentioned by name. For the *mūla-pradhān* "initiator" (?) was Mahā Kesārapañā Cau together with his *siyaimstiya*.

The name Kesärapañña is well known also from the colophons of 11 manuscripts preserved at Vat Lai Hin which have been copied or donated by Bra Mahä Kesärapañña Selärañhaväsi Bhikkhu between CS 1052 : A.D. 1690 and CS 1083 : A.D. 1721. As Kesärapañña is a selärañhaväsi "resident of the Stone Forest (Monastery)", which is the Päli name for Vat Lai Hint<sup>\*</sup>, there is hardly any room for doubt that he is the person mentioned in the inscription, while a Cau Khanān ('former monk") Kesära of CS 1026 : A.D. 1674, and a Kesärapañña mentioned in the colophon of no. 69: Jätaka (Ekanipäta), about A.D. 1500 are obviously different people.

# Chips from Buddhist Workshops

Kesärapañña seems to have been a keen student of Buddhist texts, though not necessarily in Päli, for all the 11 manuscripts copied or sponsored by him are whôray, "explicative translations".<sup>3</sup> Therefore it is tempting to think of him as the collector of the older Päli manuscripts dating from the late 15th to the early 17th centuries. However that may be, during Kesärapañña's time Vat Lai Hin seems to have enjoyed a certain reputation for the manuscripts it possessed. For a certain Närada Bhikkhu, who copied a vohāra on the Buddha-apadāna (Ap 1,7-6,16) in CS 1077: A.D. 1715, that is during Kesärapañña's later years, explicitly states that he did so while residing at Vat Lai Him: meiaa yū vas pä<sup>1</sup> käy hm, PNTMP 02-020-01. Today this manuscript is in the possession of Vat Kittivong at Mae Hong Son. Cherwise only manuscripts dated from the late 18th century, which belonged to Vat Hai Lin originally, survive.

Kesärapañña's career can be followed for 38 years between A.D. 1683 and A.D. 1721. This is not unlikely. Already in the earliest document Kesärapañña has a rather high status as evinced by the fact that he is surrounded by his "pupils and pupils of his pupils". Consequently, he could have been between 35 and 40 years old in A.D. 1683, when the vihāra was (re-)constructed, and he could have been a fully ordained monk for 15 to 20 years, assuming that he entered monkhood at the earliest possible age permitted by the Vinaya, which is 15 years for the lower ordination (pubbajjā : na ... inapannarasavasto därako pubbājetabbo, Vin I 79,5) and 20 years for the higher ordination (quasampadā : na ... inavisativasto pugalo upasampādetabbo, Vin I 78,30) calculated from the time of conception (gubbhavisam, Vin I 93,23). If Kesärapañña died not too long after completing his last manuscript in A.D. 1721, he could have been about 80 years old then.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The names selärañña, seläraññapabbata and vaş hläy hrin metøn nagør jaiy occur side by side in different colophons of no. 16: Vinaya-piţaka (Cullavagga), CS 1117 : A.D. 1755.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> On this terminology cf. O.v.Hinüber: A Handbook of Päli Literature. Berlin 1996 §203.

# Chips from Buddhist Workshops

Oskar von Hinüber

Thus his lifetime spans approximately between A.D. 1645 and A.D. 1725.

His age is by no means unlikely. A monk named Seen Før or simply For says: "The Atthakanda has been copied by the Venerable Mahāsaddhā Før when he was 80 years old in the year kā plau CS 975 : A.D. 1613", and in a second, somewhat confused, colophon: "The Venerable Saddhā Føn was 80 years old when he had entered the age (!) into the order for 9 years, he wrote with effort ... this text", no. 132: Dhammasangani-atthakanda-pariccheda-vohāra, CS 975: A.D. 16136. A further rather unusual colophon informs us about the personal life of Seen Før. The language is an attempt to write Pali: brah mahāsaddhā seen før lagana (read: nagara?) jayapura räjadhänam (!) vattakāncanarājassa mahāmacca gihikāle gharāvāse dosam passitvā nikkhama pabbaji ratanabimbārāme vase jinasāsane navavasse dhammasanganiatthäkandaparicchedam asītāvukāle likhitāvam nitthitam samata (!) "The Venerable Mahāsaddhā Før from the town Jayapura (Lampang), where a king resides, was, while he was living as a householder and as a minister of King Vattakañcana. Having considered the state of a householder as a fault, he went forth to become a monk in the Ratanabimbārāma. This has been written after he had lived in the Buddhist order for 9 years and when he had reached the age of 807. The Dhammasanganiatthakandapariccheda has come to an end".

Again, this colophon seems to contain a mistake: *lagana* for *nagara*, and much more unfortunately, the pagination of this manuscript is confused. Of course this may be due to the advanced age of the scribe, but still the faulty pagination creates serious problems now for finding the beginning of the text at once.

Usually, however, these palm leaf manuscripts are paginated with the utmost care. Figures are used rarely although they do also occur in older manuscripts such as no. 86: Jiatka(Vīsatinipāta), first half of the 16th century, folios 20-34.

The usual procedure, however, is to apply letters in the following well known way: ka, ka, ki ... ke, kai, ko, kau, kam, kah written on the verso of each folio. This series, which is not entirely based on the Sanskrit alphabet as there are neither kr nor kl, covers a set of 12 folios or 24 pages. Occasionally such a set is called anka, written phonetically for ariga8, in Northern Thai Pāli manuscripts. Two such sets, e.g. ka and kha form one fascicle or phūk. It is common to start from the phūk containing ka and kha and to continue up to fascicle 16: ha, la. Sometimes a series a, ā, i, ī, etc. is used following ha and la as in no. 110: Suttasangaha (sūd røm), CS 903/4 : A.D. 1541/2. Of course there are much longer manuscripts comprising more than 16 fascicles. Therefore this series needs extending, which is achieved by a combination of two letters: the second set of again 16 fascicles starts with kya, khya, ... hya, hya, the last fascicle being no. 32, which, however, is by no means sufficient as the upper limit for a very long text such as the commentary on the Mülapannäsa of the Majihimanikäva, which covers 725 pages in two volumes of the PTS edition. This equals 37 fascicles in no. 32: Papañcasūdani (Mūlapannāsa), CS 911 : A.D. 1549. As the last fascicle, no. 37, contains the folios jha-jhah, ña-ñah, in fasc. 33 the pagination starts again from the very beginning, although it would have been possible to use a third series (see below).

39

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Attha(kathā)kaņda is the alternative title for the Atthuddhārakaņda, Dhs § 1368-1599, cf. v. Hinüber: Handbook as note 5 above §134.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>This kind of information is rare, cf.: sārah dad sī sān vai meie dai 6 vassā, no. 68: Jātaka (Ekanipāta), CS 989 : A.D. 1767: "Sāradassī has made (this manuscript) when he was (monk) for 6 years".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>This division is also used in Burma: H. Bechert et alii: Burmese Manuscripts. Wiesbaden 1979. Verzeichnis der Orientalischen Handschriften in Deutschland, Band XXIII,1, p.XVII.

40

#### Oskar von Hinüher

416

Manuscripts of this length are rare, and if they survive they are almost never complete. Consequently, the possibility of tracing a confusion such as the following one is a rare exception: no. 101: Apadāna-aṭṭhakathā, CS 899 : A.D. 1537: first fascicle extant: fasc. 16: na, pa (correctly:  $ha, |a\rangle ... fasc. 22: |a, |aya, fasc. 23: khya, gyu(!), fasc.$ 24: ka, kha(1)... fasc. 33: dha, na-nā. Still, order prevails over confusionas e.g. in no. 61: Paramathajotikā II (commentary on the Suttanipāta),early 16th century: first fascicle extant: fasc. 13: <math>ma, ya ... fasc. 16: ha,la(1), fasc. 17: kya, khya ... fasc. 27: pya, phya, bya-byū (end of the text). Here, the last fascicle has been extended to almost twice the normal length. This is done fairly often if the last fascicle would have been incomplete otherwise, e.g. no. 118: Yamaka, CS 859 : A.D. 1497, fasc. 21: *fiba, ña, va-raja* (end of the text).

A method of avoiding a long series of letters and at the same time the danger of confusion is either to split up texts into sections or chapters such as the Anguttaranikäya into Eka, Duka, Tika-nipäta etc., which results in handy sequences, or to divide a long text somewhat arbitrarily in the middle. Thus two, very rarely three, bundles (*mad*) are created.<sup>9</sup> The Dhammapadaţthakathā is such a text split up between the Arahanta- and the Sahassavagga (Dhp-a II 201/202), which is roughly the middle of the text: E<sup>\*</sup> 642 : 723 pages, C<sup>\*</sup> (1898) pages 1-315 : 315-659 that is 315 : 344 pages.<sup>10</sup> Therefore fascicle 3: *na*, *ca* of no. 53: Dhammapadaţthakathā, about A.D. 1500, contains part of the Daqdavagga. It is called in the colophon: *3 dhammapada* mad *plāy* "fascicle 3 of the last bundle of the Dhammapadaţthakathā". Consequently, the first lost fascicle satted with the pagination *ka*, *kha* and contained the beeinning of the Sahassavathu.

# Chips from Buddhist Workshops

A second manuscript of this text reaches the end of the Dhammapadatithakathā on foilo *bhra* of fascicle 35: no. 56 Dhammapadatithakathā, about A.D. 1500. The relevant colophon has: *dhammapada mad plāy... 34...* with an obviously confused and erroneous pagination: the series *nra*, *dhra* has been corrected to *pra* (only *nra* > *pra*), which still does not seem to be correct. For fascicle 34 should have *gra*, *ghra* or *kra*, *khra*. Again, the few surviving folios do not allow any further conclusion.

The use of *pra* etc. besides *pya* etc. is certainly very rare and shared only by a second fragment, which could even be part of no. 56: Dhammapadathhakathā that is no. 55: Dhammapadathhakathā, early 16th century, fasc. 21: *thra*, *dra* which is the third set of pagination.<sup>11</sup>

A really unique form of pagination is found in no. 6. Vinayapitaka (Påcitiya/Nissaggiya), CS 1073 : A.D. 1711, where the sequence is: ... fasc. 14(1): va, sa, fasc. 15: sa, ha, fasc. 16: la, a, fasc. 17: kya, klya etc.. The retroflex ga is never again found in any of the Päli manuscripts of Vat Lei Hin.

Equally unique is the pagination of the last folio of as fascicle as  $cah^2$  with a raised figure in no. 85: Jiakaa(Pakinpaka), CS 932 : A.D. 1571, fasc. 3:  $A_{10}$ , ca-cach,  $cah^2$ . The reason for this unusual pagination is not clear. The text breaks off in the middle of a word to be continued in the next and last fasc. 4: ja(1), jia-jho. Perhaps the scribe tried to reproduce fasc. 3 of the original he had before him as one fascicle, but miscalculated his handwriting.

In contrast to single folios, whole fascicles are usually numbered in figures. Only very occasionally do figures and letters stand

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>H. Hundius: The colophons of thirty Pāli manuscripts from Northern Thailand, JPTS 14, 1990, p.54.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> The middle of the text is easily recognizable in C, which is printed without notes. Consequently the single pages contain a text of almost even length.

<sup>&</sup>quot; On the kra series see H. Bechert as note 8 above.

# Chips from Buddhist Workshops

## Oskar von Hinüber

516

side by side: no. 34: Sanyuttanikäya (Sagäthavagga), CS 911 : A.D. 1549: 3. ki. na ca "(fascicle 3 = ki, (folice) na, ca)" and again in this set, which also comprises the commentary: no. 36: Säratthapakäsini (Sagäthavagga), CS 911 : A.D. 1549; similarly: no. 81: Jätaka (Aţtha-, Cattä]isas, Panpäsa, Saţthi, Sattati-nipäta), CS 912 : A.D. 1550, no. 117: Yamaka, CS 909 : A.D. 1547, and no. 110: Suttasatgatha (säd rom), CS 903/4 : A.D. 1547, and no. 110: Suttasatgatha (säd rom), CS 903/4 : A.D. 1547, and no. 110: Javanapañña, the most prominent scribe of 16th century manscripts in the Lai Hin collection. Therefore, this particular way of numbering fascicles may be a personal feature.

After having devoted so much attention to the outward appearance of these nalm leaf manuscripts, the question arises whether it is really worthwhile to describe these minor details. The usefulness of this knowledge, pedestrian as it may seem or even be, is obvious to anyone engaged in working on these manuscripts and on fragmentary ones in particular. For a clear pagination is extremely helpful when it comes to reassembling scattered fascicles or single folios once the string holding them together, the "book binding" as it is, has been broken or lost, which is more often the case than not. In this respect the beautiful golden pattern painted on the mostly red, rarely black, lacquer with which the manuscripts are coated is also helpful. This, however, applies to vounger manuscripts only, for older ones are coated in plain red lacquer. I once found a manuscript that had never been opened after the lacquer had been applied some 400 years ago: no. 64: Vimānavatthu-atthakathā. 16th century, was "uncut" when it was read for the first time ever on 28th July 1987.

Furthermore, as we all know from daily use, pagination is necessary to organize a book, and no reader would like to do without it. For only pagination makes a table of contents possible, which is by no means so commonplace as a modern reader might be inclined to think. In older manuscripts tables of contents are very rare. They are found e.g. in: no. 35: Samyuttanikäya (Sagäthavagga), CS 905 : A.D. 1543 on the cover leaf: nalavagga pathama sin mee k "the first chapter called Nalavagga ends on folio  $k^{n,12}$  It is still more astonishing that the verses of the Sagäthavagga are counted and grouped together in sets of eight verses each in this well organized manuscript.

These attempts, or rather the very beginnings of organizing books, deserve some discussion in a much breader context. For, simple and trivial as it may seem, it is by no means a small step forward when it comes to handling, transmitting and acquiring knowledge, as the relevant material from mediaeval Europe, which has been discussed with most interesting results by W. Raible<sup>19</sup>, amply demonstrates. A corresponding study of the manuscript tradition in Indian culture or in cultures influenced by India would certainly be rewarding.

While not too much attention was paid to the organization of the text itself, the scribes were consistent in keeping certain rules concerning the beginning or end of the text. These rules underline the religious significance of the manuscripts. It is well known that a canonical Päi text or a commentary should start with the formula namo tassa bhagavato arahato sammäsambuddhassa, abbreviated sometimes as namo tass' atthu or simply nam' atthu. This seems to be a typical w Theraväda formula, if Buddhis Sanskrit texts are compared, which begin

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Further tables of contents are found in: no. 87: Jätaka (Visati-, Tinyas-, Satatinipäha), CS 833 : AD, 1471, which is the oldest dated Päli manuscript; no. 69: Jätaka (Ekanipäta), about AD. 1500; no. 76: Jätaka (Paka-quibata), CS 954 : AD. 1592, cf. no. 94: Jätaka (Mahänipäta: Närada), CS 938 : AD. 1576. <sup>a</sup> W. Raible: Dio Semiotik der Textgestalt, and: Zum Entwicklung von Alphabetschrift-Systemen. Is foit auf prodest, both: Heidelberg 1991: Ablandlunger, Sitzungsberichte der Heidelberger Akademie der Wissenschaften. Philosophischhistorische Klasse. Ablandlung/Bericht 1.

# Chips from Buddhist Workshops

#### Oskar von Hinüber

616

with: namaḥ sarvabuddhabodhisatvebhyaḥ<sup>14</sup>, namo bhagavatyai prajñāpāramitāyai (Prajňāpāramitā texts), namaḥ śrī vajrasatvāya (Guhyasamājatantra), namas sarvajñāya<sup>15</sup>, etc.

However, even in Theraväda the beginning of a sacred text is not as uniform as printed editions both oriental and western have it.<sup>6</sup> The namo tassa ... is preceded by subham atthu svasti jayastu antaräyam namo tassa ..., no. 34: Sarnyuttanikäya (Sagäthavagga), CS 911: A.D. 1549 "may it be auspicious! hail! may there be victory over danger (?)". The commentary to this text has: syaväj jaya mahäbho. karna?a, no.36: Siartathpaktänii (Sagäthavagga), CS 911: A.D. 1549 "hail! victory! great gain!". This set has been copied by Javanapañña at Dă Søy, who also wrote no. 90: Jättaka (Kusaräja), CS 913: A.D. 1551 beginning: 1. svasdī. jayastu antarāyam. idan te ... "(fasciele) 1. hail! may there be victory over danger(?)"."

A further manuscript also copied at Dā Søy begins: namo tassa bhagavato arahato sammāsambuddhassa. jayatu sugatasāsanam and ends: ... samattā ti. 4. svasti namo buddhāya jayatu sugatasāsanam,

no. 40: Anguttaranikāya (Dukanipāta), first half of the 16th century, similarly: namo tass' atthu. jayatu jinasāsanam. manorath°, Siam Society no. 55: Manorathapūrani (Ekanipāta), CS 893 ; A.D. 1531 copied at Dā Søy. No formula at all is found at the beginning of Lai Hin no. 42: Anguttaranikāya (Sattakanipāta), CS 949 : A.D. 1587, which ends: ... samattā, javatu sugatasāsanam, svasti, namo buddhāva, This manuscript has been copied at Chiang Mai. Two parts of the commentary, which belongs to this set, begin: svasti namo buddhāya. javatu sugatasāsanam, no. 46: Manorathapūranī (Chakkanipāta), CS 949 : A.D. 1587, Chiang Mai; no. 48: Manorathapūranī (Sattakanipāta), CS 949 : A.D. 1587, Chiang Mai,18 And finally, a further manuscript conied at Dā Søy begins: svasdī, namo buddhāva, javatu sugatasāsanam, dukanipāta°, no. 44: Manorathapūranī (Dukanipāta), first half of the 16th century, cf. the end of the colophons in no. 31: Papañcasūdanī (Mülapannāsa), CS 895 : A.D. 1533 from Meiy: fasc. 17: javatu sāsanam, fasc. 18; svastī bahavatu and svastī hotu.

Only two manuscripts begin simply with: namo buddhāya, no. 9: Vinaya (Mahāvaga), CS 1116: A.D. 1754 from Lampang, and no. 88: Jātaka (Timpsanipāta), about A.D. 1500: svastī namo buddhāya. timsanipāte ....

The opening formula namo buddhāya. pañca buddhā namām' aham, no. 19: Samantapāsātdikā (Cullavagga), CS 950 : A.D. 1588, and correspondingly no. 125: Thūpavamsa, CS 1084 : A.D. 1722 is rather suprising at first sight. For, as is well known, the number of Buddhas current in Theravāda is the six predecessors of Buddha Gotama as enumerated in the Dighanikāya, no. XIV. Mahāpadānasuttanta, DN II 1-54 and in addition the Buddha Gotama himself: namo bhagavato namo sattannam sambuddhānam, Vin II 110,19 = AN II 73.9, quoted Ja II

44

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> A probably unique opening formula is: namah sarbba/ñāya. purbbācāryyebiyo..., Adhikamāsavinicháy, CS 940: A.D. 1578, cf.: Catalogue of Palm-Leaf Texts on Microfilm at the Social Research Institute, Chiang Mai University. Chiang Mai 1986, 06-108.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> This is found in a Sanskrit text from 9th century Ceylon: O. v.Hinüber: Sieben Goldblätter einer Paficavinystatisähanikä Prajifäpäramitä aus Anurädhapura. Nachrichten der Akademie der Wissenschaften in Göttingen. I. Philologischhistorische Klasse, Jahrgang 1983, Nr. 7, p.193/[7].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Cf. also the unusual beginning of the Petakopadesa; O. v.Hinüber as above note 5 § 167.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>n</sup> zvezri is also used at the beginning of Buddhist texts in Ceylonese manuscripts, though written at the left margin next to the pagination kar. C.E. Godakumbura: Catalogue of Ceylonese Manuscripts, Copenhagen 1980. Catalogue of Oriental Manuscripts, Xylographs etc. in Danish Collections. Vol. 1, p.L, and O, v.Himbler as above note 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>The first folio is only on the microfilm of the Social Research Institute, Chiang Mai University, no. 145.17.

# Oskar von Hinüber

147,24, or Buddha Gotama and his 24 predecessors as described in the Buddhavamsa, where the list has been extended by three names in chapter XXVII, which is a later addition as already noticed in the commentary (Bv-a 295,31). This set of 28 Buddhas became popular in South-East Asia.

Five Buddhas, on the other hand, do not figure prominently in Päli texts, although there seems to be some archaeological evidence for this group from Amarävati and Nägärijunakonda in South India.<sup>10</sup> This group, which is totally different from the better known five Buddhas in Mahäyäna: Vairocana (centre), Akşobhya (east), Ratmasambhava (south), Amitäbha (west), Amoghasiddhi (north)<sup>30</sup>, comprises the five Buddhas of the present *bhaddkappa*: Kakusandha, Konågaman, Kassapa, Gotama: DN III 2,21-28 together with Metteyya, DN III 76,25foll. mentioned in the Cakkvartisfhanådasuttanta, Dighanikāya no. XXVI. Thus the colorohons also preserve some traces of this set of five Buddhas.

In addition to this there is an extremely short text comprising only a single folio, which mentions these Buddhas by rame: namo jeyyah iti pi so bhagavā buddha Kukkusindho ... Koņāghamano ... Kassapo ... siri Sakyamunī Godan siri ariyah Maitī, Siam Society no. 52.

Only two Buddhas are mentioned by name in the colophons. They are, of course, Gotama and Metteyya, who are conspicuous in the wishes expressed by the scribes at the end of their manuscripts after finishing their work.

# Chips from Buddhist Workshops

Mostly, and particularly so in the older manuscripts, the wishes expressed by the scribes are of a rather general nature. As is well known. Buddhists are and always were concerned about the eventual disappearance of the sāsana. In a famous passage in the Cullavagga, Vin II 256.9-16 the Buddha is supposed to have said that the dhamma might last for a whole millennium, but, once women were admitted to the order, that period would be reduced to 500 years. About 500 years after the supposed date of the nirvana, at the latest, this period was extended ultimately to 5000 years.<sup>21</sup> Being aware of the fact that they contribute by their work to the subsistence of the dhamma the scribes express their respective wishes in very few words in the older colophons: sān vai pen mülasnā brah buddha cau hā ban vassā, no. 61: Paramatthajotikā II (Commentary on the Suttanipäta), early 16th century. There are slight variations such as the use of pli instead of vassa, no. 102: Buddhavamsa, CS 913 : A.D. 1551, brah gotama cau and pli, no. 54: Dhammapadatthakathā, CS 883 ; A.D. 1521, or bija sāsnā instead of mūlasāsnā, no. 68: Jātaka (Ekanipāta), CS 989 : A.D. 1627, fasc. 15: "I have made (this manuscript) as a root/germ for the teaching of the Exalted Buddha (that it may last) five thousand years". This formula appears in six manuscripts of the Lai Hin collection and in slightly different wording in a seventh: sān dvay tan vai buddhasāssnā hā ban vassā, no. 111: Pathamasambodhi, CS 936 ; A.D. 1574 "I have made (this manuscript) myself for the teaching of the Buddha (that it may last) five thousand years". Furthermore, the following colophon from the collection of Vat Sung Men at Phrae may be quoted here: atthakathā uparipannāsa mahāsangharāja cau ārām van pan² sān upatthambhaka sāsnā brah buddha cau hā ban vassā. culasakkarāja dai 912, PNTMP 01-04-231-00: Papañcasūdanī (Uparipannāsa), CS 912 : A.D. 1550 "Commentary on the Uparipannāsa (of the Majjhimanikāya). The Venerable Sangharāja of

47

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> M. Bénisti: Les stūpas aus cinq piliers. BEFEO 58.1971, p.131-162, cf.: G. Terral: Pañcabuddhabyākaraņa. BEFEO 55.1969, p.125-144. <sup>20</sup> Hôbôgirin s.v. butsu.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> E. Lamotte: Histoire du Bouddhisme Indien. Louvain 1958, p.210foll.; 215foll.; Samantapăsădikă 1291,18-26. – Further: J. Nattier: Once Upon a Future Time. Studies in a Buddhist Prophecy of Decline. Berkeley 1991.

# Chips from Buddhist Workshops

#### Oskar von Hinüber

816

the Van-Pan-Monastery had (this manuscript) made to support the teaching of the Exalted Buddha (that it may last) five thousand years. CS 912".

In the second half of the 16th century this extreme brevity was abandoned in favour of a marginally enlarged version: val pen müla säsnä bra gotama cau tam dau hä ban vassä, no. 58: ltivuttakaatjhakathä, CS 906 : A.D. 1544 "I (made this manuscript) as a root for the teaching of the Exalted Gotama that it may last five thousand years". This wording survives in altogether seven manuscripts copied between A.D. 1544 and A.D. 1592, and more than a century later very much abbreviated as: tom dau 5 ban vasså, no. 97: Jätaka (Vessantara/ Mäleyya), CS 1076 : A.D. 1714, cf. also: sän vai kap vara buddhasåsnä tom dau 5000 vassä lee, PNTMP 07-04-005-00: Dhammasahgani, CS 991 : A.D. 1629 from Vat Phra Singh at Chiang Mai.

During the early 17th century the formula was further enlarged: sāh vai pen upathambhaka sāsanā brah cau trāp 5000 vassā, no. 113: Sammohavinodani (Commentary on the Vibhaéga), about 1600 "I made (this manuscript) to support the teaching of the Exalted One so that it may reach 5000 years", cf. the earlier, similar colophon of the Papaňcasīdani quoted above, and: sān vai kap sāsnā bra buddha cau trāp 5000 vassā, no. 20: Sammatpašādikā, CS 1001 : A.D. 1639, or: vai beie prajojnah pen müla kee sāsanā bra buddha cau rau taņ dau thein 5000 bra vassā, no. 50: Dhammapada, CS 973 : A.D. 1611: "I have deposited (this manuscript) for the use as a root of the teaching of our Exalted Buddha that it may reach eventually 5000 years". Here it is tempting to think of prayojnah as an abbreviation, if the following colophon is compared: sāñ vai pen müla sāsnā bra gatama cau hā ban vasšā beie cāk hū pen prayojnah kee kulapud dan lāy, no. 74: Jātka (Duka-, Tika-, Pañcanipān), CS 92/21 : A.D. 1560/I "I made (this manuscrip) kas a root for the teaching of the Exalted Gotama (that it may last) five thousand years, for the use to many sons from good families".

By the end of the 17th century the scribes seem to have preferred still another wording: *beiaa jotaka sāsnā hā ban vassā*, no. 5: Vinayapitaka (Pārājika), CS 1055 : A.D. 1693 "that the teaching may shine for five thousand years". This formula occurs four times between 1693 and 1754, and once even earlier during the 16th century: no. 117: Gūļhathadīpani.<sup>22</sup>

Thus it seems that the preference for certain expressions changed in the course of time. It should be kept in mind, however, that the material is rather limited, and consequently does not allow any certain conclusions based on statistics. Furthermore, regional variation cannot be excluded. The latter seems to apply for: san vai beia sāsanā ciratthitakāla hā2 ban vassā, no. 45: Manorathapūranī (Tikanipāta), CS 949 : A.D. 1587 "I made (this manuscript) for a long duration of the teaching for five thousand years", and: sān vai sāsanupatthāmbhaka ciratthitikāle, no. 49: Manorathapūraņī-ţīkā (Tikanipāta), CS 948 : A.D. 1586. Both manuscripts have been donated by the same person, perhaps at Chiang Mai, for the following set, in which a similar formula has been used, was copied there: beiø sāsanā cirațțhitikāle, no. 41: Anguttaranikāya (Chakkanipāta), CS 949 : A.D. 1587, Chiang Mai, fasc. 🦋 7, together with: beiø sāsnā tthitikāla, no. 46: Manorathapūranī (Chakkanipāta) CS 949 : A.D. 1587, Chiang Mai. Again, this set was donated by one person. As all four manuscripts have been copied almost at the same time and as all of them use the same formula not traced in other manuscripts so far, this might have been a wording typical for Chiang Mai at that period.

48

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> This is a commentary on the Sammohavinodani, cf. CPD (Epilegomena) 3.9.3 and 1.3.6.4.

# Chips from Buddhist Workshops

prähnä au yän bhavahlyah (read: bhavakblaya) nai sämnak bra metteyya cau, no 1: Pätimokkhasutta, CS 1123: A.D. 1761 "my wish is the extinction of rebirth in the assembly of the Exatled Metteyya", and again in no. 7: Vinayapitaka (Päcittiya), CS 1088: A.D. 1716, fasc. 8. In fasc. 3 of the same manuscript a different scribe remarks: prähnä au yan bhava nai. "my wish is the rebirth in ...", which is almost certainly a mistake for bhavakbhaya. For this scribe is also a bit careless in his wishes elsewhere when he writes in fasc. 7: gäm präthanä ikha hik khä dai riù dhamma in its 11,000 sections". This is hardly modesty on the part of the scribe, who rather left out the figures 8 (hmür) and 4 (kan): it is well known that the dhamma has 84,000 sections (khanda, Sumangialavillisini 24,18 etc.).

Only once and at an early date is the wish of penetrating knowledge disconced from the four noble truths and the wish to be reborn in Metteyya's presence: sān vai kap buddhasāsnā paācasahasāyuka saddhādhika sabbaāinuanān paṭivedhapaccayo honu, no. 64: Vimānavathu-aṭṭhakathā, 16th century (?) "made for the teaching of the Buddha (that it may reach) the age of 5000 years, may it be the foundation for deep faith and for penetrating into the knowledge of omniscience".

If sabbaññutañāņa is to be taken in its true meaning, this wish, of course, implies that the scribe wants to become a Buddha in future. This desire is rarely expressed in clear and unambiguous words as in the following colophon of a manuscript copied in Central Thailand and written in Khmer script: suvaņņarājena bhikkhunā sabbaññubuddhabhāvapatthentena imam likhāpitam vipullasaddhāya, National Library, Bangkok, no. 6290 (126-5/6): Dasajātaka (-Jātaka: Mahānipāta), BS 2203 : A.D. 1660 "the monk Suvaņnarāja, who wishes to athain the state of an omniscient Buddh, had this written in deep faith". Otherwise this

#### Oskar von Hinüber

9/6

In addition to these general remarks, personal wishes are seldom expressed in the older manuscripts, but with increasing frequency in newer ones. Donors and scribes alike wish to be reborn during the time of the future Buddha Metteyya. A rather early instance of this particular wish is: *nibbānapaccayo hotu me cup per prahcaiyah kee ehibhikkkun nai sāŋnak brah ariyah metteyyah tan an cak mā pen brah buddha bāy hnā ni, no. 99: Apadāna-aṭṭhakathā, CS 899 : A.D. 1537 'may this be the basis for the <i>nibbāna* for me that it is the foundation for the *ehibhikkhu* (*upasampadā*) in the assembly of the Exalted Noble Metteya, who will come to be Buddha in future". The ordination by *ehi bikkhu* "come, monk" can be gained only from a Buddha. Therefore it was considered a very special distinction, so much so that it is believed that a monk's robe (*cīvara*) would appear magically on a monk ordained in this particular way, a *ehibhikkhucīvara.*"

It is only by the year A.D. 1700 that this wish becomes more frequent: known hin han han bras striya (read: stri ariya) mettaiy cau an cak mā dām rad traš sabbañāu cak mā bāy haā nī, no. 5: Vinayapiţaka (Pärijika), CS 1055 : A.D. 1693 'I pray to see the face of the Noble Metteya, who will come to reach enlightenment and omniscience in future". During the 18th century wordings such as the following become popular: beis prayojnah catusaccapativedha pracaiy nai sāmnāk bra mettaiy cau cak mā brāy hnā, no. 6: Vinayapiţaka (Pärijika), CS 1073 : A.D. 1711, fasc. 8 "useful as a foundation to penetrate the four (noble) truths in the assembly of the Exalted Metteya, who will come in future". This wording occurs six times altogether between A.D. 1693 and A.D. 1849, and with some changes in wording in three further manuscripts:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cf. CPD s.v. – The wish for an ehibhikkhungasampadi occurs again in the Päli colophon to no. 99: Sivijayapathä, CS 1201 : A.D. 1839: ...andgatakäre arahandi rabheyyam ariyah metteyyabudhasantke ehibhikhungacayabhaväyam paccayo hotu saksäle sansaranto... This again is a rather unsuccessful attempt to write in Päli.

## 52

# Oskar von Hinüber

seems to be a wish of authors rather than scribes, e.g.: aham tu uttamam bodhim, päpuneyyam anägate / tam patvä akhile satte, moceyyam bhavabandhanä, PNTMP 02-04-179-00: Kaccäyanarūpadīpanī, CS 950 : A.D. 1588. Here, the author Näpakitti (15th century) is speaking, and not the scribe of this manuscript, which is kept at Vat Sung Men in Phrae today.

Equally rare is the wish to become an Arahant: *hü pen praccai* tam dau arahattamagga, no. 74: Jātaka (Tikanipāta), CS 922 : A.D. 1560, fasc. 5 "that it may be the basis for the route towards Arahantship".

The scribe of no. 16: Vinaya (Cullavagga), CS 1117 : A.D. 1755 wants to display his erudition by replacing pathedha: beis prayojnah catusaccapatisambhidäñāna pracati nai sāmnak bra metteyya cau, fasc. 8. However, the common expression found already in canonical Pāli (Paţisambhidāmagga II 57,3) is saccapaţivedha, whereas paţisambhidā is not normally used in this connection, with the exception of ... paţisambhidāhi arahattam pāpuņi. saha saccapaţivedhena ..., Mil 18,18.

Nevertheless, the wish for *patisambhidā* in the presence of the future Buddha seems to make more sense than the one for *pativedha*. For the latter can be gained any time during the 5000 years of the duration of the *dhamma*, while *patisambhidā* is possible only during the first thousand years after a Buddha has re-established the teaching, and consequently the next opportunity will be at the time of Metryya<sup>24</sup>

Individual wishes are also presented in a more personal form e.g. if a scribe says: tan dai au bai ryan kdī khyan kdī lau kdī au bai fan

## Chips from Buddhist Workshops

kdi hü pen phala kee khä hü säh, no. 66: Petavatthu-aţhakathā, CS 876 : A.D. 1514 "whenever somebody takes (this manuscript) with him to read it to copy it to recite it, or takes it with him to hear it (i.e. to have it read out to him?), then this will be merit for me, who has made (the manuscript)", and similarly: daly tan dai dai<sup>2</sup> du kadi khūn cai kadi cun pen pur kee khā dūn, no. 109: Paritta, CS 1039 : A.D. 1677 "whoever wants to see (this manuscript) or learn it by heart, should do so, for it is certainly merit for me". By this ingenious wish the donor or scribe managed and still manages to accumulate merit even while these manuscripts are used for research.

In both cases it is by no means clear to whom the merit will ultimately go, to the donor or to the scribe, or to both of them. For, leaving aside the somewhat ambiguous  $s \lambda^n$  'to produce''z' which can be employed by both, scribes and donors alike, the subject of the corresponding sentences is rarely expressed explicitly. Therefore the long colophons typical for Javanapañña, which have been discussed and translated by H. Hundlus''s state to whom exactly the merit of the donation is going to belong: can hil dai loktya lee lokattarasampatti kee  $d\bar{a}yak \ ph\bar{u}$  (hil) sān nan dün, rau anumodati dway kee, no. 36: Säratthapakšini (Sagšitha-vagga), CS 911: A.D. 1549 'that there may be success in the mundane and supramundane attainments for the donor, the person who had (this manuscript) made. We give our blessings''. This makes it sufficiently clear that the merit will go to the  $up \bar{a}sik\bar{a}$  Gām Bā, one of the rather numerous female donors of manuscripts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Cf. E. Lamotte: Le Traité de la Grande Vertu de Sagesse de Nägärjuna (Mahäprajñäpäramitäsästra). Louvain 1970. Torne III, p.1614foll. and Samantapäsädikä 1291,19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In the colophons to a manuscript of the Mahiganakajitaka in the National Library, Bangkok (No. 6290), copied in A.D. 1660 the verb sân has been used in the Thai colophons as an equivalent luih/ajtur of the Fail colophons of the same manuscript. Both colophon series have been written by the monk Suvanparäja.

# Chips from Buddhist Workshops

#### Oskar von Hinüber

At the same time it is obvious that more than one person is involved in the donation. This fact is still more evident in a second colophon from Javanapañā's hand: mahāthera hlvai cau pen upathambhaka gan anumodanā dvay lee cut hū pen pracaiy kee lokiya lokutarasampatti kee phi hū sān, no. 119: Yamaka, CS 909 : A.D. 1547, fasc. 7 "the Venerable Mahāthera gives his blessings as the supporter that ...". The person who gives his blessings is called upathambhaka "supporter". At the same time, he is not the scribe of this manuscript, for only the colophon, not the text itself, has been copied in Javanapaāña's very characteristic hand. Thus allogether three people participated in donating this manuscript.

Occasionally quite a few people have contributed in one way or another to have a manuscript made. As many as twenty people are named or mentioned indirectly in no. 103: Buddhavansa-atthakathä, CS 913: A.D. 1551. This number is quite exceptional, although sometimes an anonymous group of people, and possibly a large one, is mentioned: ndk puñ dán hläy jäv mety mi mahāsāmi cau puñňaransī pen pradhān, no. 29: Majihimanikāya (Mülapanņāsa), CS 895: A.D. 1533 "numerous inhabitants of Meiy, who want to make merit, together with the Venerable Mahāsyāmi Puňňaramsi as a leader<sup>27</sup>".

The Buddhavanysa-atthakathä not only names all these many people who worked together but also, remarkably, describes their respective parts in the making of this manuscript. The Mahäthera Ratana is called *ädikammasädhaka* or sabbädikammasädhaka, and he is the only monk mentioned in these colophons. Lay people named are sometimes specified as p(r)accayadäpaka. This means that they have given the money necessary to write the manuscript, which consists of a set comprising the Buddhavarnsa and its commentary, the

Madhuratthavilāsinī. The sum paid for the palm leaves was 8,000 pé and for copying the text 54,000 pé, Buddhavamsa, fasc, 1.28 Interestingly, a (female?) ascetic named Yü gave some money too: phā khāv yü, Buddhavamsa, fasc. 7, which at the same time is an early reference to these ascetics wearing white clothes. The leading donor who is mentioned most frequently is: upāsikā jū nān pā2 gām "the lav woman named Pä Gäm". She is called paccavadāvikā and upatthambhaka "supporter". This means that the relevant terminology was neither fixed nor uniform. For when Javanapañña is called unatthambhaka, no. 57: Itivuttaka. CS 908 : A.D. 1546, fasc, 3, or: then cau sur inda pen upatthambhaka, no. 43: Manorathanūranī (Ekanināta). CS 891(?) : A.D. 1529 or 1589(?), most likely both monks acted in the same way as did the Mahāthera Ratana, who is the adikammasadhaka: both. Javananañña and Ratana, gave their blessings (anumodana). There even seems to be a third expression used in the same context; silananda pan kvav kvan hu sān thera anomadassī cau pen mūla lām bān, no. 18: Samantapāsādikā (Pārājika/ Samghādisesa), about A.D. 1500 "Sīlananda from Pān Kvāv Kvan had (this manuscript) made. The Thera Anomadassi was the mila. In Lampang".

2.5

----

Only in the colophons of the Buddhavamas manuscript, however, are the activities of the *ādikammasādhaka* briefly described: *ják jwar nák pur dan hlöp*, no. 102: Buddhavamas, CS 913 : A.D. 1551, fasc. 1 "he persuaded many people, who want to make merit", and: *mahāthen ratana dai gā lān nák pui dai deyyadhamma cān klypan ke*, Buddhavamas, fasc. 4 "the Mahāthera Ratana has received the sum (to be used for buying) palm leaves; those, who want to make merit, have donated (the sum to be used for) copying". Evidently Ratana urged lay people to provide the funds necessary to accurate the writine materials and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> On the title mahāsvāmin: H. Penth: Reflections on the Saddhammasangaha. JSS 65.1.1977, p.264foll.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> On the prices of manuscripts: O. v. Hinüber as above note 2, p.72; cf. also M. A. Stein : A Sanskrit Deed of Sale concerning a Kashmirian Mahābhārata MS., JRAS 1900, p.187-194.

# Chips from Buddhist Workshops

#### Oskar von Hinüber

to pay for the scribes. Only one of these scribes has been honoured by mentioning his name:  $\delta$  pai  $\delta c \delta n$  seen  $d on s \delta n$  d vay hatthakamma,Buddhavamsa-athhakathā, fasc. 19 "eight folios have been made byÅcārya Seen Don by his own hand". This, of course, is an extremelymodest contribution to a manuscript comprising eighteen and a halffascicles corresponding to 444 folios. Therefore it seems likely thatÅcārya Seen Don, who copied only the very last eight folios of this text,was some important person, perhaps not receiving any fee as the otherscribes, but contributing either for sake of his own merit or to givespecial weight to this donation. All other scribes are passed over insilence.

Thus the adikammasadhaka or the mula seem to have been both the initiator of the meritorious work and also perhaps some kind of spiritual advisor, who gave their blessing upon its completion. It would be interesting to know what exactly was going to happen once a manuscript was finished and ready to be deposited in a monastery. Some kind of ceremony is a likely guess. And it may have been only during this supposed ceremony that the colophons were added, for they are occasionally written in a hand clearly distinct from that of the copyist. In this respect no. 32: Papañcasūdanī (Mūlapannāsa), CS 911 : A.D. 1549 is particularly instructive because the colophons in Javanapañña's hand have been added after the scribe had finished the Pali text and had written the title on the cover leaf of fasc. 20. The long colophon has been written around the title of the text: "The Venerable Sampharāja and the Layman called Samudda and his wife called Keev Mani had (this manuscript) made in the year kád rau CS 911. Persons, who take (this manuscript) with them to use it and do not know the meaning or the wording (of the text) exactly, should not try to introduce changes or make additions, for that is not good. After having been used (this manuscript) should be brought back immediately, - commentary on the Mülapannäsa - for it was difficult, to make it. Therefore there should be success for me, who

had (this manuscript) made, in the mundane and supramundane attainments, and it should help people".

The length of this colophon occurring a couple of times with some variations in manuscripts connected with Javanapañña is rarely matched: "(This manuscript) has been made by the Venerable Ånanda as the leading initiator (gau saddhā) as a root for the teaching and as a fountain for attaining omniscience. Until that time I should not (be reborn) as deaf nor as a bind nor as a sick person, but as somebody who knows the Tipitaka in every rebirth, who is reborn because of the three (meritorious) causes<sup>29</sup>, who is a wise and able person. I should not be reborn as a poor person, I do not want to be negligent in respect to the Exalted Buddha, the Exalted Teaching, the Exalted Order in future rebirths", no. 98: Sivijayapañhā, CS 947: A.D. 1585.<sup>30</sup>

It would be interesting to know whether these long texts were perhaps recited when a manuscript was ceremoniously commissioned. However, from the colophons alone, only a very little can be guessed about the procedure for a donation. Perhaps some text such as the *ānistan pilak* contains some relevant information. This, however, is a topic extending far beyond the colophons.

Freiburg i. Brsg.

Oskar von Hinüber

Note: This is the enlarged version of a paper read at the 5th International Conference on Thai Studies, London, on 7th July 1993.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> On thetukapatisandhi that is alobha, adosa, amoha: Patis II 72,18foll. with Patis-a III 571,4-8, cf. Vism 104,11 (with Vism-mht), As 285,10, Vibh-a 162,23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> This colophon has been discussed by H. Hundius as above note 9, p.133foll., where the date is given erroneously as CS 942 following a mistake made by the scribe.

# A Pāli Canonical Passage of Importance for the History of Indian Medicine

The Brahmajäla- and Sämaiñaphala-suttas of the Dighanikāya have the following almost identical passage on wrongful livelihood (micchājīva), based on "low (literally beastly) sciences'" (iracchānavijā), shunned by Buddha Gotama:

"Yathā vā pan' eke bhonto samanabrāhmanā saddhādevvāni bhojanāni bhunjitvā te evarūpāya tiracchānavijjāya micchājīvena jīvikam kappenti - sevvathīdam santikammam panidhikammam bhūrikammam vassakammam vossakammam vatthukammam vatthuparikiranam ācamanam nahāpanam juhanam vamanam virecanam uddhavirecanam adhovirecanam sīsavirecanam kannatelam nettatappanam natthukammam añianam paccañjanam sālākiyam sallakattikam dārakatikicchā mūlabhesajjānam anuppādānam osadhīnam pațimokkho - iti vā iti evarūpāva tiracchānavijjāva micchājīvā pativirato Samano Gotamo ti." Iti vä hi bhikkhave puthuijano Tathägatassa vannam vadamāno vadevva.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cf. meaning of the Slit equivalent vdyd given in Monie-William's Sansbrit-English Dictionary: knowledge, science, learning, scholarship, philosophy... (according to some there are four Vidy's or sciences, l. *rayi*, the triple Vedi; 2. *arwichtik*, logic and metaphysics; 3. *dapda-nili*, the science of government; 4. *wirta*, practical ard scuch as agriculture, commerce, medicine etc...)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> DN, Mahäsila, i.1.27, cf. Ibid. ii.62; DB, pp.25-6; CBP, p.11. On the importance of the two sultas, see K.R. Norman, Päli Literature including the canonical literature in Prakrit and Sanskrit of all the Hinayāna schools of Buddhism, Wiesbaden 1983, p.33.

In this passage, the words indicated in **bold** refer to medical practices dealt with in avurvedic texts. These terms are discussed below from the philological point of view, and their significance for the history of Indian medicine is pointed out at the end. In this discussion, it will be noticed that data found in ayurvedic texts help in elucidating and clarifying the meanings of the terms involved, especially santikamma bhūrikamma, ācamana, nahāpana, paccañjana, sālākiya, mūlabhesajja and osadhinam patimokkho. The translations given in the Sv, the DB and the CBP are quoted separately in each case for the sake of comparison. It will be seen that most of the interpretations of the Sv agree with the meanings in the avurvedic texts and help clarify the meanings especially in the case of mulabhesajjanam anuppadanam and osadhinam patimokkho. Of the DB and the CBP, most of the latter's interpretations are more exact and precise than those of the former. Both texts seem to err especially with regard to the interpretation of sālākiva, mülabhesajjanam anuppādānam and osadhīnam patimokkho.

(1) santikamma (S., Skt śăntikarma) is explained in the Sv as fulfilling a vow to a god (devațihănam ganvă "Sace me idam năma samiijhati tumhākam iminā ca iminā ca upahāram karissāmīti", samiidhakāte kātabbam santi-pațissava-kammam). However, śăntkarma (propitiatory rites, literally acts of appeasement), along with baliharana (offering of oblations), is mentioned in the Suár (Sū 1.4) in the explanation of bhūtavidyā, the fourth of the eight branches of Äyurveda,<sup>3</sup> the purpose of which is counteracting the "possession" (craha) of minds by various categories of *bhūtas* (Devas, Asuras, Gandharvas, Yakşas, Rākşasas, Pitŗs, Piśācas, Nāgas).<sup>4</sup> Śāntikarma was thus a kind of white magic.

In spite of the chronological gap in relation to the texts under discussion, it is interesting to note that in Sri Lanka, the term *śanikarma*, sometimes simply *śäntiya*, is still used in the sense of white magic, in reference to two types of ceremonies: "bali" and "tovil". The first is a propitiatory ceremony performed to counter the evil effects of the planets. The second is also a propitiatory ceremony, but coupled with exorcisan of evil spirits called *yakyas*. The term "bali" (balaicons) is used more or less in the same sense as in the Sanskrit. But "graha" in the sense of "possession" or "scizure" applies rather to the tovil ceremonies. However, "graha" meaning planets, in the context of *bhitaridyi* of the Sanskrit texts, occurs in another definition quoted in the Yss.<sup>5</sup>

(2) bhärikamma: In the DN, the reading [bhärikamman] is suggested by the editors, who give bhätae as a variant reading (from MS in Burnese characters in the Phayre Collection at the India Office) in a footnote.<sup>6</sup> If the reading bhätakamman is admitted, it corresponds to bhätavidyä discussed above. However, bhärikamman is the term found in the SV which interprets it as "bhärjahere vasivä gabitamantassave.

60

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The aştiriga in Äyurveda are: *ialya* (surgical knowledge with special reference to the extraction of foreign bodies), *šálálsya* (treatment of diseases in the region over the clavice), *kärjackitska* (treatment of general diseases), *bhálavádja* (knowledge of diseases caused by supernatural beings: mental disorders), *kaumärabhrtya* (paediatrics), *agadatantra* (toxicology), *rasāyanatantra* (geriatrics) and *válikarnanatantra* (vrilingenics). *Suis S* 31.7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>bhilavidyä näma deväsura-gandharva-yaksa-raksah-pity-pisäca-näga-grahädy uparsya-cetasäm sänikarma-baliharanjädi-grahopasamanärtham. Sust Sü 1.7u: The to G santikamma in the CBP, "les pratiques magiques en vue dapaiser les esprits" (magical practices in view of appeasing spirits) agrees with that meaning of bhilavadyä. The DB follows the meaning given in the Sv. "Vowing gifts to ago di f a cettain benefit be granted".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> grahabhūtapišācās ca - sākinīdākinīgrahāh/

etesām nigrahah samyak - bhūtavidyā nigadyate// quoted in the Vss, p.752.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> bhürikamma is translated as "repeating charms while lodging in an earth house", in the DB and as "garder sa maison" (taking care of or staying in one's house) in the CBP.

# 15/6

# Jinadasa Liyanaratne

payogakaranam." Professor Richard F Gombrich points out<sup>7</sup> that Buddhaghosa's reading *bhitri* - in the 5th century A.D. is more authentic than the banalised reading *bhita*- in a Burmese manuscript of the 18th or 19th century, which cannot represent an old tradition. He further explains that "when a person is seeking supernormal powers (*siddhi*), he gets an initiation (*dikçā*) at which he receives a mantra. The next stage is known as *puraścarana* or 'preliminary action'; he has to practise what he has been taught, for example by reciring his mantra a fixed (large) number of times. This he does while staying in seclusion." Taking this to be the practice referred to by Buddhaghosa, Professor Gombrich translates the gloss on *bhūri*- as "staying in a house and practising the mantra one has received"; he suggests that bhūrikarma means "repetition (of amantra)".

(3) vassakamma, promotion of virility, is explained in the Sv as derived from "vasso" meaning "man" (Ethia vasso il puriso). Rev. R. Morris traces Päli "vassa" to Skt "varşa", from √vys. In comparison, he cites Skt varşadhara and Päli vassavara, "an eunuch", <sup>8</sup> It would be more generative vigour" of √vys, as shown in Monier-Williams' Sanskrit-English Dictionary, on the basis of the Dhâtagătha. In fact, the Skt adjectival form vşya, "productive of sexual vigour", occurs frequently in ävurvedic texts.<sup>8</sup> In that sense, it corresponds to väjikaraqua<sup>4</sup>, the last

īsat kasāyālpamalā guravah kaphašukralāh // Suśr Sū 46.17.

<sup>10</sup> Todaramalla explains väji as sukra (semen) and its production in a person as väjikarana. Bhagwan Dash and Lalitesh Kashiyap, Baric principles of Ayurveda based on Ayurveda saukhyam of Todarānanda, New Delhi 1980, p.60. The other interpretation is sexual vigour, similar to that of a horse (väji): yad dravyam puruşam väjivat suratakşamam karoti tad väjikarnam uzyane. Yaş, p.953. (Rājā Todaramalla of Oudh was a kinister to the Moghul Emperor Akbar, Idhi branch of the *aṣiānga*, described as the therapy promoting the increase, purification, accumulation and ejaculation of semen which is scanty, vitiated, deficient and dried up, and also causing pleasure (in men who are thus treated).<sup>11</sup>

(4) äcamana: The term occurs in the Suár (Šä 2.13) as a means of treating vitiated menstrual blood. The commentary explains the term as "water for washing the vagina" with the additional note: "made with drugs removing dopas like väta etc."<sup>11</sup> The Vsis explains àcamana as "rinsing of the mouth at the end of a meal".<sup>12</sup> Probably, the term in our text refers to "mouthwash", "rinsing" or "gargle" mentioned in äyurvedic texts (mukhapüranm).<sup>14</sup> Two kinds of mouthwash are described: kavala and gandüşa, the distinction between the two being that in kavala the medicinal liquid could be easily rolled in the mouth whereas in gandüşa it is the contrary (Suár Ci 40.62, Ah Sũ 22.11b). The meaning of acamana as mouthwash is supported by the explanation in the Sx uaktena mukhasiddihkaragam.<sup>15</sup>

(5) nahāpana (Skt snāna), bathing, is also recommended in āvurvedic texts as a preventive measure to preserve good health. The Suár (Ci 24.57-60) describes the benefits of bathing and (Ci 24.61-62) gives contraindications. The title of this 24th chapter is worth underlining: anāgatābādhapratisedha. Dalhana explains anāgata as

\* sneha-kşīra-kaşāyādi-dravyair mukhāpūranam gaņdūşah. Vss, p.352.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Personal communication dated 23/07/1995.

<sup>8</sup> Notes and queries, JPTS 1889, p.208.

<sup>°</sup>Cf. kaidārā madhurā vrsvā balvāh pittanibarhanāh/

Continues...

century A.D.. Twenty-three works attributed to him are collectively called Todarānanda.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>II</sup>väjikaranatantram nämälpa-dusta- ksina-visuska-retasäm äpyäyana-prasädopacaya-janana-nimittam praharsajananärtham ca. Suśr Sū 1.8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> ācamanam yoniprakşālanodakam, tad api vātādidoşaharadravyakrtam.
<sup>10</sup> bhotanāntamukhaksālane.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> The tr. given in the DB is "ceremonial rinsings of the mouth"; that in the CBP, "rincer la bouche" (rinsing the mouth), is more precise.

#### Jinadasa Liyanaratne

isadāgatah (anāgata isadāgatah, naī atra isadarthe, literally "not come", i.e. not apparend), ābādha as duhkham viādhir (pain, illness) and pratisedha as ciktistiam (remedying). The Car (Sū 5.94) also gives in brief the benefits of bathing, As bathing in the canonical passage is given as a craft eschewed by the Buddha, it has to be in that context a medical therapy rather than a part of personal regimen. Hence the Sv interpretation, "bathing others" (añtesam nahāganam). That it was also a medical therapy is corroborated in the Suśr statement (under snāna) that "In cases of an aggravation of the deranged Vāyu and Kapha, the head may be washed with warm water, as a medicine, after a careful consideration of the intensity of the disease".

(6) vamana, emetics and (7) virecana, purgatives are the two purificatory (sanjsoldana) therapies in Äyurveda.<sup>17</sup> Drugs having emetic and purgative properties, their administration, diseases for which the two therapies are effective etc. are treated, for instance, in *Suśr* Sū 39, Ci 33 and 34; Car Sū 15 and *A*h Sū 18.

(8) uddhavirecana (cleansing from the upper part of the body, i.e. emetics), (9) adhovirecana (cleansing from the lower part of the body, i.e. urgation) and (10) sirovirecana (= a kind of nazya, cleansing from the head, i.e. errhines or administration of medicinal substances through the nose, see foomote 18 below) form part of varmana and virecana. Dalhana explains: irrdhvahlágadharáni varmanakarániju

<sup>17</sup> Tatra dvividham samśodhanam - vamanam virecanam ca. Dalhana's commentary to Suśr Sū 39.3. arthah, adhobhāgaharāņīti virecanānīty arthah, širovirecanānīti nasyaprayogena širastham šleşmānam virecayanti srāvayanīty arthah (cleansing from the head means removing the phlegm of the head by means of the administration of drugs through the nose).<sup>18</sup>

(11) kannatela is explained in the Sv as boiling medicinal oil either for developing the ears or for removing ulcers (kannänam vaddhanattham vä vanaharanattham vä bhesajjatelapacanam). Kannatela seems to be the same as karnapäiranam of äyurvedic texts, i.e. filling the ears with medicinal oils etc. for curing ear ailments. <sup>19</sup>

(12) nettatappana, soothing of the eyes by pouring clarified butter mixed with lukewarm water into the cavities of the eyes and retaining it for a specified time is explained in detail in Suár Ut 18, among the following treatments of eye diseases: putopäka (extraction of

" Cf. karnam prapūrayet samyak - snehādyair mātrayā bhisak/

noccaih śrutir na bādhiryam syān nityam karņapūraņāt  $\parallel$ quoted in the Všs. p.220.

The tr. of kannatelam in the DB follows the explanation of the Sv. "Oiling people's ears (either to make them grow or to heal sores on them"). The CBP translates it as "preparer de l'huile pour l'oreille" (preparing oil for the ear), cf. CPD s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Bhishagratna's tr. Italics are mine.

ślesmamärutakope tu ñātvā vyādhibalābalam/

kämam uşnam siralışınanam bhaişajyärtham samācaret// Susr Ci 24.60. The DB renders nahāganam as "Ceremonial battings" and gives in a footnote the meaning of the Sv. "Bathings, that is, of other people"; the CBP tr. "baigner" (bathing) corresponds to the significance of the term in the Syurvedic context.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> See Dalhana's commentary to Susr, Sū 39.3,4,6.

The DB translates summa, viecana, uddhaviecana and adhaviecana as "administering enerties and purgatives". The tr. in the CBP is more precise: "Ainter vomit" (cause vomiting), 'häre purger' (cause purging), 'chasser les impuretés par le haut" (evacuating impurities from above), "les chasser par le bas" (evacuating them from below). The term sizourizena is translated in the DB and the CBP respectively as: "purging people to relieve the head (that is by giving drugs to make people sneeze)", and "chasser celles qui sont dana la tiet" (evacuating those [impurities] in the head). The explanation in the So off the five terms is: vamana it yogon dand's vamankaranan, viecena pi est 'eva nayo, uddhaviecanan it uddham dosănam niharanam, adhovirecanan i adhon niharanam, šisovirecandn'

## Jinadasa Liyanaratne

medicinal fluid through a process of heating to be used as *tarpaņa*), *seka* (sprinkling), *āścyotana* (eye-drops) and *añjana* (salves). The *Sv* explains it as oil for soothing the eyes (*akkhitappanatelam*).<sup>20</sup>

(13) natthukamma (S., Skt nasya), administration of medicinal substances, mainly oil through the nose (cf. sirovirecana above).<sup>11</sup> The explanation given in the Sv, "telam yojetvā natthukaranam", agrees with the description in Suśr. Valdya K.L. Bhishagaratna (see Suśr in the list of abbreviations) translates nazya as "snuff" and sirovirecana as "erthines".

(14) Suśr describes ařjana as being of three forms: pills, liquid and powder,<sup>22</sup> to be applied with a rod (śalākā) which, like the receptacle of the ařjana, should be made of one of the following materials: gold, silver, horn, copper, vai¢ärya precious stone (diamond), bell metal and iron (Ut 18.61). The Sv explains añjana as "alkaline salve capable of removing two or three layers (of the eyes)" (dve vă ñņi vă paţalāni niharanasamatham khārañjanam).<sup>3</sup>

(15) paccañjana (Skt pratyañjana), according to the Sv, is "a cooling medicinal salve prepared through successive soaking"

(bhāvaniya-sitala-bhesajjañjanam).<sup>24</sup> Pratyañjana is explained by Dalhana as a secondary salve (yad añjanasyānuprayuļyate, tat pratyañjanam, Suśr Ut 17.36). Bhishagratna further clarifies the term as a "secondary eye-salve (which) is an antidote for the over-use of an Anjana" (Vol.III, p.73, footnote).

(16) sālākiya (Skt śālākya) is that section of the eightfold science of medicine (aştānga Äyurveda) dealing with the treatment of diseases over the clavicle such as ears, eyes, mouth, nose,<sup>25</sup>

(17) sallakantika, described in the Sv only as "sallakantavejjakammani,", probably refers to šalyaciktisā of the aştānga, explained in the Suśr (Sū 1.7.i) as the removal of extraneous matter such as particles of grass, wood, stone, dust, metals, clay, bone, nails, pus from ulcers, as well as the use of surgical instruments, the application of alkalis (caustics) and fire (cauterisation), along with the diagnosis of ulcers.<sup>26</sup> Out of all surgical instruments and appliances, alkali (kāžra) is

pratvañianam srotasi vat samutthitam

kramād rasaksīraghrtesu bhāvitam/ Suśr Ut 17.36ab.

Bhāvanā is explained as "dravapadārthena punaķ punaķ auşadhamāraņe śosane ca" in the Vśs (p.748).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>The term is translated in the *CBP* as "des lavages d'yeux" (eyewashes) and in the *DB* as "Satisfying people's eyes (soothing them by dropping medicinal oils into them)".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> auşadham auşadhasiddho vä sneho näsikäbhyäm diyata iti nasyam. tad dvividham śirovirecanam snehanam ca. Suśr Ci 40.21. Details are given in the same text up to śloka 57. See also Ah Sū 20.

The DB and the CBP have the same meaning: "Administering drugs through the nose" and "des drogues à respirer par le nez" respectively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> gutikārasacūrņāni trividhāny anjanāni tu (Ut 18.58).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Aijana is translated in the DB as "applying collyrium to the eyes", in the CBP as "des collyres" (collyriums).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup>The tr. in the DB is "giving medical ointment for the eyes", that in the CBP: "desonguents" (unguents). Bhāvanā (verb bhāvayat) meaning repeated soaking in medicinal liquids, is rendered "Bhāvanā santation" by Bhishagratna (Vol.III, p.73 and passim). On both pratyarājana and bhāvanā, ef.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> jäläkyam nämordhvajatrugatänäm iravana-nayana-vadana-ghränädisamiritänäm vyädhnäm upakamanärham (Suir Sü 1.7.ii). Both the DB and the CBP translate this term as the treatment of cyc diseases ("Practising as an oculist" in the former and "exercer ("ophthalmologie" in the latter).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> The DB and the CBP rendering of the term as surgery ("presching as a surgeon", "In chinargic" respectively) agrees with "falya" in the aştâhga: i dayam năm vividhe-tra-käştha-pâştâŋa-pâŋtu-ioha-logisthi-bâlanakha-pâştărăvadaşta-vranântargarbha-layodâharaŋārtham, yantra-katra-kârăgniprandikhau-vapanthiscoğarham (a. Surfs SL ).7.1

#### Jinadasa Liyanaratne

1816

considered as the best and cautery (*agnt*) as the better (the good being, by the way, the application of leeches, *jalaukāvidhi*).<sup>37</sup> The two therapeutics *kşārapākavidhi* and *agnikarmavidhi* are described in detail in *Suár* Sū 11-12 and Ah Sū 20; (*jalaukāvidhi* in *Suár* Sū 13 and Ah Sū 26:35-45).

(18) därakatikächä, "komärabhaccaveijakammam," in the Sv, is the kaumärabhrtya (paediatrics) branch of the aştärga Äyurveda, including the nursing of rinfants, the purification of mothers' milk, the pacification of diseases caused by vitiated mothers' milk and the evil influence of malignant stars and spirits, which are classified by Dalhana as bodily and external causes.<sup>31</sup>

(19) mülabhesajjänam anuppädänam is explained in the Sv as "käyatikiccham". This term in the aştäñga means general diseases, explained by Sustruta as "the appeasement of diseases of all parts of the body such as fever, internal haemorthage, dehydration, insanity, skin diseases, urinary affection, diarthoea.<sup>79</sup> (20) osadhinam paţimokkho is explained in the Sv as "khārādīni davā tadanurīpe hiaņe gate tesam apanayanam" (applying alkali etc. and removing them when the time for them has passed). These are obviously the methods of treatment known as khāraggividhi, referred to in § 17 above.<sup>30</sup>

It appears from the above discussion that santikamma (= bhitavidyā), vassakamma (= vājīkaraņā), sālākiya, sallakattika (= salyaciktsā), dārakattikicchā (= kaumārabhrya) and mülabhesaija (= kāyaciktsā) fall within the eightfold division of Ayuveda. On the other hand, vamana, virecana, nathukamma and sirovirecana form part of the fivefold therapies (paīcakarma<sup>1</sup>) of āyuvedic texts. The application of alkali and cautery (kşārāgnividhi) also has an important place among äyuvedic remedies.

As these basic terms of äyurvedic therapy are found in two Theraväda Buddhist canonical texts, it may be deduced that those medical practices were already known during the time of Gotama Buddha, for according to the Theraväda tradition, the Brahmajäla- and Sämaiñaphala-suttas were the foremost among those authenticated at the first council held in Räiasaka, immediately after the mahaparinirväaa.<sup>3</sup>

Cf. vamanam recanam nasyam nirūhas cānuvāsanam/

jñeyam pañcavidham karma vidhānam tasya gadyate // Siddhasāra, ed. R.E. Emmerick, Wiesbaden 1980, 30.1.

Continues ...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> šastrānušastrebhyah kşārah pradhānatamah, Suśr Sū 11.3; kşārād agnir garīyān, Ibid. Sū 12.3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> kaumärabhṛtyam nāma kumārabharana-dhātrikşiradoşa-samśodhanārtham duştas tanya-grahasamuthānām ca vyādhīnām upašamanārtham. (Sušr Sū 1.7.v), Dalhaŋa's commentary: tatra duştas tanyena šārīrāh, duştagrahenāgantavah.

The DB and the CBP have the same meaning, "practising as a doctor for children" in the former and "la pédiâtrie" in the latter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> käyacikitä näma sarvähgasantritänäm vyäähinäm jara-raktapittaiogonmäääpasmära-kuyha-mehälisäräälinäm upaisannaitriham. (Suir Sü L.iii). Thii si trasistetti ein the DBs a sadministering roots aud drugs" and in the CBP as "appliquer de nouveaux remèdes consistant en neines" (application of new remedies consisting of roots). Bishiagatant (Vol.L. p.3 Gottone) explains: "The term Käya literally signifies the vital heat or fire which runs through the entire system, and hence the Käya-chikkisä deals with diseases which may gradually invade the root-principes of a living human organism".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> This is translated in the *DB* as "administering medicines in rotation", and in the *CBP* as "contre-carrer l'effet de médicaments" (counteracting the effect of medicaments).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> vamana (emesis), vireka (purgation), nasya (administration of medicinal drugs through the nose), nirūha or āsthāpana (decoctional enema) and anuvāsana (oleaginous enema).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> See K.R. Norman, op. cit., p.7. The date of the Buddha's parinir/rata is generally considered as 544 B.C. in South Asian Buddha's countries. However, this date has been subject to controversy and suggestions have been made be learned as the subject of t

### Jinadasa Liyanaratne

These therapeutics, as seen above, are dealt with in the *Suśr*, which forms part of the major triad (*vpdihattrayi*) of *äyurvetice* literature. According to the late Professor Jean Filliozat, the *Suśr* may be dated back to the last centuries of the pre-Christian era, before coming down to us in a fixed form in the first centuries of the post-Christian era. He also shows that the Suśruta school was anterior to the *Car* for the reason that the latter refers to the specialists of the Dhanvantari school which was the same as the Suśruta school.<sup>33</sup> This fact is pointed out by Bhishagratna, too, (Vol.I, p.xi). Filliozat's thesis that the *Suśr* would have been in the making in the "last centuries of the pre-Christian era" is thus supported by the passage in the *Brahmajlla*- and *Sămajinaphala-sutas*.

This passage also raises the question of the Buddhist attitude to medicine in that the medical practices under discussion have been described as disclained means of wrongful livelihood. This question has been answered by T.W. Rhys Davids in his remark that there was objection to Buddhist monks' practising medicine "as a means of livelihood", but they might do so "gratis for themselves or for their coreligionists, and laymen might do so for graim" (DB, p.26)<sup>24</sup>. Rhys Davids' statement finds support in the immense contribution made by Buddhists to the development of Indian medicine. Celebrated äyurvedic authors like Agniveśa, Caraka, Vägbhața, Năgărjuna and those of the iatrochemical school, according to the French medical practitioner and Indologist, Palmyr Cordier, were Buddhists.<sup>39</sup> Kenneth G Zysk adduces the theory that traditional Indian medicine developed among the mendicant accetics of *stramagnas* and that "Buddhism played a key role in the advancement of Indian medicine through its institutionalization of medicine in the Buddhist monastery<sup>1,84</sup> To these observations may be added, in conclusion, that of R.C. Majumdar, a historian coming from an äyurvedic background: "In medicine, the contributions of Buddhist scholars are of great significance and outstanding value. Nägärjuna, the medical author, the later Nägärjuna (who composed many treatises on *rasacitistä*, metallungy and alchemy). Vägöbhata, many of the Siddha authors of the iatrochemical school, and nearly all the medical missionaries who carried Indian medical science into Tibet, Central Asia, China and Cevion were followers of the Buddhist faith<sup>\*,77</sup>

I am grateful to Professor Richard F Gombrich for the courtesy of making very useful critical observations on the draft of this paper. My thanks are due to the Pali Text Society for financing this research.

Sainte-Geneviève-des-Bois

Jinadasa Liyanaratne

71

bring it down to the 5th or even the 4th century B.C. See Heinz Bechert, *The dating of the historical Buddha*, (Symposien zur Buddhismusforschung, IV,1-2, Göttingen 1991, 1992).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> La doctrine classique de la médecine indienne, ses origines et ses parallèles, 2nd ed., Paris 1975, pp.11-12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> See also my paper, "Buddhism and traditional medicine in Sri Lanka", to appear shortly in *Pacific World*, Journal of the Institute of Buddhist Studies, Berkeley.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Palmyr Cordier, Quelques données nouvelles à propos des traités médicaux sanscrits antérieurs au XIIIe siècle, Calcutta 1899, p3; Id., Vägbhata et Vistinàpairtaissamhitä, Eukeis sur la médecine inhidoue, Besanon 1856, p3. Both these texts are reproduced in Arion Rosu, Gustave Liétard et Palmyr Cordier, Travaux sur l'histoire de la médecine indienne, Paris 1989, pp.393ff, 419ff.

<sup>\*</sup> Asceticism and healing in ancient India, Medicine in the Buddhist monastery, New York Oxford 1991, p.118.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> A concise history of science in India, eds. D.M. Bose, S.N. Sen, B.V. Subbarayappa. New Delhi 1989 reprint, p.220f.

# ABBREVIATIONS (Publications)

- Ah = Aştāngahrdayasamhitā, ed. Harišāstri Parādkar, Varanasi 1982, reprint.
- CBP = Canon bouldhique päli, texte et traduction, Suttapitaka, Dighanikäya, par Jules Bloch, Jean Filliozat, Louis Renou, Tome I, Fascicule I, Paris 1949. (Based on the Cambodian ed., compared with the PTS and Burmese eds.).
- Car = Carakasamhitā, ed. P.V. Sharma, 2 Vols., Varanasi 1981, 1983.
- DB = Dialogues of the Buddha, Tr. T.W. and C.A.F Rhys Davids, Pt.I, London 1989 (SBB Vol.II).
- DN = The Digha Nikāya, Vol.I, PTS 1890.
- Sv = The Sumangalaviläsini, Buddhaghosa's commentary on the Digha Nikāya, Pt. I, PTS 1886.
- Suśr = Suśrutasamhitā, ed. Jādavji Trikmaji Ācārya and Nārāyan Rām Ācārya, 2 Vols., Bombay 1938; K.L. Bhishagratna, Tr. Suśrutasamhitā, 3 Vols., 3rd ed., Varanasi 1981.
- Vśs = Vaidyakaśabdasindhu, ed. Umeśacandra Gupta, 3rd reprint, Varanasi 1983.

## (General)

- Ci = Cikitsāsthāna
- S. = Sinhala
- Si = Siddhisthāna
- Sü = Sütrasthāna
- Ut = Uttarasthāna

# Studies in Vinaya technical terms I-III

These are intended to be the first three of a series of "micrographies". the purpose of which is to bring together and sort out the relevant Pa. data about a given term occurring in Vin, Kkh, Sp, and in secondary literature. "Vinaya technical term" is taken here in a broad sense, including, on the one hand, what are stricto sensu non-technical terms, such as those designating, or referring to, realia dealt with in Vinava rules - e.g., civara; on the other hand, technical terms shared both by Vinaya texts and by other Indian legal literature - as exemplified recently by Schopen, Business, Sub-commentaries and compendia (Vib. Vin-vn, Utt-vn, Khuddas, Müla-s, and, when eventually available to me, Sp-t, Vmv, Kkh-t) will be resorted to only when deemed helpful. BHS and Skt. parallels are not meant to be exhaustive, but to provide a convenient set of references for further comparisons with the Pa. data; except when required by the complexity of the data involved, they will not be discussed per se, but only insofar as they help us to understand the form, meaning(s), or range of application of a Pa. term. Chin. and Tib. data - derived from secondary literature in European languages will be resorted to only exceptionally.

The application of Thv(M) prescriptions to *both* monks and nuns will be mentioned only where and when explicitly stated in a text, so as to reflect the relative scarcity of data concerning nuns, and above all to avoid unwarranted extrapolations from the *Bhikkhuvi-vingy* on the excuse that the latter is a truncated version of the former, from which full details about the organisation of former musi communities could allegedly be retrieved safely *ex silentio*.

Skt. references are according to school, in the following order: Sa, Mü, Mä, Dha, then — should the occasion arise — Mī, any others, and unidentified schools; texts belonging to one and the same school are

Journal of the Pali Text Society, Vol. XXII, 1996, p.73-150

150

vvagra: I n. 8. vācanā: I § 5. vinava-karma: In. 41. vivrta: II § 2b.iii. vyūdha, vyūdhaka: II § 2b.ii; n. 37. samatha: II § 1. salākā-grahana, °-cāraka, °-cārana; II § 2b.iii. śiksāpadadravyatā-vyavacārah: III n. 47. sakarna-tuntunaka: II § 2b.iii. sampha: I § 1; II n. 49; °-karaniya: I § 7; °-karma: I § 3; °-bheda: II § 1a: māla-° II n. 41. samphâdisesa: I § 3 d; n. 1. samghâvaśesa: III n. 6. sammukha; dharma-°, pudgala-°, samgha-°; II n. 29; °-karanīva °-vinaya: II § 2a. samatha: II § 1. samanu-śrāvav-: I n. 30. sarvasāmghika: II § 2b.iii. sa-vastuka, a-v°: I § 7. sīmā: I § 1; n, 4; II n, 37. sūtra-dhara: II § 2b.ii; n. 50. sthalastha: I n. 41; II § 2b.ii; n.45. sthavira: II § 2b.ii; n. 49. sthānârha: I § 7; n. 36. (a-)sthāpanârha: I § 7. (a-)sthāpanīva: I § 7. sthūlâtyaya: II n. 62. sthūlârti(-gāminī); II n. 62; III n. 9. smrti-vinaya: II § 2c.

# The Sambuddhe verses and later Theravādin Buddhology\*

and the loss

# 1. The Sambuddhe verses in Siam

A short verse text, entitled simply Sambuddhe or Sambuddhe gähä, is well known in Siam. In the Royal Chanting Book, it is one of the ancillary texts placed at the beginning of the Seven Paritas (Sattaparita) — also known as the Lesser Royal Parita (Cularăjaparitra) or, in Thai, Seven Protections (Jet Tamnan) — and the Twelve Paritas (Dvädasaparita), also known as the Greater Royal Parita (Mahārājaparitra) or Twelve Protections (Sipsong Tamnan).<sup>1</sup> It is included in the various books of chants that are widely available, and in a Khmer script palm-leaf manuscript in the collection of the Siam Society.<sup>2</sup> Since the Seven and Twelve Paritas belong to the liturgy of the Siamese order of monks (saʿngha), the Sambuddhe verses are familiar to or known by heart by most monks and novices. Her I will give the Päli of the Royal Chanting Book, Followed by an English translation.

Journal of the Pali Text Society, Vol. XXII, 1996, pp.151-183

<sup>\*</sup> This is a revised version of an article first published in the Journal of the Secretarial Office of H.H. the Supreme Patriarch, Bangkok, Vol. I, No. 2, January-March 2536 [1993], pp. 73-85.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Suar mant chabap luang, 13th ed., Bangkok, 2526 [1983], pp. 3-4 and 32-33, respectively (the second occurrence is abbreviated). For the interpretation of tamman as "protection" I follow Dhanit Yupho, who derives the word from the Päli äng, changed to tammän and then to tammän: see his Anuphap phraparit (The Power of Paritia, in Thail, Bankok, n.d., p. 12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Oslar von Hinüber, "The Påli Manuscripts Kept at the Sian Society, Bangdotc. A Short Catalogue", *Journal of the Sam Society 75* (1987), § 52a, p. 46. The text given by von Hinüber, which might date to the latter part of the 19th century, agrees with that of the *Royal Chanting Book*, with a few minor orthographical variants and misprints.

#### Peter Skilling

# 22/6

1.1. Pāli text

- (1) sambuddhe aṭṭhavisañ ca dvādasañ ca sahassake pañcasatasahassāni namāmi sirasā aham tesam dhammañ ca saṅghañ ca àdarena namāmi 'ham namakārāmubhāvena hantvā sabbe upaddave ankkā antarāyā pi vinassantu asesato
- (2) sambuddhe pañcapaiñāsañ ca catuvisatisahassake dasasatasahassāni namāmi sirasā aham tesam dhammañ ca saighañ ca ādarena namāmi 'ham namakārāmubhāvena hantvā sabbe upaddave anekā antarāyā pi vinassantu asesato
- (3) sambuddhe navuttarasate aţihacattāļisasahassake visatisatasahassāni namāmi sirasā aham tesam dhammañ ca sanghañ ca ādarena namāmi 'ham namakārāmubhāvena hantvā sabbe upaddave anekā antarāyā pi vinassantu asesato

# 1.2. Translation

 With my head I pay homage to the 500 thousand, 12 thousand, and 28 Sambuddhas; to their Dhamma and their Sangha I respectfully pay homage. By the power of [this] act of homage may all misfortune be destroyed and all variety of danger be removed, without exception. The Sambuddhe verses and later Theravādin Buddhology 153

- (2) With my head I pay homage to the 1 million, 24 thousand, and 55 Sambuddhas; to their Dhamma and their Sangha I respectfully pay homage. By the power of [this] act of homage may all misfortune be destroyed and all variety of danger be removed, without exception.
- (3) With my head I pay homage to the 2 million, 48 thousand, and 109 Sambuddhas; to their Dhamma and their Sangha I respectfully pay homage. By the power of [this] act of homage may all misfortune be destroyed and all variety of danger be removed, without exception.
- 2. The Sambuddhe verses in Burma

A number of recensions of the Sambuddhe-gāthā are said to exist in Burma. The "standard" recension consists of only the first verse of the Siamese version, with one extra line. Whether the remaining two verses are given in other recensions remains to be seen.<sup>3</sup> I transcribe here the sole printed version available to me, without any changes.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Sambuddhe verses are included in several manuscripts in German collections: see Heinz Braun and Daw Tin Tin Myint, *Burmese Manuscripts*, Part 2 (Verzeichnis der orientalischen Handschriften in Deutschland, Band XXIII.2), Suntzart. 1985, §8 194, 227, 358.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> My transcription is from a xerox-copy of a small book of gäthär for which I do not have any bibliographical data; the division of the verses into three sections follows this text (*ac*, *ibia*, and *ac*). Ven. Dhammänanda Mahähhäre a G Burma, now residing at Wat Tamao, Lampang, has confirmed orally that the version known to him consists of only the first verse of the Siamese version, and that *i* contains the extra line, which he describes as a "later addition".

# Peter Skilling

9316

2.1. Pāli text

- sambuddhe aṭṭhavīsañ ca | dvādasañ ca sahassake || pañcasata sahassāni | namāmi sirasāmaham ||
- (2) appakā vāļukā gangā | anantā nibbutā jinā | tesam dhammañ ca sanghañ ca | ādarena namām' aham
- (3) namakkārānubhāvena | hamtvā sabbe upaddave || aneka antarāyā pi | vinassantu asesato ||

# 2.2. Translation

With my head I pay homage to the 500 thousand, 12 thousand, and 28 Sambuddhas. The sands of the Ganges are few, the Conquerors [Buddhas] who have attained nibbāna are limitless: to their Dhamma and their Sangha I respectfully pay homage. By the power of [this] act of homage may all misfortune be destroed

and all variety of danger be removed, without exception.

The phrase *nibbutā jinā* indicates that the Buddhas belong to the past. The verses are very popular in Burma, where lay-followers often know them by heart. They are held to be highly efficacious in averting calamity, eliminating obstacles, and promoting welfare, and many stories are circulated about their miraculous power. The Sambuddhe Cetiya at Monywa on the Chindwin River, in Sagaing Division to the northwest of Mandalay, represents 512,028 Buddhas. It was apparently built less than 100 years ago.

# The Sambuddhe verses and later Theravādin Buddhology 155

The Siamese verses pay homage to three groups of Buddhas, numbering 512,028; 1,024,055; and 2,048,109, respectively. As pointed out by von Hinüber, if the first group is x, the second is 2x-1, and the third 2(2x-1)-1. A question naturally arises: what is the significance of these rather large numbers of Buddhas, and from what text or texts are the numbers derived? The figures cannot refer to present Buddhas, since it is a firm tenet of the Theravädins that only one Buddha, in the present age Sakyamuni, can exist at one time.<sup>3</sup> They should therefore refer to figures, and to put the question in its proper context, we must first look briefly at the development of the theory of past and future Buddhas according to the Theravädin and other Buddhist schools.<sup>4</sup>

3. The development of the theory of past Buddhas<sup>7</sup> 3.1. The common heritage

Through his own effort Sakyamuni realized enlightenment beneath the *bodhi*-tree near Gaya, and thus became an enlightened or awakened one, a Buddha. Not long afterwards, *en route* to Varanasi, he

<sup>4</sup> For this subject, see 1.Ph. Vogel, "The Past Buddhas and Käšyapa in Indian Art and Epigraphy", in Asiatica, Festschrift Friedrich Weller, Leipzig, 1954, pp. 808-16; I.B. Horner (ttr.), The Minor Anthologies of the Pail Canon, Part III, London, 1975, Preface to Chronicle of the Buddhas (Buddhavansa), pp. t.-xvii; Richard Gombrich, "The Significance of Former Buddhas in the Theravädin Tradition", in Somaratna Balascoriya et al. (eds.), Buddhist Studies in Honour of Walpola Rahula, London, 1980, pp. 62-72; Isshi Yamada (ed.), Karnaöpundarika, London, 1988, Vol. 1, pp. 121-26.

<sup>7</sup>References to Päli texts are to the editions of the Päli Text Society (PTS), unless otherwise noted. References to Tibetan texts (Q) are to the *The Tibetan Tripitaka, Peking Edition*, ed. D.T. Suzuki, Tokyo-Kyoto, 1955-61. Chinese texts are referred to by Taishō catalogue (T) number.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See, however, Heinz Bechert, "Buddha-field and Transfer of Merit in a Theravāda Source", Indo-Iranian Journal 35 (1992), pp. 95-108.

# The Sambuddhe verses and later Theravādin Buddhology 157

#### Peter Skilling

24/1

met an ascetic (*ājīvaka*) named Upaka. Impressed by the Buddha's appearance, the latter asked, "Who is your teacher (*satthā*)?" The Buddha replied:

I have no teacher. There is no one like me: in this world with its gods I have no counterpart. I am the arhat in this world; I am the unsurpassed teacher; alone I have become fully enlightened; I have become cool and realized nibbāna.<sup>8</sup>

The Buddha claimed to have realized enlightenment by himself, and to be the only Buddha in the world in his time. He did not, however, claim to have been the only person to have ever become a Buddha. A phrase referring to "those who were arhats, fully enlightened Buddhas in the past..those who will become arhats, fully enlightened Buddhas in the future" occurs in several places in the Tipitaka.<sup>9</sup> In the Gārava-sutta, Brahmä Sahampati speaks the following verses:

> The Buddhas of the past, the Buddhas of the future, and the Buddha of the present, destroyer of much sorrow, dwelt, will dwell, and dwell paying respect to the Good Dhamma:

this is the natural rule for Buddhas.10

<sup>8</sup>Maiihimanikāya I 171,7 (Ariyapariyesana-sutta).

In the Nagara-sutta, the Buddha gives the following simile: a man travelling in the jungle discovers an ancient road travelled by the people of the past; he follows it, and comes to an ancient city, a royal capital. The Buddha explains that similarly he has discovered an ancient path travelled by the Buddhas of the past, that is, the noble eightfold path.<sup>11</sup>

It is thus clear that the concept of a plurality of past and future Buddhas is implicit to the early strata of the Tipitaka, not only of the Theravādins but also of other schools.

The earliest lists of past Buddhas give the names of six predecessors of Sakyamuni, making a total of seven Buddhas. Such lists occur in the Dighanikäya: in verse in the Adañagiva-sutta (DN III 19527-1963) and in prose in the Mahāgadāna-sutta (DN II 2,15 foll), as well as in the (Müla)Sarvästivädin equivalent of the latter, the Mahāvadāna-sitra 1<sup>17</sup> The list also occurs in the Finaya literature: in the Theravädin Bhikkhu-vibhanga (Vin III 7-9); in the Mülasarvästivädin Prätimoka;<sup>16</sup> Sayanāsanavastu,<sup>16</sup> and Prawajvässtu;<sup>15</sup> in the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Samyuttanikäya I 140,1-5 (spoken by Brahmä Sahampati), ye pi te bhante ahesum atitam addiñaram arahanto sammäsambuddhä...ye pi te bhante bhavissanti anägatam addhänam arahanto sammäsambuddhä. Dighanikäya III 99,17-100,5 (Sampasädaniya-sutta, spoken by the Buddha).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Samyuttanikäya I 138-40; a (Müla)Sarvästivädin version of the sütra is found in Samathadeva, Abhidharmakosopäyikä-tikä, Q5598 (Vol. 118), thu 130b-132a6; for Sanskrit of the verses only, see Franz Benhard (ed.), Udänavarga (Sanskrittere aus den Turfonfunden X), Vol. I, Göttingen, 1965, XXI, II-12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Samyutaniköpa II 104-7. The Sanskrit version of the Sarvistivätin school is found in the Nidänasamyuka: see Chandrabbil Tripähi (ed.), Fünfundzwarzig Sitras der Nidänasamyuka: Kansbritteret aus den Turfanfunder VIII), Berlin, 1962, pp. 94-106; the Pinzpa version of the Milasarvästivädin school is los in Sanskrit but preservel in Tibetan translation in hitter Parvarjävezui: see Helmut Eimer (ed.), Rab tu 'byung ba'i gzhi, Vol. II, Wiesbaden, 1983, pp. 281, 4-289, <sup>20</sup> The Sanskrit edition of this text is not available to me, but the relevant passage is cited in Tibetan translation in but, hur (1028-1033), from the r7ogs pa bried pa chen po'i mdo. Cf. also Etienne Lamotte, La Traité de la Grande Fernt de Sageser, Vol. I, Louvin, 1965, p. 533 end n. 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Anukul Chandra Banerjee (ed.), Two Buddhist Vinaya Texts in Sanskrit, Calcutta, 1977, p. 55,16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Raniero Gnoli (ed.), The Gilgit Manuscript of the Sayanāsanavastu and the Adhikaraņavastu, Rome, 1978, pp. 27-30.

2516

Peter Skilling Lokottaravādin Mahāvastu<sup>16</sup> and Prātimoksa.<sup>17</sup> and in other texts of all periods and schools, too numerous to mention.

The seven Buddhas are named in inscriptions and represented "aniconically" on the monuments of Bhärhut and Säñchi (circa 2nd-1st century BCE).18 From the early centuries of the Common Era they are depicted (sometimes along with Metteyya) in human form in the sculpture of Mathura and Gandhara, 19 and, during the Gupta period, in the murals of Aianta.20 Although tradition placed these Buddhas acons before Sakyamuni, it also held that certain sites in India were associated with three of his predecessors: the Nigali Sagar pillar of Asoka (reigned ca. 272-236 BCE) records that the Emperor enlarged the thupa (thuba) of Konakamana (Konāgamana) in the fourteenth year of his reign, and that he visited and worshipped it again at a later date,<sup>21</sup> while the Chinese pilgrims Fa-hsien and Hsüan-tsang describe various sites in India connected with all three.<sup>22</sup> Similar traditions developed in South-east

" Cf. John Marshall, A Guide to Sānchī, Calcutta, 1955, pp. 57-58 and pl. ii; Alexander Cunningham, The Stupa of Bharhut, repr. Varanasi, 1962, pp. 108-9, 113-16, and pls. xxix-xxx. The representation of the bodhi tree and inscription of Sikhin have not been found.

" Cf. Alexander Coburn Soper, Literary Evidence for Early Buddhist Art in China, Ascona, 1959, pp. 198-99.

#### The Sambuddhe verses and later Theravadin Buddhology 159

Asia, for example in Burma, where the Shwedagon Pagoda is believed to enshrine relics of Sakyamuni and his three predecessors.23

# 3.2. The Theravädin theory of past Buddhas

A study of the development of the Theravadin theory of past Buddhas must take into account two interrelated aspects: the number of past Buddhas referred to, and the nature and length of the bodhisatta's career during the many past lives in which he practised the perfections (pāramī) and aspired to enlightenment. The career is measured in two types of aeon: the "[ordinary] aeon" (kappa) and the "incalculable aeon" (asankhevya, asankhiya). The texts give various definitions of the latter: here it should be seen as an extremely large number ("zillions") of aeons, each of which is in itself long enough to confound the human imagination. It is important to remember that, except in the theory's earliest phase, all of the past Buddhas were either associated with Sakvamuni himself when he was a bodhisatta, or are associated with certain types of bodhisattas in general. That is, the number of past Buddhas is never closed: a given figure always refers to the number of Buddhas honoured by Sakyamuni or a representative bodhisatta during a specific period of his bodhisatta career. The implications of this will be discussed in the concluding section.

(1) The earliest phase, which is the common heritage of all Buddhist schools, has been described above. It allows a plurality of past Buddhas, and names seven - Sakyamuni and his six predecessors - as in the Dighanikāva and Vinaya.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Nalinaksha Dutt (ed.), Gilgit Manuscripts, Vol. III pt. 4, [Calcutta, 1950] Delhi, 1984, p. 32.6. The same passage occurs in the Samgharaksitāvadāna: P.L. Vaidya (ed.), Divyāvadāna, Darbhanga, 1959, p. 206, 8.

<sup>16</sup> Radhagovinda Basak (ed.), Mahāvastu Avadāna, Vol. III, Calcutta, 1968, pp. 320 foll; five predecessors (omitting Sikhin) are given in verse at Mahāvastu Vol. I, ed. S. Bagchi, Darbhanga, 1970, p. 240, 14,

<sup>&</sup>quot;Nathmal Tatia (ed.), Prātimoksasūtram, Patna, 1975, pp. 36-37.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>Vogel, p. 811.

<sup>21</sup> Cf. E. Hultzsch. Inscriptions of Asoka, Oxford, 1925, p. 165. 2 Alexander Soper, loc. cit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Aung Thaw, Historical Sites in Burma, Rangoon, 1972, pp. 112-14. Only the three immediate predecessors who, like Sakyamuni, arose in the Auspicious Acon (bhaddakappa) could leave traces or relics: the earlier predecessors could not, since they arose in earlier acons.

# Peter Skilling

(2) In the next phase, the Buddhavanisa names 27 (24 + 3) past Buddhas; when Gotama is counted, there are 25 or 28. The same text, <sup>34</sup> along with the Cariyàpitaka,<sup>32</sup> the Milindapañha,<sup>36</sup> and the Visuddhimagga,<sup>37</sup> states that the bodhisatta's career lasts four incalculable acons plus 100,000 lesser acons. Both the number of Buddhas and the description of the career are unique to the Theravädins. The first two texts are canonical, although modern scholarship holds them to be later additions; the Milindapañha dates over a number of centuries, from the 2nd century BCE to the early centuries CE.<sup>38</sup> The Visuddhimagga was composed by Buddhaghosa in the 5th century. The theorics most probably date to the beginning of the Common Era, if not earlier.

(3) The Suttanipäta-atthakathā and Cariyāpiţaka-atthakathā describe three types of bodhisatta, distinguished by the predominance of one of the three faculties of wisdom, faith, or energy. The length of the career of the first type of bodhisatta is as described in the preceding; that of the second is two times the first; of the third two times the second, with the additional figure of 100,000 aeons remaining constant:

- (i) "strong in wisdom" (paññā-adhika), attaining enlightenment in four incalculable aeons plus 100,000 aeons;
- (ii) "strong in faith" (saddhā-adhika), attaining enlightenment in eight incalculable aeons plus 100,000 aeons;

# The Sambuddhe verses and later Theravādin Buddhology 161

(iii) "strong in energy" (viriya-adhika), attaining enlightenment in sixteen incalculable acons plus 100,000 acons.<sup>29</sup>

The Suttanipära-attihakathä is traditionally ascribed to Budhaghosa (5th century CE), although doubts have been expressed about his authorship,<sup>34</sup> the Caryiapitaka-attihakathä is ascribed to Dhammapåla, who may have worked about the middle of the 6th century.<sup>31</sup> Neither text enumerates any Buddhas. In the Dhammapadlatthakathä, also attributed to Buddhaghosa, the Buddha is presented as saying that "many thousands of Buddhas have lived by going for alms".<sup>32</sup> Much later, the theory of the three types of bodhisatta in the form given above was incorporated into Lanna works such as the Pathamamillamält.<sup>33</sup>

(4) The next phase is represented by such late Pāli works as the Sotatthakī-mahānidāna,<sup>34</sup> the Sambhāravipāka,<sup>35</sup> the Mahāsampinda-

<sup>30</sup> See K.R. Norman, op. cit., p. 129.

26/1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup>Buddhavamsa II,1 (PTS ed. p. 9).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup>Cariyāpitaka I,1 (PTS ed. p. 1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Milindapañha, PTS ed. pp. 232-34, 289; Mahāmakuta ed. pp. 247.7 foll., 365 penult.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup>Mahāmakutarājavidyālaya edition II 100.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> See K.R. Norman, *Päli Literature* (Jan Gonda, [ed.], *A History of Indian Literature*, Vol. VII, fasc. 2) Wiesbaden, 1983, pp. 110-13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Suttanipäta-atţhakahā, Mahāmaturatījavidyālaya edition I 58-59; Cariyāpiţaka-aţhakahā tr by Bhikkhu Bodhi in The Discourse on the Allembracing Net of Views, Kandy, 1978, pp. 325-27. In the latter the three types are equated with the three individuals (ugghaţitañāu, vipacītiañāu, nopoj), see also François Martini (ed. tr.). Dasabadhistant-auddesa, Bulletin de l'École française d'Extrême-Orient 36 (1936), pp. 335 (text), 367-68 (translation); Medhaħkara, Lokadīpakasdra, National Library-Fine Arts Department, Bangkok, 529 (1986), pp. 535-54.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup>Norman, p. 137.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Dhammapadatthakathā (Mahāmakuta ed.) III 164,19 (Suddhodana-vatthu), anekāni hi buddhasahassāni piņdāya caritvā va jīviņsu.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup>Anatole-Roger Peltier (ed., tr.), *Pathanamülamüli*, Chiang Mai, 1991, pp. 8–9, 102–3. Note that both the French (p. 124) and English (p. 192) translations of the first passage give the wrong figure — 12 instead of 16 — for the last type. <sup>35</sup>Southakh-mahnidiana, Bangkok, 2526 (1983), Päil text pp. 3–4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Phra sambhäravipäka (Thai translation), Vol. 1, Bangkok, Rattanakosin era 126, pp. 4 foll.; Supaphan na Bangchang, Vivadhanakär varrnagati päli sai phra suttantapiţak ti taeng nai prades thai, Bangkok, 2533 [1990], pp. 135-50.

# Peter Skilling

nidāna,<sup>36</sup> and the *linakālamālī*, and by Sinhalese works such as the Sadaharmālankarāja.<sup>37</sup> The theory seems to have first appeared in the Ceylon of the Polonarauva period (11th–13th centuries CE), but the question of its origins needs further study. Here the career of the first type of bodhisatta is expanded into three stages, according to the nature of his aspiration to enlightenment.<sup>38</sup> His career lasts altogether 20 incalculate acons plus 100,000 acons.

- (i) aspiration by mind only, for seven incalculable aeons;
- (ii) aspiration by mind and speech, for nine incalculable aeons;
- (iii) aspiration by mind, speech, and body, for four incalculable aeons.

The texts give breakdowns of the number of Buddhas served in each aeon, along with other details. In the *Jinakālamālī* (which does not calculate the total figure) the breakdown by chapter is as follows.<sup>29</sup>

Manopaņidhānakathā	1	(Purāņadīpaņīkara, p. 5,24)
Mahānidānakathā	125,000	(p. 7,3)
Atidūrenidānakathā	387,000	(p. 9,3)
Dūrenidānakathā	27	(3 - excluding Dīpamkara -
		p. 9,15, plus 24, p. 19,32
		kassapo catuvīsatimo)
Total:	512, 028	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup>Handwritten transcription by Ven. Ñāņāvāsa, pp. 10-11 (I am grateful to W. Sailer for supplying a copy); Supaphan, pp. 150-57.

<sup>38</sup> The three periods are mentioned in the *Cariyāpitaka-atţhakathā* (6th century), but not correlated with aeons: see Bhikkhu Bodhi, op. cit., p. 313.

# The Sambuddhe verses and later Theravādin Buddhology 163

The North Indian scholar Daśabalaśrimitra, writing probably in the 12th or the 13th century, cites an as yet unidentified Theravādin source that gives an accurate account of the theory:<sup>40</sup>

> The Arya Sthaviras state that "Sakyamuni realized omniscience (sabbaññutā) after 20 great incalculable aeons plus an additional 100.000 aeons. Herein, as a bodhisatta the Lord served 125.000 Buddhas for [the first] seven incalculable aeons, aspiring for enlightenment by means of mental resolve alone (bsams na tsam nid kvis). For the next nine incalculable aeons he served 387,000 Buddhas, engaging in the bodhisatta practices (bodhisatta-cariva) and aspiring by means of mind (citta) and speech (vācā). For the next four incalculable acons he served 12 Buddhas, engaged in practices devoted to enlightenment, and aspired for enlightenment by means of body (kāya), speech, and mind (manas). For 100,000 acons the Lord, as a bodhisatta. served 15 Buddhas, engaged in the practices of a bodhisatta, and completed all the secondary practices, by means of body, speech, and mind; at the culmination (agga) of the 100,000 aeons the Teacher realized omniscience."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See the translation or summary from that work in R. Spence Hardy, A Manual of Buddhism, repr. Varanasi 1967, pp. 86–97. Cf. Encyclopaedia of Buddhism, Vol. III, fase. 3, [Colombo,] 1973, pp. 359–60; N.A. Jayawickrama, Epocks of the Conqueror, London, 1968, p. xix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> A.P. Buddhadatta, Jinakālamālī, London, 1960.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Q5865, Vol. 146, folio ño 38a4 foll. His work, An Analysis of the Conditioned and the Unconditioned, survives only in an anonymous Tibetan translation of a lost Sanskrit original: see Peter Skilling, "The Sanskrtäsanskrtaviniścaya of Dasbabatśrnitra", Buddhist Studies Review 4/1 (1987), pp. 3-23.

# The Sambuddhe verses and later Theravādin Buddhology 165

Peter Skilling

That the theory became popular is shown by the fact that it was incorporated into vernacular works in Sinhalese,<sup>41</sup> Burmese,<sup>42</sup> Khūn,<sup>43</sup> and Lanna Thai.<sup>44</sup>

(5) The next phase is a logical development of the preceding: the theory of the three types of aspiration is applied to the remaining two types of bodhisata. As before, the length of the career of the second type is twice that of the first, that of the third twice that of the second, and the figure 100,000 remains constant:

- (i) "strong in wisdom", realizing omniscience in 20 incalculable aeons plus 100,000 aeons;
- (ii) "strong in faith", realizing omniscience in 40 incalculable aeons plus 100,000 aeons;
- (iii) "strong in energy", realizing omniscience in 80 incalculable aeons plus 100,000 aeons.

I have not found this theory in Päli. It is found in a number of Central Thai texts, <sup>45</sup> and in Lanna texts such as the *Pathamamūla*.<sup>46</sup>

(6) One final step could be taken, and it was: the number of Buddhas was described as limitless. In the non-canonical Dasabodhisattuppatti-kathä, the Buddha tells Säriputta that "there have been limitless and countless (anantäparimänä) noble people in the world who have successively fulfilled the perfections and attained Buddhahood".<sup>67</sup> A similar statement is found in the Dasabodhisatta-uddeaca, where the Buddha tells Säriputta that "there have been Buddhas," de Buddha anantä ahearm): I would reach the endoths".<sup>66</sup> The apocryphal reached the limit of the enumeration of Buddhas".<sup>66</sup>

<sup>4</sup>Nägapradip (ed.), Sambhäravipäka, Bangkok, 2504 [1961], pp. 246–47; Pira Sri äry bistär, kand 5, folio 33a; [Somdet Phra Vanaral], Phra Mahäpurusqalakapan, Bangkok, 2504 [1961], pp. 34–35; Phra Srivisuddhisobhana (Viläša Nänavaro, P. Dh. 9), Munindihadipani, Bangkok, 2516 [1973], pp. 37–46; Gana Sahäydharm, Phra Sri-ariyamettraty, Bangkok, 2535 [1992], pp. 8–10.

<sup>★</sup>Pathanamila, in Lokuppatii aranavatäilira pathanamila pathamakap ise Malatantraiy, Naitonal Library-Fine Arts Department, Bangkok, 2533 [1990], w pp. 115, 152 (the text of the former passage is corrupt, and gives the figures 22, 4, and 80). The Pathanamila is another version of the Pathanamilamili cited above; it is interesting that the two recensions incorporte different versions of the theory. For the origin myth presented in these and related texts, see Emmaned Guillon, "The Ultimate Origin of the World, or the Mulä Muh, and Other Mon Beiles", Journal of the Sam Society 791 (1991), pp. 22–30.

<sup>a</sup> H. Saddhaitssa, *The Birth-Stories of the Ten Bodhistatus and the Dasabodhisatuppantikatină*, PTS, London, 1975, text p. 119, tr. p. 54. (The long introduction [pp. 1-53] girves a valuable survey of sources on past and future Buddhas, although 1d ont always agree with the Ven. author's conclusions.) <sup>a</sup> Dasabodhistura-audesa, text p. 297, tr. p. 337.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Cf. the introduction to the Saddharma Ratnävaliya, composed by Dharmasena Thera in the 13th century: Ranjini Obeyesekere (tr.), Jewels of the Doctrine, Albany, 1991, p. 2. The concept is worked into the narrative in a manner that implies it would be familiar to the readers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Not knowing Burmese, I have only one example to offer: P. Bigandet, *The Life or Legend of Gaudama*, Vol. 1, repr. Varanasi, 1979, pp. 6–7, 16–17. This is a translation of a Burmese work entitled *Tathägata-ukdam* (Vol. 1, Prefaze, p. xv) which is based on the Päli *Mälälamkära-varinu* (?) (see Vol. II, p. 149, n. 11, and p. 151).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Sao Säimöng Mangräi, The Pädaeng Chronicle and the Jengtung State Chronicle Translated, Ann Arbor, 1981, pp. 99–100. There is some confusion in the figures.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Traibhūmi chabap lanna, Chiang Mai University, 2524 [1981], phuk ton, pp. 1–14; Tamnan Mülaśāsanā, Bangkok, 2518 [1975], pp. 1–2, 17–18, etc.

# The Sambuddhe verses and later Theravādin Buddhology 167

# Peter Skilling

Jatt

*Äkāravatta-sutta* speaks of "Buddhas as many as the sands of many Ganges rivers".\*

Available archaeological or epigraphic evidence for the development of the Theravädin theory is scanty and late. A Päli inscription giving a verse list of the 28 buddhas and dating from the middle of the 11th century was discovered at Thaton in Lower Burma;<sup>50</sup> the verses (known in Ceylon as the Atavisi-pirit) are incorporated without tile into the Aţānātiya-paritta of the Twelve Parittas in the Royal Chanting Book.<sup>31</sup> The 28 Buddhas were in full vogue during the Pagan period (11th-12th century), whether in mural or sculptural art or on terracotta tablets.<sup>32</sup> In Lanna art, ornamented carved wooden stands (phaeng) were made to hold numbers of small Buddhas: 28, or larger numbers.<sup>31</sup> Larger numbers of Buddhas are also found on tablets of the Pagan period, which depict rows of identical Buddhas totalling 50 or about 100 figures;<sup>44</sup> tablets with 500 Buddhas are known in Siam.<sup>35</sup> might even speculate that the figures 50 or 500 are abbreviated references to the first figure, 512,028, and the figure 100 to the second figure, 1,024,055. Tablets with 55 Buddhas form Wat Mahādhātu in Sukhothai<sup>36</sup> might represent the 55 Buddhas of the 1,024,055 of the second verse; like the 28 of the 512,028, this would be a significant group with its own identity. But these interpretations are by no means certain: texts of about the same period extol the merit gained from reproducing the image of the Buddha, and may also have influenced the tablets.<sup>77</sup> An Old Burnese ink-gloss from Wetkyi-in Kubyauk-gyi at Pagan refers to "past or future Buddhas...be they more in number than the grains in a heap of earth". The Wetkyi-in Kubyauk-gyi has been tentativeh dated to 'no later than 1200 CEP.<sup>78</sup>

In a Burnese inscription from the Thahte Mokku temple at Pagan, dated 558 or 59 Sakka era (1195 or 96 CE), the concept of a bodhisatta career lasting four incalculables plus 100,000 aeons is incorporated into the dedication. The editors note that "after the fall of Pagan the phrase becomes a *cliché*, many inscriptions beginning with [a similar phrase]. Here we have probably its first appearance in Burnese.<sup>159</sup> In literature a parallel phenomenon is seen in the Päli *Jinamahänätan a*<sup>69</sup> and in the Sinhalese Saddharma Ramävaliva and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Padmanabh S. Jaini, "Äkäravattärasutta: An 'Apocryphal' Sutta From Thailand", Indo-Iranian Journal 35/2–3 (July 1992), § 6, ane käya gangäya välukuppameht buddhehi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>G.H. Luce, "The Advent of Buddhism to Burma", in L. Cousins, A. Kunst, and K.R. Norman (eds.), *Buddhist Studies in Honour of I.B. Horner*, Dordrecht, 1974, p. 133. Cf. also n. 53 (p. 137), which needs confirmation and clarification. <sup>3</sup>Suat mant chabap luang, p. 39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup>Thiripyanchi U Mya, Votive Tablets of Burma, Part I, pls. 10, 72, 108, 110; Gordon H. Luce, Old Burma-Early Pagan, Vol. III, New York, 1970, pls. 65-67.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Muang Boran Journal 14/2 (Apr.-June 1988), pp. 93, 94; Muang Nan: Boranagadi, Prawatisat lae Silpa, Fine Arts Department, Bangkok, 2530 [1987], pp. 145, 226.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup>U Mya, pls. 8, 36, and 43, 46, 107, 109, respectively; Luce, Old Burma-Early Pagan, pl. 68.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> See *The Silpakorn Journal* 33/3 (July-August 1989), p. 8, found at Wat Chamadevi, Lamphun, dated to the 10th-12th century CE.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Illustrated in Piriya Krairiksh, "A New Dating of Sukhothai Art", Muang Boran Journal 12/1 (Jan.-March 1986), p. 42, fig. 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Cf. verses in Richard F. Gombrich, "Kosala-Bimba-Vannana", in Heinz Bechert (ed.), Buddhism in Ceylon and Studies on Religious Syncretism in Buddhist Countries, Göttingen, 1978, pp. 299-302.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Col. Ba Shin, K.J. Whitbread, G.H. Luce, et al., "Pagan, Wetkyi-in Kubyaukgyi, an Early Burmese Temple with Ink-glosses", Artibus Asiae XXXIII/3 (1971), pp. 195, 217 (for dating).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Pe Maung Tin and G.H. Luce, "Inscriptions of Burma, Portfolio I", Bulletin of the Burma Historical Commission III (1963), pp. 102-7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> Jinamahānidāna, National Library-Fine Arts Department, Bangkok, 2530 [1987], Vol. I, p. 1.

#### Peter Skilling

Lanna Pathamamūla and Mūlašāsanā referred to above — the mention of the bodhisatta's career is a formula and not an integral part of the text.

3016

The Sotatthaki-mahānidāna is included in a list of books donated to a monastery at Pagan in 1442;<sup>44</sup> a verse from the same text, summarizing the four rebirts of the bodhisata that directly preceded his first encounter with a Buddha is cited (with some variants) in a Päli inscription from Bassein, dated to the 15th or 16th centuries.<sup>44</sup> The same text may also be referred to in a Sukhothai inscription from the first half of the 14th century, with reference to future Buddhas.<sup>40</sup> In the modern period, the theory is very much alive: in 1986 a temple called Cetiya Vihāra Sambuddhe enshrining 512,028 Buddhas was constructed in Mae Sot district, Tak, in imitation of the temple at Monywa in Burma referred to above.<sup>46</sup>

# 3.3. The theory of past Buddhas in other Buddhist schools

We have seen above that the concept of a plurality of past and future Buddhas and the list of seven past Buddhas are part of the

# The Sambuddhe verses and later Theravādin Buddhology 169

common Buddhist heiriage. In order to place the development of the Theravädin theory in a broader context, I will briefly describe the theories of some other schools. In contrast to the theory of four (or the later figure of 20) incalculables plus 100,000 aeons of the Theravädins, a basic figure of three incalculables was adhered to by the Vaibhäşikas of Kashmir, the Mülasarvästivädins, the Särnmatiyas, and some Mahāyānists. Since the scriptures of most of the "18 Buddhist schools" are lost, our information about the overall development of Buddhism in India is incomplete. In the present case, we have access to the texts of the schools mentioned above, plus those of the Lokottaravädin branch of the Mahāsarupšikas for some points.

# 3.3.1. The Vaibhāşika and Mūlasarvāstivādin theory of past Buddhas

Daśabalaśrimitra quotes a text of the Vaibhāşikas of Kashmir, which describes Sakyamuni's service to 75,000 Buddhas in the first incalculable acon, 76,000 in the second, and 77,000 in the third. Verses with the same figures are found in the *Bhaişajyavastu* of the *Vinaya* of the Milasarvästivädins.<sup>65</sup> The three incalculable aeons were followed by a period of 91 lesser aeons during which Sakyamuni served a number of other Buddhas.<sup>46</sup> The *Bhaişajyavastu* of the Milasarvästivädin *Vinaya* gives a verse description of the bodhisstat's past lives under various Buddhas, and the acts of worship or service that he performed for each; this is followed by a prose list of the names of 60 past Buddhas.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Mabel Haynes Bode, *The Pali Literature of Burma*, [London, 1909] Rangoon, 1965, § 95, p. 104; G.H. Luce and Tin Hiway, "A 15th Century Inscription and Library at Pagan, Burma", in O.H. de A. Wijesekera (ed.), *Malalasekera Commemoration Volume*, Colombo, 1976, § 95, p. 229.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Mons. Charles Duroiselle, "Bassein", Annual Report of the Archaeological Survey of India 1920-30 (repr. Delihi, 1990), pp. 158-60; cf. Sotatthakimahānidāna verse 23. A similar verse is found in the Sambhāravipāka (S. Thammaphaki, Bangiok, 2504, p. 28).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Prachumsilacharuk, Vol. I, Bangkok, 2467, p. 48: lines 38–39 of face 2, mahänlähan, Praset Na Nagara and A.B. Griswold, Epigraphic and Historical Studies, Bangkok, 1992, No. 10, pp. 371–72; introduction to Sotatihakhanlähan, pp. 9–10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> Supamat Kasem, "A unique temple with half a million Buddha images", Bangkok Post, Vol. XLI No. 235, Section Three, Monday, August 25, 1986.

<sup>66</sup> Q1030, Vol. 41, ge 254b6 foll.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Dasabalaśrimitra 37b3 foll. For these sources, see the discussion in E. Obermiller (tr.), *History of Buddhism (Chos-hbyurg) by Bu-ston*, Part I, Heidelberg, 1931, pp. 102-4. The figure 91 refers to the fact that Vipassin arose 91 acons before Salyamuni.

170

#### Peter Skilling

# 3.3.2. The Sāmmatīya theory of past Buddhas

Daśabalaśrīmitra reports the theory of the Sāmmatīya school as follows:

According to the Sämmatiya school, "The present Salvarmuni served 77,000 Buddhas in the first incalculable [aeon], starting with the former Buddha Sakyarmuni; in the second incalculable he served 76,000 Buddhas, and in the third incalculable he served 75,000, ending with the Buddha Indradhyaid. He then realized true and complete enlightenment (*samyaksambodhi*),"<sup>67</sup>

The Sämmatiya figures agree with those of the Vaihääsikas and Mülasarvästivädins, except that the order is reversed. The total is the same: they agree that as a bodhisatta Sakyamuni arcved 228,000 Buddhas over a period of three incalculable aeons, to which the Vaihääsikas and Mülasarvästivädins add a period of 100 aeons (in general, but in the case of Sakyamuni only 91).

3.3.3. The Lokottaravādin theory of past Buddhas

The Buddhology of the Lokottaravädins is given in two sections of the Mahāvastu.<sup>84</sup> Many past Buddhas are listed in succession by name; various details are given, including the relationship of some of them to Sakyamuni as a bodhisatta. Several texts or layers of text seem to be conflated, and it is difficult to detect a coherent system in the mass of names and acons. There is, however, a list of 16 past Buddhas

# The Sambuddhe verses and later Theravadin Buddhology 171

(including Sakyamuni), similar to those found in the Mahāštiavanasitira, the Mahākarunāpuņdarika-sitira, and the Chinese \*Abhinişkramaņa-sūtra.<sup>99</sup> Elsewhere Sakyamuni tells Mahāmadgalāyana that as a bodhistat he worshipped countless Buddha.<sup>30</sup>

# 3.3.4. The Mahāyāna and past Buddhas

216

Adherents of the Mahäyāna accepted the literature of the Śrāvaka schools, subjecting it to new interpretations. They generally agreed that the bodhisatta's career lasted three incalculable acons; a second theory gave the figure 33,<sup>71</sup> while the great Tibetan scholar Bu ston Rinpoche discusses theories of 3, 7, 10, and 33 as found in various Indian texts.<sup>72</sup> Numbers of past Buddhas are mentioned in the vast Mahāyāna sitra literature. As seen above, the MahāKarunāpunţāartāa lists 14 past Buddhas; the *Lalitavistara* lists 55 (or, in the Chinese translation by Dharmarkşa, 48);<sup>70</sup> both lists end with the well-known seven past Buddhas (who always retained their popularity in the Mahāyāna, as in the Śrāvaka schools). The *Bodhisattva-pitaka* describes Sakyamuni's meeting with various past Buddhas;<sup>44</sup> and mention of individual Buddhas connected with Sakyamuni in the (often very distant) nest are scattered throughout the Mahāyāna sütra literature.

<sup>n</sup> Daśabalaśrimitra 40b6 foll.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup>Daśabalaśrimitra 37b1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Mahāvastu I 32-44; III 300-331. For an English translation see J.J. Jones (tr.), *The Mahāvastu*, Vol. I, London, [1949] 1973, pp. 39-52; Vol. III, London, [1956] 1978, pp. 219-39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Mahdwartu III 318,9-319,3; Mahážitawana, Derge edition of the Thetan Kanjur on, 552, rgyud pha, 13807 foll; for the last two texts see Yamada, op. cit., Vol. I, p. 126, n. 2 and Jan Nattier, Once upon a Future Time: Studies in a Buddhist Prophecy of Decline, Berkeley, 1991, p. 83 and n. 70. The Mahdwartu and Mahážitawana give 16 Buddhas, including Sakyamuni. The \*Abhinistramana gives 15, the Mahákarunápundarika 14, both excluding Sakyamuni, who is, needless to say, implied.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup>Mahāvastu I 32.2; cf. also 39,15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Obermiller, Vol. I, pp. 119-27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>n</sup>Cf. Yamada, op. cit., p. 126, n. 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup>Ouoted by Bu ston in Obermiller, Vol. I, pp. 125-27.

172

### Peter Skilling

3211

There are also lists of past Buddhas associated with Buddhas other than Sakyamuni. The Sukhävathyäha lists 80 (in a Sanskrit recension) or 53 (in a Chinese translation) Buddhas who preceded Lokesvararija, under whom the future Buddha Amitäbha made his vows as the bodhisatta monk Dharmäkara.<sup>33</sup> Another 53 Buddhas of the fardistant past are named in the Süra on the Contemplation of the Two Bodhisattwas, King of Healing and Supreme Healer, translated into Chinese in about 424 CE.<sup>46</sup> The Bhadrakalpika-süra names 1,000 past Buddhas of the "Auspicious Acon" (bhadrakalpika),<sup>47</sup> and the names of another 1,000 past Buddhas are invoked for protection in a süttar translated into Chinese during the Liang dynasty (502–57).<sup>8</sup>

But no list or lists were held to be authoritative, and there is no evidence that the Mahāyāna developed a single theory of past Buddhas. Even a single text such as the Sütra on the Contemplation of the Two Bodhisatrives referred to above mentions in a single breath the seven Buddhas of the past, the 53 Buddhas, the 1,000 Bhadrakalpa Buddhas, and the 35 Buddhas.<sup>79</sup> (Such anomalies are only to be expected. The Mahāyāna was not a monolithic movement with a single geographical or historical centre; rather, it is a general name applied to diverse streams of thought that developed in far-flung areas of India over many centuries, united primarily by their exaltation of the bodhisatta ideal. Furthermore, some of these streams gave more emphasis to "present Buddhas", such

# The Sambuddhe verses and later Theravādin Buddhology 173

as Amitäbha or Akşobhya, or to "transcendental Buddhas" such as Vairocana.) In his commentary on the Abhidharmakosa, dGe 'dun grub, the First Dalai Lama, states that "according to the Mahāyāna, [the bodhisatta] worshipped limitless Buddhas in each incalculable".<sup>10</sup> A similar idea is found repeatedly in Mahāyāna sūtras, which mention imumerable Buddhas not only of the past but also of the present.

# 4. The development of the theory of future Buddhas 4.1. Future Buddhas and the Theravāda

We have seen above that, like the Buddhas of the past, the Buddhas of the future are referred to in the plural in the Päli canon. In the early texts only one future Buddha, Metteyya, is named, and only in one place, in the Cakkavattishnaida-suita <sup>81</sup> Later Theravisini texts such as the Dasabodhisattuppatti-kathā <sup>83</sup> and Dasabodhisatta-uddesa<sup>13</sup> give the names and "biographies" of ten future Buddhas, starting with Metteyya; the latter text promises that a person who worships the ten Sambuddhas will not be reborn in hell for 100,000 aeons.<sup>84</sup> The Southaki-mahānidāma mentions 510 bodhisattas who will become

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup>Soper, 200-201.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Fo-shuo kuan yao-wang yao-shang erh-p'u-sa ching (T 1161), tr. in Raoul Birnbaum, The Healing Buddha, Boulder, 1979, pp. 130-32.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> Dharma Publishing, *The Fortunate Aeon: How the Thousand Buddhas Become Enlightened*, Vol. IV, Berkeley, 1986, pp. 1480-1733.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Kuo ch'ū chuang yen chieh ch'ien fo ming ching (T 447): Soper 201-2; M.W. de Visser, Ancient Buddhism in Japan, Paris, 1928, pp. 380-81.
<sup>39</sup> Birnbaum, p. 133.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>®</sup>Mdzod ţig thar lam gsal byed, Varanasi, 1973, p. 270,1, theg chen pas ni grans med pa re re la yan / sans rgyas dpag tu med pa la bsñen bkur byas par bźed do.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Cf. Dighanikāya III 75-76 and Dighanikāya-aţţhakathā (Nālandā ed.) II 97.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> See H. Saddhatissa, op. cit. For this, the following work, and related literature, see Supaphan Na Bangchang, pp. 190-204.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See F. Martini, op. cit. The (unpublished) thesis of Pharn Wong-Uan, *Anāgatavamsa* (1980), gives a study, critical edition, and Thai translation of this work.

Dasabodhisatta-uddesa, text p. 334, tr. p. 367, ime dasa ca sambuddhe yo naro pi namassati, kappasatasahassāni nirayam so na gacchati.

# 33/6

# Peter Skilling

future Buddhas.<sup>15</sup> In the Dasabodhisattuppatti-kathā, the Buddha tells Săriputa that the number of beings who will become Buddhas in future is limitless and countless (*anantāparimāņā*), and states that he himself cannot count the future Buddhas.<sup>86</sup>

There is even less archaeological evidence for the ten bodhisattas than for the 28 or more Buddhas. A Sukhothai inscription from the time of King Lidayya (Mahādharmarāja I) dated to CE 1361 refers to "Metteyya, etc., the ten bodhisattas",<sup>37</sup> an Ayutthaya period chant lists their names.<sup>48</sup> They are depicted in 18th century Ceylonese painting at the Dambulla caves and at the Malvatta and Kulugammana Rājamaha Vihāras in Kandy District.<sup>49</sup> The wish to become a Buddha in the future occurs in inscriptions and colophons. A Pagan period terracotta tablet gives the aspiration to become a Buddha of Thera Ananda;<sup>40</sup> the Sukhothai period monk Śrisaddhā performs a successful "act of truth" (*saccakiryā*), starting "If it is true that I shall attain onmiscience and become a Buddha..."," King Lidayya also was "fully The Sambuddhe verses and later Theravādin Buddhology 175

resolved to become a Buddha".<sup>22</sup> Such aspirations could not be made if the number of future Buddhas was not held to be open.

# 4.2. Future Buddhas and other Buddhist schools

The cult of Metteyya or Maitreya was accepted by all known Buddhist schools. I have not found any lists of future Buddhas in the works of the Vaibhāşikas, Mülasarvästivādins, or Sāmmatiyas. The *Bhaisajayavastu* and *Šayanāsanavastu* of the Mülasarvästivādin *Vinaya* name only one future Buddha, Maitreya.<sup>39</sup> In the first decade of the Mülasarvästivādin *Avadānašataka*, the Buddha predicts the future Buddhahood of ten individuals, but these are only examples, and are not meant to make up a definitive list. The number of future Buddhas is open.

Daśabalaśrimira reports that "according to some, five Buddhas arise in this very 'Auspicious Acon' (*bhadrakalpa*); according to others, 500; and according to still others, 1,000<sup>1,94</sup> Interlinear notes in the Peking edition attribute the first theory to the Sthaviras, the second to the Sämmatiyas, and the third to the Mahāyāna.<sup>35</sup> While the first and last are amply confirmed by other sources, the ascription of 500 Bhadrakalpa Buddhas to the Sämmatiyas cannot be confirmed.

Three Sarvāstivādin texts in the Central Asian language of Uighur refer to 500 Bhadrakalpa Buddhas. Two of these are Maitreya

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Sotatthaki-mahānidāna v. 629 (text p. 96), dasuttarā pañcasatā bodhisattā samuhatā. I am not certain of the derivation here of samuhata, but the figure is clear. The phrase is spoken by the Buddha in answer to a question put by Ananda, "How many [bodhi]sattas have you predicted?"

<sup>\*</sup> Dasabodhisattuppatti-kathā, tr. p. 54, text p. 119.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Prachumsilacharuk, Vol. I, p. 103: lines 12–13 of face 3, ariyamettepyädinam dasannam bodhisatihama.... See also Prasert and Griswold, Epigraphic and Historical Studies, No. 11, pt. 1, pp. 424 foll. (repr. from The Journal of the Siam Society 61/1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>88</sup>Supaphan Na Bangchang, pp. 195-96.

<sup>&</sup>quot; H. Saddhatissa, pp. 20-21 and plates I and II.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup>Luce, Old Burma-Early Pagan III, pl. 68, ānandattherena katam rūpam / tena buddho homi.

<sup>9</sup> Prasert and Griswold, op. cit., p. 392.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92</sup> Prasert and Griswold, pp. 496-97.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Bhaisajyavastu, loc. cit., Sayanāsanavastu, p. 30.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>94</sup>Daśabalaśrimitra 42b5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> The notes are not found in the Derge edition ("Karmapa Reprint", *dbu ma ha*, 139b6-7).

# Peter Skilling

3416

texts of the Maitrisimit class,<sup>86</sup> while one is a confessional text for laity.<sup>97</sup> Two commentaries by two different authors on two different sections of the Mülasarväsitvädin Vinaya give the same figure. The Vinayavastujika, a commentary on the Vinayavastu by the Sütra Expert (sütradhara) Kalyāņamitra, states that "Fortunate Aeon is a classification of time (kaltavišeşa): it is auspicious because in it 500 Tathâgatas arise". The Vinayavibhairga-padavyäkhyäna, a commentary on the Vinaya-vibhairga by Vinitadeva, states that "a great Fortunate Aeon is a beautiful aeon (sundara-kalpa), because in it 500 Buddhas arise".<sup>88</sup> The Ch'i fo fu-mu hsing-teu ching, a recension of the Mahāpadāna-sutta of unknown school which was translated into Chinese between 240-34 CE, states that "in this bhadrakalpa there will be a full 500 Buddhas".<sup>90</sup> Since adherents of the 500 Bhadrakalpa Buddhas would agree that four Buddhas including Sakyamuni, have already arisen, this means that 496 Buddhas

The Bahubuddha-sūtra of the Mahāvastu of the Mahāsāmghika Lokottaravādins names only Maitreya,<sup>100</sup> but elsewhere the Mahāvastu

<sup>77</sup> Nattier, loc. cit, referring to Jan Nattier (ed., tr.), Ksanti qilmag nom bitig. An Uighur Confession Text for Laity, unpublished manuscript, 1974 (not seen).
\*05616, Vol. 122, 'dul 'grel vu, 85b7.

"Nattier, loc. cit.

10 Mahāvastu III 319, 3; 323, 4; 327, 4; 328, 4.

# The Sambuddhe verses and later Theravadin Buddhology 177

states that 1,000 Buddhas arise in the Auspicious Aeon.<sup>101</sup> The names of 1,000 future Buddhas are invoked in a sütra translated into Chinese in the first half of the 6h century.<sup>102</sup> This figure was widely disseminated in the literature of the Mahāyāna, for example in such perennially popular sütras as the Saddharmapundarika and the Vimalakirin-inrideia.<sup>103</sup>

# 5. A solution to the Sambuddhe riddle?

Now, after a detour of several acons, we may return to the Sambuddhe verses. The texts agree that the Buddha Sakyamuni is an example of the first type of bodhisatta:<sup>104</sup> that is, his career lasted either four or twenty incalculable acons plus 100,00 acons. Since in traditional Buddhology the career of a bodhisatta or the acts of a Buddha are stereotyped — what applies to one applies to all — all bodhisattas of the first type should presumably, like Sakyamuni, encounter 512,028 Buddhas. That figure in the first verse may therefore represent either the number of Buddhas honoured by Sakyamuni, or by the first type of Buddhas honoured by Sakyamuni, or by the first type of

<sup>an</sup> Mahakarani III 437, 10. The text here is confused, and contains several lacunae. While the mention of 1,000 Buddhas might be an interpolation, it is followed by an incomplete description of the extent of the radiance of a number of Bhadrakalpa Buddhas, past and future; this suggests that at least the later Lokutaravidina accepted the figure. The names of the future Buddhas do not agree with those given in the Bhadrakalpika-stitra. See Jones' notes in The Mahawata, You III, p. 322.

<sup>304</sup> See, for example, Jinakälamäli 1,26–2,1, amhäkam bhagavä kappasatasahassädhikäni cattäri asankheyväni päramiyo püretvä buddhabhävam patto paññädhiko näma paññindriyassa balavattä.

<sup>\*</sup> Ian Natier, Once Upon a Future Time: Studies in a Buddhist Prophecy of Decline, Asian Humanites Press, Berkley, 1991, n. 30, pp. 23-24. referring to Sinasi Tekin (ed., tr.), Mairisimit, Vol. 1, Akademi Verlag, Berlin, 1980, p. 44,11-16 (not seen); Das Zusammentreffen mit Maitreyau: die ersten für Kapiel der Hami-Version der Mairisimit, in Zusamnenarbeit mit Helmut Einer und Iens Peter Laut hertusgegeben, übersetzt und kommentiert von Geng Shimin und Hans-Joachtin Klinnkt, Tell J. Wiesbden, 1988, p. 75.

Wei lai hsing su chieh ch'ien fo ming ching (T 448): see de Visser p. 381.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Cf. Soper pp. 200-202, Nattier, loc. cit., and references and discussion in Peter Skilling, "Buddhist Literature: Some Recent Translations" (particularly the review of *The Fortunate Aeon*), *The Journal of the Siam Society*, Vol. 80/1 (1992).

# The Sambuddhe verses and later Theravädin Buddhology 179

#### Peter Skilling

35/6

bodhisatta in general.<sup>103</sup> It follows that the figure 1,024,055 of the second verse could refer to the second type of bodhisatta, and the figure 2,048,109 of the third verse to the third type of bodhisatta, since the multiples are similar. In the (apocryphal) Armaveristuta, the past Buddha Sikhi is said to have fulfilled the perfections for eight incalculable acons plus 100,000 acons; this means he was a bodhisatta of the second type.<sup>106</sup> In the Jinakälamäli, Dipankara, Purānaskyamuni (plus several other past Buddhas), and Mettayya, the next Buddhas encountered by the three types of bodhisattas of the past, present, and future. I have not, however, found a text to support this.

When and where were the Sambuddhe verses composed? At present I cannot suggest an answer. If the Burmese version, which refers to only 512,028 Buddhas, is the original, it could have been composed by the 11th century, by which time the idea of the "longer career" lasting 20 incalculable acons seems to have appeared. Further research into Ceylonese, Burmese, Mon, and Shan sources, both epigraphic and literary, must be conducted before even an approximate date for the two higher figures can be suggested.

The tradition reported by such texts as the Sotatthakimahänidäna is the final and most developed theory of the Theravädins. The number of past Buddhas served by Sakyamuni as a bodhisatta surpasses that given by other Śrāvaka schools, as does the duration of his career, even in its shortest and earliest form as four acons.<sup>107</sup> Similarly, the Theravädins adopted a theory of ten perfections ( $p\bar{a}rami$ ) against the six of Šrāvaka schools such as the Vaibhāşikas, Müllasarväsivädins, Sämmatiyas,<sup>108</sup> and Lokottaravädins,<sup>109</sup> or of some Mahāyāna sūtras such as the *Prajňāpāramitā*. By classifying these under three grades they obtained a total of thirty,<sup>110</sup> again surpassing the figures given by other Śrāvaka schools, and also the mainstream Mahāyāna. on the subject of Buddhology the Theravädins were far from conservative: they seem to have been the most innovative of the known Śrāvaka schools. That this tendency began at an early date is shown by the fact the theories of four acons and thirty perfections occur in the canonical Buddhavamas. by the beeinnine of the Common Era.

The three figures given in the Sambuddhe verses are not final, and the greatest figure of 2,048,109 is not the maximum number of Buddhas of either the past or the future. The figures only represent the number of Buddhas served by the three types of bodhisattas. In the first case, each of the 512,028 Buddhas would, during his own career, have

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>185</sup>Since the Burmese version gives only the first figure, and since the extra line places the Buddhas in the past, that version might refer only to the Buddhas honoured by Sakyamuni.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Arunavatī-sutta in Lokupatti arunavatī...(see n. 46) p. 43,8, sikkhī bodhisatto kappasatasahassādhikāni attha asaņikheyyāni pāramiyo pūretvā....

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup>Note, however, that Vinitadeva's Nikäpubhedopadariana-samgraha attributes to the Mülasarvästivädins a theory that "a bodhisatta attains [enlightemment] in from ten to thirty incalculable acons" (Q5641, Vol. 127, u 1904, byai chub sens dpa' ni biskal pa grants med pa bcu phan chad nas sum cu tshun chad kyis 'grubo). If this reference can be confirmed by other sources, it would suggest a development parallel to that seen in the Theravidin school.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> See Dasabalastimira 17188, "great bodhisatas, after cultivating the six perfections for three incalculable aeons...realize enlightement" (byan chub sems dpa' chen por rans ni skal pa grads med gsum du pha roi tu phyin pa drug spyad pas...yan dag par ratogg pa'i sans rgyas su 'gyur ro).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Cf. Buddhavenso 1 76-77 (PTS ed. p. 6); Apadäna, Buddhäpadäna, Mahämakupatäjavidyälaya ed. (Vol. 32) p. 2,2. For a thorough study of the aparanis, see H.R.H. Princess Mahä Chakri Stindhorn, Dasapärami in Theraväda Buddhism (Dasiapärami nai buddhašäsanätheraväd, in Thai), Bangkok, 2325 [1982].

### The Sambuddhe verses and later Theravadin Buddhology 181

#### Peter Skilling

36/6

served either 512,028, 1 million plus, or 2 million plus Buddhas, and each of those Buddhas would, in the course of their own quests for enlightenment, have done the same, in each case depending on the type of bodhisata. The same may be said for future Buddhas. Thus the number of Buddhas implied by the Sambuddhe verses stretches towards infinity in both past and future. This late Theravädin Buddhology is reflected in other chants, such as in the lines that follow the verses of homage to the seven Buddhas in the *lines* that follow the verses of homage to the seven Buddhas in the *lines* that follow the verses of homage to the seven Buddhas in the *lines* and other Sambuddhas, many thousands of millions<sup>1,11</sup> As seen above the Burnese Sambuddha-gäthä adds a line referring to limitess Buddhas, compared to whom the number of grains of sand in the Ganges River is insignificant.

The Theravädin theory seems to describe a full circle, from the open plurality of past and future Buddhas of the earliest texts to the open infinity of past and future Buddhas of the lasts texts. The "infinity" of Buddhas is implied but unstated in the earliest, pan-Buddhist theory: in a Samsāra that has no beginning or end there must arise in succession Buddhas without beginning or end. The "infinity" of Buddhas completes the idea of the earliest texts by expressing what was left unstid. It does not contradict the various numbers of Buddhas, past or future, given by the Theravädin or other schools: such figures refer in all cases to specific groups of Buddhas in relation to other Buddhas or to certain periods of time (as, for example, the 512.028 Buddhas served by Sakvanuni), and are not in themselves final. The figures only make sense when the number of Buddhas is seen to be open.

Theravadin scholars are often uncomfortable about the later. developed Buddhology. Ven. Dhammananda notes that the "longer career" of the bodhisatta-and hence the numbers of Buddhas given in the Sambuddhe-gatha-need not be accepted, since it is not found in the Tipitaka or the Atthakatha: he further suggests that such theories do not conform to the Mahāvihāra, and might derive from the Abhavagiri. If I have described these theories as Theravadin in this article, it is because they are presented in Pali works transmitted only (as far as we know) within the Theravadin Vinaya lineage. It is sometimes suggested that the theories derive from Mahāvāna influence, but the evidence is against this. The Buddhas arise serially, in succession: only one Buddha arises at a time: never, as in the Mahāvāna, do multiple Buddhas exist in the present, Only five Buddhas arise in the Auspicious Aeon. There is no hint of Mahāvāna doctrines such as the ten levels (dašabhūmi) of a bodhisatta or the three bodies (trikāva) of a Buddha, and the description of the career of a bodhisatta-whether as four incalculables plus 100,000 acons or more-or of the three types of bodhisatta are unique to the Theravadins, as are the numbers of past Buddhas, from the figure 28 of the Buddhavamsa unwards. Furthermore, the Theravadin theories bear no formal resemblance to those of the other Śrāvaka schools. Direct copying or imitation of other Śrāvaka schools or of the Mahāyāna may therefore be ruled out.

Certainly, however, there would have been mutual influence, since no school existed in isolation. Some of the past Buddhas stand out as common to several lists. The theories of the different schools have a common origin in the sense that, during the several centuries on either side of the beginning of the Common Era, there seems to have been a preoccupation with the past lives of the Buddha and the path to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>III</sup> Suat mant chabap luang, pp. 21.2, 40.1. In the latter, the verse comes at the end of the Atavis-pirit verses discussed above. Luce's transcription of the last line of the Pali, escaineva asymbulchia mekasamako...,(the text continues in old Mon) suggests that the inscription included this verse, which is not found in the modern printed versions available to me. This would date the verse to the 11th century.

### Peter Skilling

Buddhahood: that is, the bodhisatta career. During this period the bodhisatta theories of these schools, including the Theravädins, were formulated; during this period the Mahäyāna began to take shape—not as the initiator of the theories of the bodhisatta career, but as a result of the speculation on that subject.

At any rate, the Sambuddhe verses are concerned with power and protection, and not with philosophy or Buddhological speculation, Their efficacy derives from the large number of Buddhas invoked, and, although this is unstated, from the pāramī of Sakyamuni or other bodhisattas who honoured or will honour Buddhas of these numbers during the many acons of their bodhisatta careers. The concept of protection against calamity derived from the recitation of the name or epithets of the Buddha is an old one. It is enshrined, for example, in the ancient and canonical Dhajagga-sutta, a popular paritta in which the Buddha recommends the recitation of the iti pi so formula as a protection against fear. Other canonical parittas derive their power from the recitation of the names of pacceka-buddhas, as in the Isigili-sutta,112 or of various deities, as in the Mahāsamaya and Ātānāțiya Suttas, as do non-canonical parittas such as the Mahādibbamanta and the Uppātasanti. The power of texts like the Akāravatta-sutta and the Yot phrakantraipidok stems from combinations of the iti pi so formula with the concept of pārami.113 The invocation of the "power of the name"

### The Sambuddhe verses and later Theravādin Buddhology 183

occurs in Mahāyāna sūtras such as the sūtras on the 1,000 past and 1,000 future Buddhas referred to above (there is also a parallel sūtra on the 1,000 present Buddhas). The Names of 5,433 Buddhas, a text preserved in Tibetan translation, names that many Buddhas, who are not placed in time or space; verses at the end promise protection.<sup>114</sup> The Sambuddhe verses may be unique in invoking the power of the largest number of Buddhas in the fewest words.

Bangkok

3716

Peter Skilling

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Majjhimanikäya 116, Vol. III, Uparipannäsa. Note that at the end the text seems to recommend that homage be paid "to these and other mighty paccekabuddhas...without limit" (PTS ed. p. 71.3, et ca anite ca mahanubhävä paccekabuddhä...parinibbute vandatha appameyye). I.B. Homer (The Mddle Length Sayings III, London, 1967, p. 113), interprets the passage as "praise all these immeasurable great seems who have attained final alubhän".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> For these texts, and for paritta in general, see Peter Skilling, "The Rakşā Literature of the Śrāvakayāna", Journal of the Pāli Text Society XVI (1992), pp. 116-24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Sans rgpus kgi mishan ha ston bii brgyu ha bcu risa grum p.q. Q928 (Vol. 36), mdo zu. The text, which has no translators' colophon or nidäna, consists entirely of names, often long and awkward, in the formula "homage to...", concluting with twelve lines of verse spoken by the Buddha. The colophon b the Slog Palace edition (§ 59) notes that the transmission of the text was confused. A Chinese parallel (T 443) was translated in 594 A.C. For the invocation of the names of the Buddha see Höbögirin III 209-10 (Butsumy6).

## CASE HISTORIES FROM THE PALL CANON L<sup>1</sup>

### THE SAMAÑÑAPHALA SUTTA HYPOTHETICAL CASE HISTORY OR HOW TO BE SURE TO WIN A DEBATE

### Case histories in contemporary psychology

It has been observed "that the great novels are source books for psychologists, or that they are case histories (i.e. illustrative, typical examples)."2

What is a case history?

In Western psychology, case histories are defined as follows:

"a compilation of information which includes all available data on background, test results, interviews, ratings, diagnoses, etc., concerning an individual subject of a study. The case-history method is most frequently utilized ... for the practical purpose of diagnosis and prognosis. However, after the study of a number of individual cases, the clinician or sociologist may formulate theoretical principles or generalisations about some aspect of hebayiour "3

<sup>1</sup> These investigations were supported by the Foundation for Research in the field of Theology and the Science of Religions in the Netherlands, which is subsidized by the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O.), and in part constitute Chapters VI and VII of my doctoral dissertation, Debates and Case Histories in the Pali Canon, Utrecht, 1991. <sup>2</sup> Wellek and Warren, 1966 : 35.

<sup>3</sup> Chaplin, 1975 : s.v. "case history".

### Case Histories from the Pāli Canon I

### Joy Manné

"A record of an individual's experience, illnesses, education, environment, treatment, and, generally, all facts relevant to the particular problems involved in a medical or clinical case."<sup>4</sup>

### The case history method is explained,

". This biographical method was developed and refined predominantly by the proponents of psychoanalysis .. as an instrument of research; to the analysis of life they added the investigation of experience. Case histories can be interpreted either qualitatively or quantitatively. The qualitative interpretation corresponds to the research approach of the psychology of "understanding" .. and thus psychoanalysis or depth psychology ... too."<sup>5</sup>

The case history is thus an account of significant events in a person's life recorded for a particular reason and different types of case history will be produced for example by historians, sociologists, doctors or psychotherapists. Here we are concerned only with the type of case history produced by psychologists, psychotherapists, psychoanalysts, meditation teachers, and so forth.

The psychological case history sets out to address both practical and theoretical problems. Its starting point is the compilation of information. This information will include as much detail about the person's past as is relevant. It is collected so that the therapist can make a diagnosis of the client's present state, a prognosis: "a prediction of the outcome of a particular condition, including some indication of its expected duration, severity, and probably final status",6 and plan a course of therapy.

The second feature of a case history is regular assessment. The evolution of the case is recorded and diagnoses and prognoses are reviewed and kept up to date. This is the therapist's means of keeping track of the changes the client is going through. Fundamental here is the therapist's expectation that during the course of the treatment the client will enter a process within which he will make progress through certain stages, and reach a defined goal. The third feature concerns generalisations that may be made after the study of a number of individual case histories. These are especially interesting as they are, in fact, hypothetical case histories. They predict the stages a person will go through in his life and in his therapy. Among the most famous examples of these in contemporary psychology are Freud's oral, anal and phallic stages. Erikson's eight ages of man,7 Grof's Basic Perinatal Matrices,8 and Wilbur's Spectrum of Conscious ness.9 The second definition mentions specifically medical or clinical cases, but Grof, for example, or Wilbur, could be regarded as providing spiritual hypothetical case histories, i.e. hypothetical case histories concerning the evolution of the Soul or of the Self, or of the Higher Self. or of the Not-self. depending on the word one choses to use. These spiritual hypothetical case histories are, at the same time, paths to Enlightenment, however this "Enlightenment" is defined.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Drever, 1964 : s.v. "case history".

<sup>5</sup> Eysinck, 1975 : s.v. "case history method".

<sup>6</sup> Chaplin, 1975 : s.v. "prognosis".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Basic Trust v. Basic Mistrust; Autonomy v. Shame and Doubt; Initiative v. Guilt; Industry v. Inferiority; Identity v. Isolation; Generativity v. Stagnation; Ego Integrity v. Despair. Erikson, 1965

<sup>\*</sup> Grof 1975 : 102-103; 1985 : 103-105.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Wilbur, 1977; 1980.

4

#### Jov Manné

40/6

### Case histories in Buddhist psychology

Buddhist psychology presents itself as a psychology of Enlightemment.<sup>10</sup> Among the theoretical aspects of this psychology are those concerned with certain defined states of consciousness and stages of attainment, the methods that will lead to achieving them, and the obstacles that may stand in the way. Whenever soteriological methods are described, they are shown to result in psychological processes, viz, sequences of mental acts of understanding or sequences of affective states or a combination of these or the attainment of trance states (*Jkiana*). Particular processes lead to defined states or stages of attainment. The texts have a large variety of ways of presenting these processes, states and stages. Several schemas of stages exist ide by side. No convincing mapping of one schema to the other, however, nor any discussion of the relationships between schemas is provided. One problem with which we are faced, therefore, is that of the relationship between these various systems of stages and sequences of states and processes.

Three different types of Case histories occur in these texts: (1) case histories of Buddhas, (2) hypothetical case histories, (3) actual case histories of converts and disciples. Among the case histories of Buddhas are the standard case history for Buddhas, that of Vipassi (DN 14), and the historical Buddha's case history:<sup>11</sup> rather self-evidently the former is there to authenticate the latter. A hypothetical case history is a standard account of what the Buddha predicts will happen to somebody who follows his method. It describes the developmental process through which the serious practitioner who follows the method is likely togo. It describes how he is expected to develop in terms of cognitive and affective factors, particular experiences and insights, the acquisition of

### Case Histories from the Pāli Canon I

new abilities and the attainment of the Altered States of Consciousness12 whose sequential attainment is predicted upon the practice of the Buddha's method. It presents the general case, and provides the theoretical basis for a diagnosis. Most case histories come within this category. Actual case histories of converts and disciples, which unfortunately occur rather rarely, tell what happened to supposedly historical individuals through their practice of the Buddha's method. They occur most frequently in Consultations.13 In these situations the progress and attainments of a given individual are diagnosed and discussed, in personal interviews with the Buddha, or by the Buddha with other monks. These personal case histories contain brief information about the practitioner's background in the form of the religious or social group to which he belongs. They provide some sort of description of his present state and problems. They contain the counselling that was offered and the progress he made using it. These case histories provide an interesting and important contrast with the ideal or hypothetical model. They illustrate the human element, the individual problems encountered by individuals trying to follow the way.

The case histories face us with particular problems. Buddhist literature, as well as attempting to be an accurate record of the Buddha's Teaching, is propaganda. It is propaganda both for the purpose of tracting new converts and for the purpose of promulgating the Buddha's Teaching,<sup>14</sup> Case histories are both demonstrations of the Buddha's method in action and proof of its efficacy. Many questions have to be asked about them. These include what purpose the individual case histories (of whatever type) serve in their contexts, and whether the different types of case history serve different purposes. Hypothetical case histories escalally face us with the problem concerning the extent to

<sup>10</sup> Manné-Lewis, 1986 : 126.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See Bareau, 1970 for an attempt to differentiate the mythical from the historical material.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See Tart, 1969; 1975.
 <sup>13</sup> See Manné, 1990 : 61, Consultations.
 <sup>14</sup> Manné, 1990 : 72-81.

#### Case Histories from the Pali Canon I

#### Joy Manné

4116

which they genuinely relate to the actual experiences of any real practitioner. On the question of method, case histories show where the texts are consistent or otherwise in their presentation of the relationship between method and achievement. They confront us with the fact that there were several alternative developmental possibilities, each leading to its own particular set of achievements before arriving at the same final goal. On the question of processes of development, case histories confront us with the problem of the mutual relationship between the various sequences and stages of development. The case histories face us with particular problems but they also provide us with the means to solve them. They are presented in the texts in a highly schematised way and so they can be collected and collated, anomalies can be discerned, the problems referred to above appear in clear relief, and explanations are possible.

The Digha, Majhima, Samyutta and Anguttara Nikāyas with the poetry of the Thera and Therigatha, are the texts, that contain the case histories. There are no case histories in the Abhidhamma texts except for the Puggalapafilatit, and very few in the Vinaya. Certain types of case histories occur only in certain genres of suttas. I have chosen two frequently occurring case histories for this study.

### THE SĂMAÑÑAPHALA SUTTA HYPOTHETICAL CASE HISTORY - OR HOW TO BE SURE TO WIN A DEBATE

### 1 Introduction

The Sāmaññaphala Sutta (henceforth SPS), paragraphs 40-98, contains a hypothetical case history (henceforth HCH) a standard account of what the Buddha predicts will happen to someone who follows his

method. The formula that I call the Sāmaññaphala Sutta hypothetical case history (henceforth abbreviated to SPS-HCH) has been much studied.15 My interest in this formula is in terms of its quality as a case history and in terms of its context: it is so frequently placed within the debate situation.<sup>16</sup> The HCH which the Sāmaññaphala Sutta contains describes a training towards, and the attainment of, a sequence of soteriological achievements, a process which begins with the attainment of faith in the Tathagata, and which ends with the attainment of Liberation. We know that this case history is hypothetical because the subject of this case history is introduced by the phrase, "a householder, or the son of a householder, or someone who has come to be reborn in a different group", 17 i.e. any person who may belong to any of these (first two) groups or any other. In other words: the general case, This HCH is repeated in more or less similar form in various places in the Nikāvas<sup>18</sup>, but most strikingly in the 11 suttas that follow the SPS in the DN. Schmithausen has already remarked that it does not occur at all in the SN.<sup>19</sup> Because of its location in the Pali texts I will take Samaññanhala Sutta version as the basic version with which I will compare all of the others. This is simply a convenience, and does not imply in any way that I consider this version to be the original one.20 Equally it is for convenience that I refer to this genre of hypothetical case history as the SPS-HCH.

6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See, e.g. Meisig (1987), who has compared the various versions of it; Griffiths (1983), who has attempted a form-critical analysis; MacQueen (1988); etc.

<sup>16</sup> See Manné, 1992.

<sup>17</sup> gahapati vā gahapati-putto vā aññatarasmim vā kule paccājāto.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> DN, suttas 2 - 23; MN, suttas 27, 38, 51, 76, 79, 101, 112, 125; AN II 208 - 211, V 204 - 209.

<sup>19</sup> Schmithausen, 1981 : 204, fn. 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> The equivalent of the *Ambattha Sutta* takes a comparable position in the Chinese Dirghāgama.

42/6

### Joy Manné

8

2 The textual situations of the Case History genre SPS-HCH

The case history is presented in I.3 below in a schematised form comprising major five stages.

This case history occurs in these 12 DN suttas: the Sāmaññaphala Sutta (2), the Ambattha Sutta (3), the Sonadanda Sutta (4), the Kutadanta Sutta (5), the Mahali Sutta (6), the Jaliya Sutta (7), the Kassapa Sihanāda Sutta (8), the Potthapāda Sutta (9), the Subha Sutta (10), the Kevaddha Sutta (11), the Lohicca Sutta (12), the Tevijia Sutta (13); and in 8 MN suttas; the Cülahatthinadonama Sutta (27), the Mahātanhāsankhaya Sutta (38), the Kandaraka Sutta (51), the Sandaka Sutta (76), the Cūlasakkaludāyī Sutta (79), the Devadaha Sutta (101), the Chabbisodhana Sutta (112), the Dantabhumi Sutta (125); and in part in AN II 208 - 211, V 204 - 209. All of the DN examples are more-or-less exact repetitions of the Sāmaññaphala Sutta version with the exception of the Tevijja Sutta which introduces the brahmavihāras. For convenience I take the Cülahatthipadopama Sutta (27) as the basic MN sutta with which to compare all of the other MN versions. The principle difference between the DN and the MN versions is that in the MN the many similes are omitted. All of the MN examples are more-or-less exact repetitions of the Culahatthipadopama Sutta (27), except the Mahātanhāsankhava Sutta (MN 38), which follows SPS-HCH up to the jhānas, Stage III, and then continues with a different practice or process (HCH) which leads to release through the destruction of craving, tanhāsankhavavimutti. AN ji 208-211 follows MN 27, the MN model sutta, but omits all of Stage IV. AN V 204-209 follows the ihanas with the four Ävatanas.21

<sup>21</sup> สี่หลีรสิทสที่cayatana, viññanañcayatana, akiñcaññayatana, nevasaññanasaññayatana.

### Case Histories from the Pāli Canon I 3 The developmental schema of the SPS-HCH

I first present the developmental schema of this hypothetical case history, and then, further on in this paper, discuss the psychological process it implies.

This HCH describes a process of development through certain defined stages. I call an entire sequence of stages of development a developmental schema.

The DN texts tend to divide this HCH into three parts, while the MN version is briefer and there this schema is not divided into sections. Although the DN is not entirely consistent in its naming of divisions, I will respect the divisions it uses most frequently.<sup>22</sup> I will, however, divide these parts up further for ease of textual comparison, and to make the process of psychological development they describe easier to understand. Those portions that the MN basic sutta, MN 27, has in common with the basic DN sutta, DN 2, are marked with an \* in the schema below. In section 1.6.2 I present the MN schema itself. All references unless otherwise specified are to the paragraphs of the *Sămañiaghela Sutta*, DN 2, Terms not translated in the schema will be found translated in section v.

STAGE I The Pre-requisites, called SILA, "code of morality" \$8 40 - 63

- Hearing the Dhamma from a Tathāgata, acquiring faith, and going forth (§§ 40, 41)
- Practising the code of morality, *sīla*s (§§ 43 63;
   \* MN has only §§ 43 45)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> See Rhys Davids, 1899 : 57ff; Macqueen, 1988 : 279f and Meisig, 1987 for other possibilities.

#### Joy Manné

STAGE II The cultivation of the mind, variously named CARANA (DN 3), PAÑNĂ(DN 4), CITTA (DN 8), SAMADHI (DN 10)

§§ 64 - 74

- Guarding the door of the senses, indrivas (§ 64)<sup>23</sup>
- ii Becoming endowed with sati and sampajañña (§ 65)
- \* iii Contentment santuttha (§ 66)
- iv Appropriate nourishment; seeking isolation (§ 67)
- \*v Abandoning the five hindrances nivaranas. (§§ 68 - 74;<sup>24</sup>, \* MN has only § 68,<sup>25</sup>)

STAGE III Transcending the mind, variously included in *CARAM* (DN 3), *PANVA* (DN 4), or *SAMADHI* (DN 10), or beginning a section called *SIKKHA* (DN 1 182). §§ 75 - 82, \* MN has §§ 75, 77, 79, 81 \*\*

The jhānas27

- i The first *jhāna* is "accompanied by thought and reflection, born from separation, and consists of joy and bliss."<sup>28</sup>
- ii "The second *jhāna* is the result of appeasing thought and reflection; it is an inner tranquilization, a unification of the mind, free from thought and

<sup>25</sup> Here and in other places, indicated below, MN consistently omits the similes that so abundantly illuminate the DN version.

<sup>27</sup> The translations are paraphrases from Bronkhorst, 1986 : 16f. Bronkhorst translated MN i 247 which I have cited. In this sutta the Buddha is speaking about his experiences. I have kept the translation impersonal.

<sup>38</sup> sāvitakkam savicāram vivekajam pītisukham.

Case Histories from the Pāli Canon I

11

reflection, consisting of joy and bliss born from concentration."29

- ii "The third /hāna is reached as a result of detachment from joy, (and through) remaining indifferent, attentive and mindful; it is the bliss experienced with the body which the noble ones describe [in these terms]: 'indifferent, with attentiveness, residing in bliss'."<sup>30</sup>
- iv The fourth *jhāna* is reached "as a result of abandoning bliss, and abandoning pain, and as a result of the eartier disappearance of cheerfulness and dejection; it is free from pain and bliss, the complete purity of equaimity and attentiveness."<sup>31</sup>

STAGE IV Developing the transpersonal Powers, variously named VLULA(DN 3) or PANNA (DN 4, 8, 10)

§§ 83 - 96.

The development of the following sequence of extraordinary abilities:

- Knowing and seeing, viz. awareness of the material nature of the body (§§ 83, 84).
- The capacity to manifest a body through the power of mental intention (§§ 85, 86).
- iii Various iddhis (§§ 87, 88).
- iv Clair-audience (§§ 89, 90).



<sup>23</sup> DN 9, I 182, may mean that this was considered a stage in itself.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> This is the chief characteristic of the *opapātika* in the Four Stages HCH, (see following chapter).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Once again omitting the similes.

<sup>\*</sup> ajjhattam sampasädanam cetaso ekodhibhävam avitakkam avicäram samädhijam pitisukham.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> pītiyā ca virāgā upekhako ca vīhasim sato ca sampajāno, sukhañ ca kāyena patisamvedesim yan tam ariyā ācikkhanti: upekhako satimā sukhavihārī 'ti.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3i</sup> sukhassa ca pahänä dukkhassa ca pahänä pubbe 'va somanassadomanassänam atthagamä adukkham asukham upekhäsatipärisuddhim.

44/61

### Joy Manné

 v The capacity to deeply understand the heart and mind of others in a defined way (§§ 91, 92).

\*vi Knowing the details of one's former lives (§§ \*93, 94).

\*vii Awareness of the transmigrational future of others, including the development of the *dhamma-cakkhu* (§§ \*95, 96).

### STAGE V Liberation

### §§ \*97, 98.

- Knowledge of the destruction of the *āsavas*, in terms of the existence of, the rising of, the ceasing of, and the path to the cessation of both suffering (*dukkha*) and the *āsavas*.
- ii Knowledge that the mind is released from the *āsava*s.
- \*iii Knowing that, according to precisely defined criteria, he has succeeded, viz. Khinā jāti vusitam brahmacariyam katam karanīyam nāparam itthatiāya.

# 4 The quality of the process in the developmental schema

It is easy to see that a very beautiful and alluring process is described here. An ordinary person, m-one particularly special, mo-one particularly endowed in any way, hearing the Tathägata speak, is filled with faith in him, and is inspired and converted by his message. Because of this he changes his mind about the kind of life he has been leading, which has been a domestic life. He perceives that it is limited, and not suitable for one who wants to follow a religious life. He decides to become a monk and commits himself to various practices. He lives according to the restraints of the Pätimokkha, the Vinaya rules, perfect in his practice of right behaviour and perceiving the danger in the minutest

### Case Histories from the Pāli Canon I

transgression he trains, perfecting himself in the meritorious deeds of body, deed and word. He becomes completely pure in his means of livelihood and perfect in the code of morality (sila-sampanna) - a very extensive and intense training for the most part in behaviour, but also in mental attitudes. He constrains his social behaviour: he refrains from taking life and using violence, stealing, and cheating. He disciplines his verbal behaviour; abstaining from false speech, deceiving others, malicious speech, the kind of gossip that stirs up trouble and disputes, coarse speech, frivolous talk, and arguments of particular types, and he cultivates truthfulness, being reliable and trustworthy, using uplifting speech, speaking at the appropriate time and with the appropriate content, namely attha, dhamma, and vinaya. He disciplines his eating and his dress. He gives away his property. He practises chastity. He gives up playing various games and earning a living in unsuitable ways, for example, through any sort of magic or prediction. He avoids harming any living thing, including seeds and plants.

He takes responsibility for the contents of his mind by cultivating certain mental attitudes: modesty, compassion towards all beings, and positive thinking, in the form of cultivating confidence that he will get the supplies of robes and almsfood that he needs. He is watchful over the doors of his sense faculties (*indriyas*). Thus he prevents covetousness and dejection (*abhijhā-domanassa*) and other evil and uppofitable (mental) states from overcoming him. He makes himself perfect in awareness over mind and body (*scat, sampojañña*). He attains a state of contentment (*samtațtha*). At this point he seeks isolation. He finds a solitary place, adopts the appropriate body posture (by sitting cross-legged with his body erect) and begins his mental practice. Taking mindfulness (*scat*) as his object he sets about purifying his mind by expelling certain tendencies and thought-patterns and replacing them with others. He purifies his mind of coverousness for the world (*abhijiba*)

12

### Case Histories from the Pāli Canon I

15

mother and father, grows/is maintained by boiled rice and sour milk, and that its nature, on account of erosion, abbrasion, dissolution and disintegration, is impermanent, and that his consciousness is dependent upon and bound to it. He applies his mind further, to producing a body made through the power of his mind (manomayam käyam). He applies his mind to the modes of psychic power (iddhi); he multiplies himself. and re-becomes one; he transplaces himself, physical objects being no obstacle; he passes through the earth, and over the water, he goes through the air; he touches the moon and the sun with his hand; he transports his body to the Brahma world. He applies his mind to clair-audience, hearing both the sounds of gods and of men. He applies his mind to the knowledge that understands the minds of other beings and of other men. so that he recognises in them the presence or absence of passion.36 blemishes, delusion, attentive or distracted, greatness or littleness, inferiority or peerlessness; concentration or dissipation, and whether the mind is released or otherwise. He applies his mind to the detailed knowledge of his former existences including his pleasant and unpleasant experiences. He acquires clairvovance, and applies his mind to the knowledge of the decease and rebirth of other beings, recognising in them as they transmigrate according to their deeds whether they are base or of good quality, well or ill-favoured, or in a good or unnleasant reincarnation. He recognises those beings who indulged in mispractices. in body, speech and mind, who spoke against noble people, who held wrong views and attracted the karma and rebirth associated with this. He recognises those beings who practised correctly in body, speech and mind, who did not speak against the enlightened ones, who held right views and attracted the karma and rebirth associated with this.37

### Joy Manné

45/0

loke), ill-will (*vyāpāda*), inflexibility (*thīna*)<sup>32</sup> and torpor (*middha*), agitation (*uddhacca*) and regret (*kukkucca*), <sup>33</sup> and doubt (*vicikiccha*).<sup>34</sup>

At the end of this process, "when he perceives that he has abandoned the five hindrances, delight arises in him, and joy at that delight, and his body calms down through consciousness of that joy, and his calm body feels happy, and the mind of one who is happy is concentrated." From this state he enters the *ihāna*s: he experiences the first ihana, "which is accompanied by thought and reflection, born from separation, and consists of iov and bliss"; the second ihāna, "which is an inner tranquilization, a unification of the mind, free from thought and recollection, consisting of iov and bliss that is born from concentration"; the third *jhāna*, which is attained through detachment from joy, attentiveness and mindfulness, and in which is experienced the bliss which the noble ones describe as "indifference, with attentiveness, residing in bliss": the fourth ihana, which is attained "as a result of abandoning bliss, and abandoning pain, [and] as the result of the earlier disappearance of cheerfulness and dejection, [and] which is free from pain and bliss, the complete purity of equanimity and attentiveness." 35

His mind (*citta*) is now in a particular condition. It is composed, cleansed, pure, free from blemish and without defilement. It is malleable, workable, steadfast, and imperturbable. At this point he cultivates transcendant insights and powers. He applies his mind to knowing and seeing (*rämadassana*) and thereby he recognises that his body is material (*rämin*), is composed of the four great elements, comes about through

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> See Johannson 1969 and 1979 for some discussion of the terms used here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> This is the accomplishment of the development of the transpersonal powers or Stage IV, DN 2, paras 40 - 62.

<sup>32</sup> Frauwallner, 1953 : 166, "Starrheid".

<sup>33 &</sup>quot;Reue", Frauwallner, 1953 : 167.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> This is the accomplishment of the cultivation of the mind, or Stage II, DN 2, paras 64 - 74.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> The translations of the descriptions of the */hānas* are taken from Bronkhorst, 1986: 16f but are somewhat freely adapted to fit in with this account of the case history. This is the accomplishment of the transcending of the mind, or Stage III, DN 2, paras 75 - 82.

#### Case Histories from the Pāli Canon I

Joy Manné

46/6

He applies his mind to the knowledge of the destruction of the intoxicants ( $d\bar{x}avws$ ). He recognises correctly Suffering, the arising of Suffering, the cessation of Suffering, the cessation of Suffering. He recognises correctly, "these are the intoxicants, this is the arising of the intoxicants, this is the cessation of the intoxicants, this is the path to the cessation of the intoxicants". He attains the knowledge, that his mind is released from the intoxicants of desire ( $d\bar{x}md\bar{x}ava$ ), from the intoxicant of becoming ( $dhawd\bar{x}awa$ ), and from the intoxicant of ignorance ( $avajja\bar{x}ava$ ). He knows that he has attained liberation.<sup>3</sup>

It's inspirational, isn't it? The whole process is made to sound so easy and so simple. The mental states on offer are so highly desirable, and there is no mention of any problems on the way.

### 5 The function of the SPS-HCH in the texts

The hypothetical case history is the coup de grâce, the pièce de résistance, the final word which, somewhat adapted in one way or another to suit the exigencies of the situation, forms the core element in the Buddha's answer to the challenges made by his opponents in the DN dramatic debate suttas DN 2 - 13.<sup>39</sup> In the *Sāmañāphala Sutta* (DN 2) it describes the fruit of the life of a samaņa, In the Kātadanta Sutta (DN 2) it is used to describe the highest sacrifice of all (§ 27). In the Mahāli Sutta and the Aālya Suttas (DN 6 & 7) it is used to demonstrate that like the Buddha himself, a bhikklu who had followed this path and achieved the attainments of Stages III - V would not be concerned with views regarding the relationship between soul (*five*) and body (sarīra). In the Kevadiha Sutta (DN 11) it is the marvel of instruction. In the Lohicca Sutta (DN 12) its its the teaching of the teacher who is beyond reproach.

38 Stage V.

39 See Manné, 1990.

The SPS-HCH is subdivided or subcategorised according to convenience in order to provide the answer to the challenges of these Debate Suttas. Discussing every instance would serve no purpose, so I will illustrate this exploitation of the SPS-HCH with just two DN examples, the Ambattha Sutta (3) and the Sonadanda Sutta (4), Further examples will occur in the ensuing discussion. In the Ambattha Sutta Stages I - III are taught as carana and Stages IV and V as viila in order to explain to Ambattha a verse uttered by the Buddha. The origin of this verse is unknown but we may believe it to be brahmanical as the text attributes it to Brahmä Kumära, the Buddha quotes it while debating with a brahman youth and one part of his line of attack in this debate is to prove that he knows more than either the brahmans or their teachers do about their own religion. This verse claims that it is conduct (carana) and wisdom (viiiā) that make a man best among gods and men, which accounts for these divisions in this sutta. In the Sonadanda Statta, Stages I and II are taught as sila and Stages III - V as pañña, in order to explain the practical meaning of these terms to the brahmans who do not understand their import but know only that these are the essential qualities that make a man a brahman.

Where in the DN the use of this HCH is strictly limited to the dramatic (live) debate situation, in the MN, although this HCH serves the same purpose, i.e. that of winning the debate, the texts are freer in the way they use it. In the *Cūlahatthipadoma Suta* (MN 27), where it is " uttered to complete a simile that compares the four "footprints" of the Buddha to those of an elephant, and thus to bring to a conclusion a debate between the Buddha and Jäquusoni, a brahman, it is the recital of this HCH that brings Jäquusoni to concede defeat." In the *Mahātanhāsankhaya Suta* (MN 38) this HCH is used in part (up to the five hindrances) when a foolish monk is drilled in the Teaching.<sup>41</sup> In the

40 See Manné, 1990.

16

<sup>41</sup> A different HCH, i.e. not the SPS-HCH, follows at this point.

### Joy Manné

Kandaraka Sutta (MN 51) it is used to designate a certain character type: the implied debate situation here is that the other types described follow other religious practices (or wrong professions), ascetical or brahman, i.e. they are hypothetical opponents.42 In the Sandaka Sutta (MN 76), Ananda, having won a debate with a group of wanderers through different arguments, and having forced his opponent to question him.43 uses it to describe what the Buddha teaches. In the Cula-Sakaludavi Sutta it is used to explain why the monks follow the Buddha Teaching. In the Devadaha Sutta (MN 101), where it occurs within a reported debate between the Buddha and some Jains, this HCH is the way the Buddha concludes his answer to the Jain position on pain. In the Chabbisodhana Sutta (MN 112) the Buddha proclaims that when a monk answers with this HCH, applying it to his own personal process, then his claim to profound knowledge (aññā) can be accepted; this may be an attempt by redactors to authenticate this HCH as a process of development. In the Dantabhumi Sutta (MN 125) the Buddha informs a monk who had had no success in a debate with prince Javasena that this HCH would have been the answer with which to defeat the prince.

42 See Manné, 1990.

43 See Witzel, 1987.

Case Histories from the Pali Canon I 6 The authenticity of the SPS-HCH as a case history44

### 6.1 The question of authenticity

### Authenticity and buddhavacana

The first problem to be dealt with on the issue of authenticity is whether we may justifiably believe that the Buddha actually uttered the utterances attributed to him in the texts. What we may justifiably believe depends on evidence. It seems a good idea to say that there is no evidence that could prove that the Buddha ever spoke a word among all of those attributed to him by any text whatever.45 What we can do is examine the texts on their individual merits and make judgements on the plausibility of their contexts. We can, I think, believe on the evidence that the Buddha engaged in debate with other religious leaders; the texts contain so much information on this subject that it is hard to believe that it is all pure invention, and moreover, there is great consistency of details between the Buddhist and the Vedic tradition. 46 We can, I think, also trust the reciters

46 See Manné, 1990 & 1992.

<sup>44</sup> The authenticity of various parts of this HCH have been discussed by both Schmithausen (1981) and Bronkhorst (1986, Chapter VII). Schmithausen has also paid attention to its plausibility as a psychological process, although I am not sure we know enough about psychological processes to make such a judgment. See, eg. Grof, Wilbur, Tart, etc. for evidence of some rather interesting psychological processes.

<sup>45 &</sup>quot;., no text known can be considered contemporaneous with the Buddha, On the contrary, it is well known that all Buddhist texts, as they are read today, are not only heavily influenced by linguistic developments known to be much later than the early days of Buddhism, but also reformulated perhaps, and certainly recast from one language into another before they reached their present linguistic shape," Von Hinüber, 1991.

#### Jov Manné

sufficiently in this case, because it is relatively free of anomalies.47 and believe that if this passage was not the Buddha's way of winning a debate, then something like it which has suffered changes over time served this purpose.

### Authenticity and Case Histories

By the term "authenticity" in the context of case histories, I mean whether we may be convinced that any monk, or the Buddha himself, experienced a particular sequence of developmental stages, through the practice of the Buddha's method.

6.2 The authenticity of the individual stages.

Let us consider the ingredients of this case history, considering the authenticity of each of the stages in turn.

#### Stage I

20

The authenticity of the elements of Stage I, the silas poses no problem. In the Brahmaiāla Sutta (S.1) of the DN the Buddha describes Stage I, the silas, 48 designating the virtues that they contain as those that any ordinary man (puthujjana) is capable of appreciating. 49 This indicates that even the least and most minor religious leader was be expected to adhere to this moral code, and that they were, therefore, common to all liberation-oriented religions or spiritual paths of the time.

49 Idam kho tam bhikkhave appamattakam oramattakam sīlamattakam vena puthujjano Tathāgatassa vaņņam vadamāno vadeyya. DN I 12. "These, brethren, are the trifling matters, the minor details, of mere morality, of which the unconverted man, when praising the Tathagata, might speak." Tr. T.W. Rhys Davids, DB i 28.

#### Stage II

Here we find miscellaneous elements. I do not know how to evaluate their likely authenticity. Developing the indrivas is a requirement for the attainment of *opapätika* while abandoning the five hindrances is the criteria for anagami. Both of these stages form part of the second case history which is the subject of the following article, 'Case Histories from the Pali Canon II: The Four Stages (sotāpanna, sakadāgāmin, anāgamin, arahat) case history - spiritual materialism and the need for tangible results."

#### Stages III and V

' The authenticity and originality of the ihanas, which fall into Stage III of the schema I have proposed for this HCH, and the destruction of the intoxicants (asavas). Stage V, have been firmly established by Bronkhorst, as has the practice of mindfulness (satt), Stage II, ii here.<sup>50</sup> The authenticity of an individual element in this HCH. however, is not sufficient to prove the authenticity of the whole as a genuine process of development.

#### Stage IV

This is the stage of developing the transpersonal or paranormal powers. About these I quote at length from Lee Siegel's timely book. Net of Magic : Wonders and Deceptions in India,

> "The confusion, Indian as well as European, of magician-entertainers with magician-vogis was natural and intentionally precipitated. Street performers earned their livelihood by capitalizing on the asociation, by imitating or

50 Bronkhorst, 1986 ; 88f.

<sup>47</sup> Unlike the example of the concept of the "lion's roar". See Manné, 1992 : 121, fn.14 and forthcoming.

<sup>48</sup> Paras, 40 - 62 here, = paras, 8 - 27 of the Brahmajala Sutta.

### Case Histories from the Pāli Canon I

#### Joy Manné

impersonating those mendicant ascetics who, for over two thousand years in India, having renounced their domestic and social roles and having severed all attachements to the world to wander here and there in a penance for their birth, have been supported with the alms of pious members of society wanting, through their offerings, to have some redemptive share in the vagbond renouncer's holiness. Through ascetic practices, wandering sannyasis were (and are) believed to attain supernatureal powers, the powers of Shiva, siddhis, which, like every other aspect of life and death in India, have been systematically catalogued and normatively categorized: animan (the power to become minute or, for the magician, disappearance) and mahiman (the power to become large); laghiman (the ability to become light, to levitate) and gariman (the power to become heavy); prāpti (the skill of abtaining things, effecting materializations, or, as explained by the traditional commentators on the Yogasūtras of Patañiali [3.45], having the ability to touch the moon with one's fingertip); prākāmva (the power to will things so - telekinesis); išitva (a power over the will of others - hypnosis) and vasitva (a power to subdue one's own will - self-hypnosis). Demonstrations of any of these skills are proof of holy perfection and perfect holiness. The Buddha, that son of Mava, Oueen Magic, is frequently referred to and depicted as a magician, a mayavin, [here Siegel quotes Stage IV, iii.] ...

"I've seen versions of the same tricks performed by entertainers.

•••

"The magical potencies of the Buddha, *abhijñas* and *Ridhis* telepathy and telekinesis, clairvoyance, clairaudience, and clairsentience - were, it was postulated, acquired or realized in advanced meditation. ... "Because there was money to be made, alms for ascetics and offerings for incarnate gods, money given in exchange for a participation in the holiness that supernatural feats were thought to express or represent, every street magician had a version of the *siddhis*, *Rddhis* and *abhijñas*. As the wandering holy man seemed to be a magician, so the wondering magician seemed to be a holy man. And there was (and is) power, cash or esteem, in holiness.<sup>41</sup>

I think this says eloquently and adequately what there is to be said about the attainments that belong to this stage.

In order to win the debate, the Teaching had to be shown to contain everything: moral discipline (Stage I), mental discipline (Stage II, i - iii), ascetic practice (Stage II, iv), altered states of consciousness in the form of trance states (Stage III), transpersonal and magical powers (Stage IV), as well as the Liberation which was its goal (Stage V).

### 6.3 The DN Version

49/6

The DN suttas are very keen to prove the authenticity of this HCH, which is hardly surprising. They put it into Ånanda's mouth in DN 10, where he teaches it as "the three factors the Buddha used to praise, which he would use to arouse the people, to bring them into his teaching" and to establish them there: the noble factor of *sila*, the noble factor of *samdåh* and the noble factor of *pointã*.<sup>45</sup> This sutta contains so few of the features of the other debates that it is mainly classifiable as a "debate sutta" because it contains this HCH and because of its location in this

<sup>51</sup> Siegel, 1991 : 150f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Tinnam kho mänava khandhänam so Bhagavä vanna-vädi ahosi, ettha ca imam janatam samädapesi nivesesi patitthäpesi. .. Ariyassa silakkhandhassa, ariyassa samädhikkhandhassa, ariyassa paniñäakkhandhassa. (§ 6).

### Case Histories from the Pāli Canon I

25

Joy Manné

Nikāya. It is evidence that at some stage the Dīgha *bhāņakas* needed to put Ānanda's authority behind their version of this account.

In spite of this attempt, many factors point against any attribution of authenticity to this HCH as an genuine case history and path to Enlightenment. One of these is the flexibility with which it is divided and adapted. Some examples were given above (the Ambattha and the Sonadanda suttas, DN 3 and 4). There are, however, cases in the DN where this HCH is adapted with rather more serious implications regarding the Buddha's Teaching. One of these occurs in the Potthapada Sutta (DN 9); the Buddha is challenged to explain how the cessation of consciousness, abhisaññā-nirodha, comes about. He replies by means of the HCH Stages I and II. Then he teaches the stages (avatanas) where "space is infinite" (akasanañca), "knowledge is infinite" (viññanañca), "there is nothing" (akiñcañña) and "there is neither ideation nor non-ideation" (nevasaññānāsaññā), known collectively as the four arūpas, which are an unusual interpolation in this context, and further he teaches that the process culminates in cessation (so nirodham phusati). which is also unusual. Bronkhorst has brought forward convincing evidence that points to a time when these stages were not accepted by at least some Buddhists53 and considers that they do not form a part of original Buddhism.54 Another instance where the HCH is adapted with rather serious implications occurs in the Tevijia Sutta (DN 13), where, rather suddenly, the qualities of mind metta, karuna, mudita and upekha, known collectively as the brahmavihāras, appear as elements in the HCH, and the bhikkhu pervades the world with these (paras. 76 - 79). These too Bronkhorst has shown to be neither uniquely nor originally Buddhist.55 Moreover, the "pe's", or shorthand signals in the text that

24

portions are to be repeated<sup>56</sup> in this sutta make it uncertain whether the HCH in its entirety is included in the Buddha's treatment of the path to companionship with Brahmä (*brahmānam saharyuāŋa magga*) and supplemented by the *brahmavihāras*, or whether Stages III is omitted and substituted by them.<sup>57</sup>

### 6.4 The MN Version

The MN has its own version of this HCH which is much simpler than the DN version. The schema is worth presenting separately,

The MN Schema<sup>58</sup>

STAGE I The Pre-requisites

i	Hearing the Dhamma from a Tathagata, acquiring f	aith
	and going forth ( §§ 40, 41)	

Practising the code of morality, silas (MN has only §§ 43 - 45)

STAGE II The cultivation of the mind

- i Guarding the door of the senses, indrivas (§ 64)
- ii Becoming endowed with sati and sampajañña (§ 65)
- iii Contentment santutha (§ 66)
- iv Appropriate nourishment; seeking isolation (§ 67)
- Abandoning the five hindrances nivaranas (§ 68)

57 DN I 250, fn. 5.

50/6

<sup>53</sup> Bronkhorst, 1986 : 82.

<sup>54</sup> See Bronkhorst, 1986 : 86.

<sup>55</sup> Bronkhorst, 1986 : 87f

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> PTSD, a.v. pepyäla, "On syllable pe Trenckner, Notes 66, says: 'The sign of abridgement, pe..., means pepyäla which is not an imperative 'insert, fill up the gap,' but a substantive pepyäla or pepyälam, signifying a phrase to be repeated over & over again."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> The paragraph numbers are those from the DN version.

51/6

STAGE III Transcending the mind The *ihānas* (88 75, 77, 79, 81)

STAGE IV Developing the transpersonal Powers

vi Knowing the details of one's former lives (§§ 93)

Jov Manné

vii Awareness of the transmigrational future of others, including the development of the *dhamma-cakkhu* (§§ 95)

### STAGE V Liberation, § 97

- Knowledge of the destruction of the *āsavas*, in terms of the existence of, the rising of, the ceasing of, and the path to the cessation of both suffering (*dukkha*) and the *āsavas*
- ii Knowledge that the mind is released from the asavas
- iii Knowing that, according to precisely defined criteria, he has succeeded, viz. Khīnā jāti vusitam brahmacariyam katam karanīyam nāparam itthattāya

The MN version lacks most of the paranormal or transpersonal powers that are so important in Stage IV of the DN version. Those that remain occur also among the Ten Powers of the Tathāgata<sup>39</sup> and within Sāriputta'a lion's roar.<sup>50</sup> With regard to (vi), knowing the details of one's past lives, past life work forms an increasing part of the modern therapeutical experience in the work of therapists of different theoretical

59 Manné (forthcoming), quote (8), (viii) and (ix).

allegiances:<sup>61</sup> knowing something about one's former lives seems to be useful for solving problems in the present life. This section of the MN is more plausible than the same section of the DN version.

The Mahätanhäsankhaya Suta (MN 33) makes a particular adaptation. It follows SPS-HCH up to the *jhänas*, Stage III, and then continues with a different process (HCH): release through the destruction of craving, *tanhäsankhayavimutti*. This process comprises a certain relationship to the products of the workings of the six senses: the eye, the ear, the nose, the tongue, the body and the mind. The relationship is identical with regard to each of these senses. It is:

> "When he has seen a material shape through the eye, [heard a sound through the ear, smelt a scent with the nose, savoured a taske with the tongue, felt a touch with the body, known a mental object with the mind] he does not feel attraction for agreeable material shapes, [sounds, etc.], he does not feel repugnance for disgreeable material shapes [sounds, etc.]; and he dwells with mindfulness aroused as to the body, with a mind that is immeasurable; and he comprehends that freedom of mind and that freedom through intuitive wisdom as they really are, whereby those evil unskilled states of his are stopped without remainder. He who has thus got rid of compliance and antipathy, whatever feeling he feels – pleasant or painful or neither painful nor pleasant – he does not delighting in that feeling, does not welcome it or persist in cleaving to it. From not delighting in that feeling of his, from not welcoming it, from not

<sup>60</sup> Manné (forthcoming), quote (14), (xvi) and (xvii).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Moody, 1976; Netherton and Shiffrin, 1978; Woolger, 1988. Moody is a psychiatrist. Netherton is a psychologist. Woolger is a Jungian analyst. The literature on this subject is much wider than the three books cited. I have chosen these because they are among the classics on this subject.

#### Case Histories from the Pali Canon I

#### Joy Manné

persisting in cleaving to it, whatever was delight in those feelings is stopped. From the stopping of his delight is the stopping of grasping; from the stopping of grasping is the stopping of becoming; from the stopping of becoming is the stopping of birth; from the stopping of birth, old age and dying, grief, sorrow, suffering, lamentation and despair are stopped. Such is the stopping of this entire mass of anguish.<sup>42</sup>

C2/6

These examples could be taken to show that the *jhänas* were a stepping stone for entry into various other altered states of consciousness. The two DN suttas that make adaptations are debates. The *Mahātaŋhāsankhaya Sutta* (MN 38), however, is a consultation.<sup>61</sup> I have argued<sup>64</sup> that whereas debates are exercises in publicity and opportunities for propaganda, consultations show the problems that arose and how they were dealt with and resolved. Consultations, therefore, may be more reliable as historical documents and may show aspects of the Teaching developing spontaneously in response to particular problems. Bronkhorst, while showing that the four *arīpas* and the *brahmavihāras* did not form a part of original Buddhism, showed at the same time that

they came in to Buddhism rather early as influences from the Jains.<sup>45</sup> Under these circumstances it is possible that the attainment of the capacity to enter at will into the *jhānus* also gave access to various other altered states of consciousness, as one might indeed expect from the highly developed skill in meditation that this ability must imply.

In any case, these examples show that the SPS-HCH was a flexible vehicle for winning an argument.

### 6.5 Authenticity of Function

As I said above (section 5), the function of this HCH in the DN was certainly to win victory for the Buddha in debate. The texts themselves give further clues: this Hypothetical Case History is the standard utterance "which the Buddha used to arouse the people, to bring them into his teaching and to establish them there".<sup>64</sup> The process that these texts describe is - and is intended to be inspirational. There is no mention of hardship, no insinuation of the difficulties lying ahead, no allusion to the problems involved. Success is implied in commencing the Path. The attainment of its end, Liberation, is presented as inevitable. There are other Case Histories which address the problems that come up in understanding or in practising the Buddha's method. This one is clearly propaganda.<sup>9</sup> It is the advertisement for the

(Continues ...)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Tr. Hornen, MLS 1 5321. So cakkhud ripan divi gjuringe ripe na sårajiati, appjuringe rupe na bripajiati, upajitiatakjusati ca viharati appandipazetaso, tai ca ca covismittin prikitisimum jadiabhilim pajitahti yatih asas ie päpala akasalä ahanmä apartessä nirujijanti. So evan anurohavirohavirohaviopahho yan katoli velanam velesti. sukkan vi adukhamasukhan vä, so tam velanam nabhinandati nähhvadati näjihosäya tiljahto adukhamasuk medana mabhinandato anahhvadato anajihosajo tiljahto järämankan handi sä nirujihati, tassa nanchitirohda ipäähanirohda jarähantaranan sokaparaitovalukhamanasuyäystä nirujihanti, evan - etassa kevatasa alukhkahandassa nirodo hod. NI 270.

<sup>63</sup> See Manné, 1990 : 3.

<sup>64</sup> Manné, 1990, 3.

<sup>65</sup> Bronkhorst, 1986 : 88.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> DN 10, see above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> See aloo Macqueen, "The , set of stainments [i.e. the HCH] is in the texts not merely listed but given in considentable detail with the use of artiking similes. Great effort seems to have been spent in making even the most tortwous paths of splithal training appear attractive to the common man having litel experience of them, by appealing to the desire for self-control, peace and joy, purity and freedom, as well as to the longing to perceive and patake of realms of existence normally closed to people. One naturally assumes that the document is therefore

### Joy Manné

30

Buddha's method and its results, and a means for final victory in debate. It contains everything: the existence of a fabulous being (the Tathāgata); morality (Stage I); metal discipline and spiritual progress (Stage II); trance states (Stage III); paranormal abilities and magical powers (Stage IV); transcendental attainment (Stage V). It contains everything, therefore it contains something for everybody, as witnessed in its efficacity to convert brahmans, wanderers, ascetics, kings, householders; in fact all the groups of people with whom the Buddha came into contact. Was the Buddha then cynical, offering magic and non-Buddhist states as part of his message just to convert followers? I think not. He Knew his Teaching was hard to grasp and subtle.<sup>44</sup> Few were the people who would comprehend it the debates themselves offer only two examples of people who were converted through this HCH and went on to attain Arabatship.<sup>49</sup> But many more were the people who could benefit at least a

intended to attract people from the household to the homeless life, to make monks out of laymen." 1988 : 280.

<sup>46</sup> adhigato kho me ayam dhammo gambhiro duddaso duranubodho santo panito atakkävacaro nipuno panditavedaniyo. MN i 167. "This dhamma, won to by me is deep, difficult to see, difficult to understand, tranquil, excellent, beyond dialectic, subtic, intelligible to the learned." Horner, MLS i 211.

<sup>69</sup> Kassapa, the ascetic, in DN 8, and Citta Hatthisari-putto in DN 9. There are, however, some problematic expressions:

(1) In DN 3 [1 10] it is said of Pokkharsaöl, "And then the brahman Pokkharsaödi, as one who had seen the Truth, had mastered it, understood it, dived deep down into it, who had passed beyond doubt and put away perplexity and gained full confidence, who had become dependent on no other man for his knowledge of the teaching of the Master. " (Tr. RHys Davids, DB 1135.) dithed-dhammo patta-dhammo vidita-dhammo parhyogidha-dhammo tinna-vicklicclo vigata-kathamkaho venärajjappana aparapaccayo saathu adame... The same expression is used about Kündanta in DN 5 [148] This is a description of a state of attainment and certainly sounds rather impressive. But what level of attainment does it correspond to? 1 do no know.

(2) DN 5 precedes this expression with "And just as a clean cloth, with all stains in it washed away, will readily take the dye, just even so did Kūtadanta the Brahman, even while seated there, obtain the pure and spotless Eye for the Truth,

(Continues ...)

#### Case Histories from the Pāli Canon I

little from practising his method. Once the Buddha had decided to teach, it was also his responsibility to reach as many people as possible, his challenge also in terms of the time he lived in and the debate tradition.

Perhaps this case history can be regarded in a different way. Griffiths says of it, "We may regard [it to be] a text on meditation not as an encapsulation of the experience to which it points, but as simultaneously a blue-print for such experience - a spiritual technology and a reflection uponit. . the meditation text is that by which experience both becomes possible for and relevant to the practitioner, and that which mediates the experience so that it may become efficacious for others. Meditation texts then appear as a kind of pictorial, symbolic, conceptual and imagistic representation by means of which men may approach varieties of transcendent experience,"<sup>ND</sup> i.e., they are texts to inspire, but not to take literally.<sup>71</sup>

and he knew: 'Whatsoever has a beginning, in that is inherent also the necessity of dissolution."" (Tr. Rhys Davids, DB I 184.) seyyathä pi näma suddham vattham apagata-kālakam samad eva rajanam patiganheyya, evam eva Kūtadantassa brāhmaņassa tasmim yeva āsane virajam vīta-malam dhamma-cakkhum udapādi: yam kiñci samudaya-dhammam sabban tam nirodha-dhamman ti. Of this experience of gaining the "Dhamma-Eye", Harvey says "This experience is technically known as stream-entry ... " (Harvey, 1990 : 23. See also Lamotte, 1984 :53. Lamotte later qualifies this as being scholastic terminology, p.54. Malasekera in the DPPN, s.v. Aññäta-Koñdañña, assumes this as well.) Harvey cites no evidence for this claim, and 1 know of no evidence w for it in the DN, MN, SN or AN. I have not seen the two equated in any passage in the Pali texts. I think therefore that he is mistaken. The attainment of the "Dhamma-Eve" is the first recorded attainment after the Buddha preached his first sermon, and there is no mention at all of "stream-entry" in this sermon (SN V 420-424). The Sutta version of this event in the SN stops at Kodañña's attainment of the Dhamma-Eye. The Vinava version is longer and concludes by saving that each of the five first disciples attained Liberation (anupädäya äsavehi cittäni vimuccimsu).

<sup>70</sup> Griffiths, 1983 : 7-8. Griffiths bases himself on Oberhammer's view of yogic meditation. (Oberhammer, 1977.)

71 But see Sharf, forthcoming.

5416

### Joy Manné

The purpose of this passage was to win the debate. To do this, the "knowledge" it contained had to be more inspiring than that offered by the opponent. Hence it had to contain something for everybody, and it does. But this is not the whole story. I think that Griffith's view contributes to explain the capacity of this passage to win debates while it does not quite confirm to Griffith's definition of the meditational texts some of its contents being spurious - it shares with them the capacity to inspire.

### 7 Further Textual Problems

### 7.1 Why the MN and the DN versions differ

Schmithausen has already suggested that different *bhäpakas* had different versions of teachings.<sup>12</sup> I agree with him about this. I wish further to propose that the reason the DN has the embellished version, while the MN has a simpler one can be accounted for by the difference in functions of these two texts. I have argued<sup>12</sup> that the DN "derives from an original, probably spontaneously created, collection of publicity material for the early Buddhists, while the .. NN (is) the collection which arose to serve their need to introduce new converts to the character of the leader, the Buddha, and the important disciples, to integrate the new converts into their values and their way of life, and to provide them with the fundamentals of the Teaching and the Practice.<sup>17</sup> To serve its purpose, therefore the DN needs an embellished version, to provide entertainment, to capture the imagination, to attract support and converts, the MN has no need for the embellishments: it is for those who have already been converted. Hence its version of this HCH is less embellished.

<sup>72</sup> Schmithausen, 1981 : 204, fn 15.

## Case Histories from the Pāli Canon I

### 7.2 Why the SPS-HCH occurs primarily in dramatic debates

In the DN the SPS-HCH occurs only in dramatic debates and never in reported debates or debates with hypothetical opponents. In the MN it occurs in various types of debates in six of the eight suttas in which it is found.

A key feature of the brahman debates is forcing the opponent to ask questions. With regard to the person being questioned, "mere brazen assertion does not suffice: one must be able to prove one's knowledge".<sup>74</sup> Perhaps we must believe that this HCH was genuinely important in debates of a certain period, although we cannot presume that it had its present form from the very earliest times.<sup>7</sup> The Digha *bhāṇakax*, then, are using it in an authentic setting. If I am right about the purposes of the DN and the MN, then probably the DN *bhāṇakax* had more contact with brahman society and were more familiar with its customs. Statistics regarding the target groups of these two Nikāyas tend to support this. 29.41% (10 out of 34) of DN suttas are directed towards brahmans,<sup>80</sup> while only 14.47% (22 out of 152) of MN suttas<sup>71</sup> are similarly directed.

- 74 See Witzel, 1987 : 373.
- 75 See Pande, 1974 : 85ff.
- 76 Suttas no. 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 12, 13, 23, 27.
- <sup>77</sup> Suttas no.4, 7, 27, 30, 41, 42, 82, 85, 91, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 107, 108, 135, 150, 152.

33

<sup>73</sup> Manné, 1990 ; 4.3.

Joy Manné

### 34

### In Conclusion

Many of the suttas of the Päli canon are like novels, or at least like short stories. They are source books for psychologists and contain case histories. The serious study of these case histories can further our understanding of the history and the development of Buddhism as I have shown here, and as I show in the following case history.

La Conversion

Joy Manné

### CASE HISTORIES FROM THE PĂLI CANON II:<sup>1</sup>

SOTĂPANNA, SAKADĂGĂMIN, ANĂGĂMIN, ARAHAT – THE FOUR STAGES CASE HISTORY OR SPIRITUAL MATERIALISM AND THE NEED FOR TANGIBLE RESULTS

Robert H. Sharf has argued in a recent article called Buddhist Modernism and the Rhetoric of Meditative Experience that "while some adepts may indeed experience "altered States" in the course of their training, critical analysis shows that such states do not constitute the reference points for the elaborate Buddhist discourse pertaining to the "path". Rather, such discourse turns out to function ideologically and performatively – wielded more often than not in the interests of legitimation and institutional authority."<sup>2</sup> In Section VI of his article Sharf is concerned with the contemporary rivalry between teachers of samatha and wipassand and with their disputes between whether attainments are to be defined as Judia our softpanna.<sup>3</sup> Sharf says, "private episodes [i.e. as

<sup>2</sup> Numen, forthcoming.

<sup>3</sup> Sharf, (forthcoming): section VI.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These investigations were supported by the Foundation for Research in the field of Theology and the Science of Religions in the Netherlands, which is subsidied by the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O.), and in part constitute Chapters VI and VII of my doctoral dissertation, Debates and Case Histories in the Pail Canon, Utrecht, 1991. Further, I wish to thank Professor Dr. Oskar v. Hinüber for his many useful suggestions which allowed me to make various improvements to this attick. The responsibility for the opinions expressed in this article remains, of course, entirely my own.

56/6

Joy Manné

### In Conclusion

Many of the suttas of the Päli canon are like novels, or at least like short stories. They are source books for psychologists and contain case histories. The serious study of these case histories can further our understanding of the history and the development of Buddhism as I have shown here, and as I show in the following case history.

La Conversion

Joy Manné

### CASE HISTORIES FROM THE PĀLI CANON II:<sup>1</sup>

SOTĂPANNA, SAKADĂGĂMIN, ANĂGĂMIN, ARAHAT – THE FOUR STAGES CASE HISTORY OR SPIRITUAL MATERIALISM AND THE NEED FOR TANGIBLE RESULTS

Robert H. Sharf has argued in a recent article called Buddhist Modernism and the Rhetoric of Meditative Experience that "while some adepts may indeed experience "altered States" in the course of their training, critical analysis shows that such states do not constitute the reference points for the elaborate Buddhist discourse pertaining to the "path", Rather, such discourse turns out to function ideologically and performatively — wielded more often than not in the interests of legitimation and institutional authority."<sup>3</sup> In Section VI of his article Sharf is concerned with the contemporary rivalry between teachers of samatha and vipassanā and with their disputes between whether attainments are to be defined as Jahan or songhama.<sup>5</sup> Sharf says, "private episodes Jie. as

<sup>2</sup> Numen, forthcoming.

<sup>3</sup> Sharf, (forthcoming): section VI.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These investigations were supported by the Foundation for Research in the field of Theology and the Science of Religions in the Netherlands, which is subsidized by the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O.), and in part constitute Chapters VI and VII of my doctoral dissertation, Debates and Case Histories in the Pail Canon, Utrecht, 1991. Further, I wish to thank Professor Dr. Oskarv . Hinüber for his many useful suggestions which allowed me to make various improvements to this atricle. The responsibility for the opinions expressed in this atricle remains, of course, entirely my own.

### Case Histories from the Pāli Canon II

5716

potentially transformative experiences do not constitute the reference points for the elaborate discourse on meditative states found in Buddhist scholastic sources. In other words, terms such *standarka*, *vipassanā*, *satāpanna* and *satori* are not rendered sensible by virtue of the fact that they refer to clearly delimited "experiences" shared by Buddhist practitioners. Rather, the meaning of such terminology must be sought in the polemic and ideological context in which Buddhist meditation is carried out." A study of one of the most famous case histories in the Päli Canon will show that this has always been the case and will force us to further lose some illusions.

Jov Manné

Buddhist case histories are treated in two quite opposite ways. Scholars treat them in one way, and contemporary meditation teachers and teachers of Buddhism treat them in another. Often the great scholars treat the case histories in a summary manner, pronouncing verdicts upon them which rest more upon the scholar's authority than upon the hard work of providing the proof demanded by an interested and enquiring colleague. A lineage of scholars then simply believes and quotes these authorities, but, once again, no evidence is brought forward. The modern monks and lay teachers of Buddhism and meditation, too, do not question these case histories, but take them for granted as representing a true description of the results of meditation at the time of the Buddha, and therefore of the potential results of meditation for the modern practitioner today. A detailed study of these case histories provides a mine of interesting and surprising information and forces us to separate from our projections and fantasies about the contents of the texts and the results of the practice of meditation.

### THE FOUR STAGES HYPOTHETICAL CASE HISTORY - SPIRITUAL MATERIALISM AND THE NEED FOR TANGIBLE RESULTS

### 1. INTRODUCTION

There are two suttas, the Cūlasihanāda Sutta of the MN (11) and AN II 238, §239, that permit the monks to roar a lion's roar on the subject of the existence of the four types of samana in the Buddha's Teaching: the sotāpanna "the Stream Enterer", the sakadāgāmin "the Once-Returner", the opapatika4 "the Non-Returner" and the Arahat, From the AN sutta we know that these terms designate sequential stages of development, i.e. that the sequence of types of samana forms a HCH. Although these suttas have the assertion about samanas in common, they differ completely with regard to content and especially, neither of them provide either the defence of this aspect of the training or the support for it that the debate situation would require.5 How are we to explain this anomaly? The answer is that it is unlikely that this HCH has an authentic connection with the debate tradition, but rather that it was imposed upon it in the course of the development of the religion. The following long analysis of the appearances of these stages in the four Nikävas will show that the religion required this HCH on many grounds. First the lists of stages and their elaborations (82) will be presented. Then what the texts say about the individual stages is examined where there is enough evidence to do so (§3). This information is then reviewed and discussed (\$4). A section presents the ways in which the texts use and abuse this hypothetical case history (§5). Finally the Four Stages hypothetical case history is discussed as a systematising and organising structure (§6), the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> An alternative name for this stage is anāgāmin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Manné, forthcoming.

5816

### Joy Manné

statement that the religion required this sequence of stages is justified and the grounds are provided.<sup>6</sup>

As I said, this sequence of types of samana forms a sequence of stages of development or a hypothetical case history (HCH). I call this HCH the Four Stages HCH. The Four Stages HCH occurs in many different contexts besides these debate contexts. It demonstrates one of the Buddhists' various attempts to provide and to sustain a theory of stages, viz., the theory that Liberation is achieved through attaining in sequence different stages of development, and that these stages can be defined in a way that makes them recognisable in themselves and discernible from each other.

The distinguishing feature of this hypothetical case history is that, unlike the stages of the *Sămaññaphala Sutta* HCH<sup>7</sup> which take place over one life-time only, the developments it encompasses take place over more than one life-time. Two mutually connected Buddhist ideas require such a case history. One of these is the idea of transmigration, *saryaāra*, the endless cycle of birth and death during which one is not necessarily always reborn as a human being but may be reborn as an animal, or in hell, or even in heaven, all of these types of rebirths being temporary by nature.<sup>9</sup> It is this long series of existences which involve beings in suffering.<sup>9</sup> The other, related idea is comprised in the term *karma*: "a causal relationship (that exists) between the present life and the next, or, more generally speaking, between one life one's actions in the present life."<sup>10</sup> These connected ideas required a case history extending over more than one lifetime not only logically, but also at the very least to give hope to the practitioner who despairs of making it "this time round".<sup>11</sup>

The most important occurrences of this hypothetical case history are in the DN,<sup>12</sup> MN,<sup>13</sup> SN and AN, and so these texts form the basis of

<sup>10</sup> Taksanki, 1987: 128. Cf. Lamotte, 1988: 36ff. Cf. also Gombrich (1984: 12), "The Buddha declared karman to be purely an ethical matter, of thought, word or deed, and the quality of a karman, good or bad, virtuous or evil, lay solely in the intention behind it. The quality of an act depended only on the motive, regardless of whoid dit. Ye cals do Gmbridh (1984: 11) on the relationship between the Buddhist interpretation of the concept of karman and its meaning in the context of brithman ideology.

11 Horner, 1936 : 211f.

<sup>12</sup> In 8 DN Suitas: the Mahdil State (DN 6), the Mahd-Par inhibéna State (DN 16), the Jand-Yar inhibéna State (DN 16), the Jand-Yaraho State (DI 8), the Mahd-Gravinda State (DN 9), the Sakkar-Pariha State (21), the Sampasädaniya State (28), the Sangiti State (33) and the Darattara State (34). Three are debates: the Mahdil State (DN 6), the Lohicer State (12), and the Sampasädaniya State (DN 28), three are Fantasies (this category was not defined in Mannf, 1990; it comprises those stories and accounts about various non-thuman beings which are not usually considered believable: they are funtastic; it includes all Stories, Legends, Myths, and accounts foot various lives of the Buddha): the Jana-Yasabha State (18), the Mahd-Gouida State (19), and the Sakkar-Patha State (21), the remaining two, the Sakjär State (33) and the Dasattara State (34), are Sermons, and can be within the remaining two.

<sup>13</sup> In full in 4 Majihima suttas: the Akabikayus Sutta (MN 6), the Cāja Gopālaka Suta (MN 34), the Najakapāna Sutta (MN 68) and the Ānāpānasati Šuta (MN 118); in the form of the list of stages and fruits in the Dakkhinavibianga Sutta (MN 142); in part in seven suttas: the Călasihanāda Sutta (MN 11 here by implication as none of the stages are namech the Indindanāgara Sutta (MN 52, the stages disariam khayam pāpundīti and opapātika); the Makhklāhināyus Sutta (MN 64, the opapātika); the Tenija-Pacchagatot Sutta (MN 70, nāšā and anājāmidā); the MakāPacchagotta Sutta (MN 73, stages (3) and (4) of the standard venion); the Brahmāyu Sutta; (MN 91, opapātika); and the Dhātavibhanga Sutta (MN 142, opapātika); Seven of these suttas are Sermons (MN 61, 11, 24, 64, 66, 118, 140), two are

(Continues...)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For a different approach to these four stages see Horner (1936), Chapter VI; Mascfield (1986), Chapter I.

<sup>7</sup> See 'Case Histories from the Päli Canon I.

<sup>8</sup> Takasaki, 1987 : 128. Cf. Lamotte, 1958 : 34ff.

<sup>9</sup> Lamotte, 1984 : 41.

#### Case Histories from the Pali Canon II

#### Joy Manné

5916

this study. The texts of the *Khuddaka Nikāya* and the *Vinaya Pitaka* show minimal interest in this sequence of four stages and have been excluded on this ground, while the *Puggalapaiñfatti* and the *Kathävatthu* of the *Abhidhamma Pitaka*, which do occupy themselves extensively with it, have been excluded on the ground that they are late texts.

### 2. THE LISTS OF STAGES AND THEIR ELABORATIONS

### 2.1. The lists of stages

The Four Stages HCH occurs in independent, sequential lists of stages or in sequential lists of stages associated with a particular practice. It has a standard version, <sup>14</sup> Version A below, a brief version of the standard version, Version B, below, and a brief version with fruits, Version C, below. There are also elaborations on individual stages which involve the insertion of substages. In presenting the material I will not be cataloguing all the minor textual variations.

### Version A. The standard version.

 Idha ... bhikhu tinnam samyojanānam parikkhajā sotāpanno<sup>11</sup> hoti avinipāla-dhammo miyato sambodhi-parājuan. "At this stage a bhikhu, through the disappearance of the three fetters, becomes a Stream-Enterer, characterised by freedom from (falling back to) lower existences, restrained, having Enlightenment as his goal."

Consultations (MN 52, 73 begins as a consultation and changes to a Debate), and one is a Debate (MN 91). I am not able to categorise MN 142.

<sup>14</sup> "Standard" because this is the version that occurs most frequently in the texts. This in no way implies that I think it is the original version of this HCH.

2. Puna ca param . bhikkhu tinnam samyojanānam parikkhayā rēga-dosa-mohānam tanutā sakadāgāmi hoti, sakid eva imam lokam āgantvā dukkhası' antam karoti. "After that, a bhikkhu, through the disappearance of the three fetters, and through the reduction of passion, hatred and delusionment becomes a Once-Returner: having returned once only to this world, he makes an end of suffering."

3. Puna ca ... bhikkhu pañcannam orambhāgiyānam sanysojanānm parikknayā opapātika hoti tatha parinibāği anāvatti-ahānmo tazmā lokā. "And then, through the disappearance of the five fetters binding to the lower states, a bhikkhu becomes an Opapatika, and in that form he attains -extinction; he is characterised by non-returning from that world."

4. • Puna ca param .. bhikkhu äsavänam khaya anäsavam ceto-vimutim pañää-vimutim dithe wa dhamme sayam abhimää sacchikatvä upasampajja viharati. "And then, through the destruction of the intoxicants, he lives in the emancipation of mind and insight<sup>16</sup> that are free from intoxicants, having experienced it himself in this very lifetime through his own higher knowledge. (DN 61 156; cf. eg. DN 18 II 200, 19 III 251f; MN 73 I 490, Stages 3 & 4 only; SN V 346, 356-360, etc; AN I 231f; II 88f; 238; IV 12; etc.).

(1) - (4) above comprise the most frequent version of this HCH.

The exact fetters, *samyojanas*, meant in (1), (2) and (3) must be inferred from various suttas; they are never specified in the *Nikipas* either in the context of the Four Stages HCH or in the context of one particular stage. Where three fetters (*samyojanas*) are mentioned in these texts,

40

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Lit. "he who has attained the stream". See also Masefield 1986, 130 - 136 for a discussion of the etymology of this term.

<sup>16</sup> See C. A. F. Rhys Davids, Dhs. tr. p.16f.

### Joy Manné

these are "personality belief" (sakkāyadīņthi), "sceptical doubt" (vicīkicchā), and "clinging to mere rule and ritual" (sīlabbata-paramāsu). Where five fetters (samyvjanas) are mentioned in the texts, "sensuous craving" (kāma-rāga) and "ill-will" (yxīpada) are added. These five are called the "fetters binding to the lower states" (orambhāgiya-samyojana. DN III 234, vii; MN I 432; SN V 61; AN IV 459; etc.).

The stage *opapätika* may also be called the stage *anägamin* (see versions B, C, and D below). These two terms are discussed in detail in §3.4. The relationship between *opapätika* and *anägamin* has been totally overlooked by the CPD.

### Variations in the MN.

MN has particular variations. Stage 4 may appear as:

- 4.i bhikkhü arahanto khipäsavä vusitavanto katakaranjyä ohitabhärä amuppattasadathä parikkipahhavasanyojanä samma-d-aññä vimutä. "Bhikkhus who are Arahats, in whom the intoxicants are destroyed, who have fulfilled their lives, done what they had to do, put down their burdens, who have attained their own well-being, in whom the fetters to existence are destroyed, who are possessed of the highest knowledge, liberated" (MN 34 I 226).<sup>17</sup> or
- 4.ii <u>asavānaņ khayaņ pāpuņāti</u> "One who reaches the extinction of the intoxicants" (MN 52 I 350, 64 I 436, stages 3 and 4.ii only), or

4.iii aññāya saņţhahīti - "One who is established in the highest knowledge." (MN 68 I 466)

17 See Erghart, 1977.

Case Histories from the Pāli Canon II

Stages (4) and (3) may be followed by the stage:

sāvako gihī odātavasano kāmabhogī sāsanakaro ovādapatikaro tiņņavicikiecho vigatakathankatho vesārajjappatto aparappaccayo satthusāsane viharati. "(A) layfollower who is a disciple, a householder clothed in white, and who, (though) an enjoyer of sense-pleasures, is a doer of the instruction, one who accepts the exhortation, who has crossed over doubt and, perplexity gone, fares in the Teacher's instruction, wno to conviction, not relying on others". (MN I 491)

This citation shows that this stage is specific to lay followers.

### Version B. The brief version

The standard version occurs occasionally in the brief form:

1. sotāpanna, "the Stream-Enterer"

- 2. sakadāgāmin, "the Once Returner"
- anăgămin, "the Non-Returner"
- Arahat (SN III 168, V 200, 202; AN V 85 stages (1) (3) only.)<sup>18</sup>

43

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> The brief version, as far as I have been able to ascertain, does not occur in either the DN or the MN. Each of the DN, MN, SN and AN contain both the standard version, and the brief version with fruits.

6116

#### Joy Manné

Version C. The brief version with both stages and fruits

The brief version with both stages and fruits occurs more frequently than the brief version. In this HCH each of the stages of the brief version is attributed with a "fruit".

- l.i sotāpatti-phala-sacchikiriyāya patipanna "one who has attained to the realisation of the fruit of stream-entry";
- 2 sakadāgāmī
- sakadāgāmi-phala-sacchikiriyāya patipanna "one who has attained to the realisation of the fruit of the Once-Returner";
- 3 anāgāmī
- anāgāmi-phala-sacchikiriyāya patipanna "one who has attained to the realisation of the fruit of the Non-Returner";
- 4 arahā
- 4.i.a arahattāya pațipanna "the attainment of Arahatship", 19 or
- 4.1.b arahattaphalasacckhikiriyāya patipanna "one who has attained to the realisation of the fruit of arahatship". (MN III 254)

Version D. The brief version with fruits only

This may occur in the form of a list expressed as in 1.i, 2.i, 3.i, 4.ib above (AN I 44f) or in the form: 1.i sožāpati-phalam, 2.i sakadāgāmi-phalam, 3.i anāgāmi-phalam, 4.i arahatta-phalam. (DN 33 III 227, §x; 34 III 277, §x; SN V 25) Case Histories from the Pali Canon II

The stages may be listed with or without their fruits. They may with the stages preceding the fruits as above, or in the opposite

be listed with the stages preceding the fruits as above, or in the opposite order: with the fruits preceding the stages.<sup>20</sup> The fruits may be listed independently of the stages.<sup>21</sup> The "fruits" may be presented as belonging to the person: *sakadāgāmiejhala*, *anāgāmiejhala*, "the fruit of the Once-Returner", "the fruit of the Non-Returner" (2.i and 3.i above), or as belonging to the attainment: *sotāpatti-phala*, *arahatta-phala*. "the fruit of the attainment of stream-entry" or "the fruit of arahatship" (1.i and 4.i above).

### 2.2 The Elaborations

The Four Stages HCH in the standard version and its variations contains a minimum of information. The expressions for the stages are a code, expressions in brief with whole concepts comprised in single words or in terse phrases. The AN and SN are concerned with elaborations of this HCH. There are two principle elaborations, the elaborations of the stage *sotăpanna* and the elaborations of the stage *anăgămin*. The lists of stages sometimes contain "pre-stages", and it sometimes contains expressions for Liberation or Arahatship which vary from the standard version.

45

<sup>1</sup> sotāpanna

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> DN 33 III 255 attha puggala dakkhineyyä, cf AN IV 292 attha puggala ähuneyyä pähuneyyä dakkhineyyä, AN IV 204; SN V 202, § 18(8), here linked with the Five Indrivas, see section 2.3.1.i below; etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> MN III 254f cuddates the part int', Anonda, päipsegalikä dakkhinä; NN IV 372 nava., puggalä (hisi list includes the puthujipan in the ninth place, see below); AN IV 373 nava., puggalä ähanesyä apäänasyä dukkinasyi (hisi list includes the goratzhki in the ninth place, see below); CL AN IV 232 where the stages and fuiss appear in the oposite order.

 $<sup>^{21}</sup>$  SN V 25. DN 33 III 227 §xv, 34 III 277 §x. The list of the fruits alone occurs in both of these suttas in their sections on Fours but neither section contains a list of the Four Stages. The section on Eights in DN 33 III 255, quoted above) contains a list of both stages and fruits.

Case Histories from the Pāli Canon II

Joy Manné

6216

### 2.2.1 Elaborations of the pre-stages

A variety of pre-stages are attached to the lists of the stages and fruits. A pre-stage may be simply the stage of *puthuljana* "ordinary man",<sup>22</sup> or there might be further divisions:

- 0.a bāhiraka kāmesu vītarāga "one who is beyond, and without attachment to sense-pleasures"
- 0.b puthujjana-sīlavat "an ordinary person of moral habit"
- 0.c. puthujjana-dussīla "an ordinary person of poor moral habit"
- 0.d. tiracchānagata "an animal." (MN III 255)23

Pre-stages may comprise two types of followers, the dnammänusärin "one who lives in accordance with the dnamma" and the saddhänusärin "one who lives in accordance with faith" (SN V 200f, 12-15)<sup>24</sup> or simply the gorabhü "a member of the religious community<sup>425</sup> (AN IV 373), or the bhikkhu who is *sutanä*, "learned in religious knowledge" (SN III 1671).

2.2.2 Elaborations of the stage of Stream-Enterer, sotāpanna

The stage of sotapanna is divided as follows:

1.a So tinnam samyojanānam parikkhayā sattakkhattuparamo hoti sattakkhattuparamam deve ca mānuse ca sandhāvitvā samsaritva dukkhassa antam karoti. 'Through the

<sup>23</sup> Tr. Horner, MLS III 303. The subject of this sutta is the relationship betwen the worth and merit of an offering and the worth and merit of its recipient.

25 BHSD, s.v. gotrabhū.

disappearance of the three fetters, he becomes one who will not be born more than seven more times; after transmigrating and being reborn seven more times among gods and men, he makes an end of suffering.<sup>4</sup>

1.b So tinnam samyojanänam parikkhayä kolankolo hoti dve vä täni vä kuläni sandhävitvä samsäritvä dukkhassa antam karoti. 'Through the disappearance of the three fetters, he becomes one who will go from clan<sup>26</sup> to clan; after transmigrigrating and being reborn in two or three more clans, he makes an end of suffering.'

1c So timam samyojanānam parikkhayā ekabiji hoti ekam yeva mānusakam bhavam nibbattervā dukkhassa antam karoti. "Through the disappearance of the three fetters, he becomes one possessed of only one more seed (one more potential for rebirth) and once he has completed one rebirth among humankind, he makes an end of suffering.

1.d=2 So timam samyojanānam parikkhayā rāga-dosa-mohānam tanutā sakadāgāmi hoti, sakid eva imam lokam āgannā dukhass' aniam karoti. "Ahet tata, a bhikkhu, through the disappearance of the three fetters, and through the reduction of passion, hared and delusionment becomes a Once-Returner: having returned once only to this world, he makes an end of suffering." (AN I 233)

The last expression is the sakadāgāmi formula as in Version A (2). The list may appear in the abbreviated form: sattakkhattoparama, kolamkola, ekabījī (AN V 120).

The grammar of this passage gives equal weight to each of these four expressions. Further no past participles are used to indicate a

<sup>22</sup> SN V 202; AN IV 372.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> The first precedes the second in this list. These two stages occur in a different HCH in the *Teviiia Vacchaeotta Sutta*, MN 70.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> BHSD, s.v. kula, "good family", "high social grade".

#### Joy Manné

sequential development through these attainments. This passage, therefore, cannot be taken to describe three kinds of sotāpanna plus the sakadāgāmin, or three different transitional stages between sotāpanna and sakadāgāmin. Rather, it describes four different possibilities contingent upon the disappearance of the three fetters (*iŋnam* samyojamānam parikkhaya) a phrase which we must take as a synonym for the term sotāpanna. Sta most usually the disappearance of the three fetters is presented as the characteristic of the sotāpanna, and this atainment coupled with the reduction of rāga, dosa and moha is presented as the characteristic of the sakadāgāmin (Version A), this passage points to a time when these two ataia mnents were not yet clearly differentiated into separate and discrete stages of attainment. The question is, which of these two terms is the older? In §4.3,4 it is argued that the term sotāpanna is the older term.

2.2.3 Elaborations of the stage of Non-Returner, opapātika/anāgāmin<sup>27</sup>

There are no elaborations for the stage opapätika.

The stage of *anāgāmin* is divided into two or into five substages. The two types of *anāgāmin* are defined thus:

- So aññataram santam cetovimuttim upasampajja viharati "He experiences the peace of mind which has a certain calm."
- So kāmānam yeva nibbidāya virāgāya nirodhāya paţipanno hori - "He has followed a method leading to aversion towards,

Case Histories from the Pāli Canon II

### the Pali Canon II

absence of desire for, and cessation of sensual enjoyments." (AN I 64)

The five types of anagamin are defined thus:

- 3.e antarāparinibbāyin "one who has passed into Nibbana before the term, i.e. before having passed the first half of life".<sup>28</sup>
- 3.d upahacca-parinibbāyin -"one who attains Nibbana more than half-way through his next existence".<sup>29</sup>
- asamkhāra-parinibbāyin "one who attains Nibbana devoid of the (skandha) samkhārā"<sup>30</sup>
- 3.b sasamkhāra-parinibbāyin "one who attains Nibbana with the (skandha) sakhara".
- 3.a uddhamsoto ākiniţthagāmin "one whose stream of life tends upwards to the Akiniha heaven". (DN 33 III 237, xviii; SN V 201 §15, 16; 202 §17; 204 §24; AN I 233; IV 13f, 145f; 380) <sup>31</sup>

Both of these definitions into types of *anāgāmin* occur in the AN. To the best of my knowledge the first is unique to AN.

### 2.2.4 Elaborations of the stage Arahat

The following expressions for the attainment of the stage Arahat may precede the stages and fruits elaboration.

48

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> See Masefield, 1986 : 105 - 130 for an attempt to explain these terms, using both Canon and commentaries. This attempt is deemed magistral by Harrison (1987 : 262).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> CPD, s.v. antarāparinibbāyin. The CPD for the most part follows the Kathavatthu.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> CPD, s.v. upahacca -parinibbäyin, following Ñaamoli, Pj I translation, p.199.

<sup>30</sup> CPD, s.v. asamkhāra-parini bbāyin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> CPD, s.v. uddhamsoto Akinitthagāmin. In the DN there is no indication whether the list begins with the highest or the lowest of these stages. This may be taken to indicate that the DN has incorporated this list from another source.

A

Elaboration 1

- 4++ the Tathagata,
- 4+ the Paccekabuddha. (MN Dakkhinavibhanga Sutta 142 III 254)

Elaboration 2

- diţtheva dhamme paţihacca aññam ārādheti "in this lifetime, before death (patihacca?) he attains knowledge"<sup>32</sup>
- 4.ii maranakāle añiām ārādheti "he attains knowledge at the time of his death". (SN V 237 §66)<sup>33</sup>

## 2.3 The different interests of the DN, MN, SN and $A\,N^{34}$

In the DN the elaborations occur in the Sarigiti (33) and the Darktura Suttas (34). The MN contains elaborations only in the Darkhingar Suttas (142). In the SN and AN the elaborations of the individual stages frequently occur where the Four Stages HCH is imposed upon another independent HCHs. These two Nikāyas are independent in this respect.

32 See Katz, 1982 : 19-20 for a discussion of the meaning of this term.

- 33 The context here is the Indriva HCH, see 2.3.1.i below.
- <sup>34</sup> Gethin has interesting things to say about these texts in the context of the bodhipakkhivä dhammä.

### 2.3.1 The SN

#### i The Indrivas HCH55

The stages are defined in relationship to an independent implied HCH based upon the progressive development of the *indrivas* -"qualities" of faith (*scaldhā*), energy (*vrive*), mindfulness (*scat*), concentration (*scamādh*) and wisdom (*pcāñā*). When these qualities are completely developed the stage attained is that of Arahat. If the attainment is somewhat weaker various attainments in sequential order of weakening are offered. These may be the other three stages of *amāgāmin*, *sakadāgāmin* and *scatāfāmusārin* SN V 200f, §§12, 13, 14), or the stage of *amāgāmin* may be replaced by the list of the five varieties of *amāgāmin* (SN V 201f §§15, 16, 17), or the stage of *scatāpanna* of Version A may be replaced by the three types of *scatāpanna* (SN V 204 §24).

Either the function of this connection in the texts is to make the progressive development of the *indrives*, i.e. the Indriva HCH, of increased importance by connecting it with the Four Stages HCH, or the *indrives* were already important and the Four Stages HCH gained importance by being imposed upon their progressive development. The latter is the more likely (see §4.2.ii below). The fact that the *publuiginan* occurs as a pre-stage in this section of the SN (V 202) seems important. He is defined as one in whom the *indrives* are completely absent, while both of the other pre-stages mentioned above have some degree of attainment with regard to these. For this reason the *publuigina* stands outside (*bahira*) all attainment. The development of the *indrives* seems then to have been a possible criteria for deciding whether or not a person had entered upon the bath or Stream.

<sup>35</sup> See Gethin, 1992 : Chapter IV for a full study of the indrivas.

Jov Manné

6516

### ii. The Seven Factors of Awakening HCH

Two stages of Arahat (elaboration 2 in  $\S2.2.4$  above) and the elaborations of the stage of *anāgāmin* occur at SN V 69, within a HCH based on the seven factors of awakening (*bojjhanga*).

## iii. The Seven Fruits of Mindfulness regarding the Breathing HCH

Two stages of Arahat (elaboration 2 in §2.2.4 above) and the elaborations of the stage of *anāgāmin* occur also at SN V 314, §5, where these stages are described as "the seven fruits of (the practise of) mindfulness regarding in- and out-breathing" (*Evam bhāvitīpa kho bhikbhave ānāpānasatiyā evam bahulīkatāya ime satta phalā* sattānisamē patikankhā ti).

### 2.3.2. The AN

The AN is so constructed that "each section (*nipāta*) contains *suttas* dealing with subjects in some way connected with the number of the section".<sup>36</sup>

### i The Three Trainings HCH. (AN I 233f)

The sequence of stages here is not influenced by its context (the Book of the Threes), which is the Three Trainings (*asso . stkbhā*): viz., the higher monity (*adhisiā*), the higher thought (*adhisiā*), and the higher insight (*adhipāāīā*).<sup>37</sup> the suita is rather an attempt to relate the theory of stages to this context. The Three Trainings are presented as a HCH in their own right. Stage one is the full development of the higher monitiy (*adhisiā*), with the other forms of raining developed to a certain measure. The four attainments which are possible at this stage are the elaborations of the stage of *sciāpanna* (§2.2.2 above). The condition for the second stage is that the higher thought (*adhicitta*) should be developed in full. The attainments which are possible at this stage are the elaborations of the stage of *anāgāmin*. The condition for the third stage is that the higher insight (*adhipānītā*) should be developed in full. What is attained at this stage is rabasibility as investion A, 4.

### ii The Three Categories of Fetters HCH. (AN II 134)

The stages are here defined in terms of an HCH based on a sequence of three discrete categories of fetters: (1) the fetters (binding) to the lower states (orambhāgiya;) (2) the fetters binding to the taking up of rebirth (uppatinpatilābhia); and (3) the fetters binding to the taking up of existence (bhavapatilābhia); and (3) the fetters binding to the taking up of existence (bhavapatilābhia); and (3) the fetters binding to the taking up of existence (bhavapatilābhia); and (3) the fetters the discrete are that of the sakadāgamin where none of these fetters are eliminated, and that of two types of anāgāmin: the uddhamstota akaniţthagāmin (3a) where the fetter to the lower states (orambhāgiya) is eliminated, the aniārparinibbāyin (3e) where both the fetter to the lower states (orambhāgiya) and the fetter to the taking up of rebirth (uppatilpatilābhika) are eliminated, and that of the Arahat where all of these fetters are eliminated (Cf. AN II 160).

The Anguttan Nikäya is a highly coded treatise. It emphasises aspects of the Teaching according to a numerical rule. To do this it is highly selective with regard to what it presents. Does it assume that stages 1 and 2 of the standard version of the Four Stages HCH will all be understood to be included by implication in the definition of the sakadägämin and similarly that the other four of the elaborations of the stage anägämin are comprised in the definition of the udthamsota advantithagämin? To the best of my knowledge the system of coding in the AN has not yet been fully studied, so it is impossible to say what may be implied in any particular case.

<sup>36</sup> Norman, 1983 : 54.

<sup>37</sup> Tr. Hare, GS I 211.

### Case Histories from the Pāli Canon II

#### Jov Manné

iii The HCH of the Three Trainings and the Three Categories of Fetters. (AN IV 13f, 145f)

This variation combines the Three Trainings and the Three Categories of Fetters HCHs. It's context is gift-worthiness, and it presents the stages as follows: the Arahat, as in 4 of the standard version, another variety of Arahat,38 and the elaborations of the stage of anāgāmin.

### iv The Nine Types of Person HCH. (AN IV 379-381)

This variation, based on nine types of person in conformity to its situation in the AN Book of Nines. The basis for the discrimination between types here is the monk's degree of achievement with regard to moral practice (sila), concentration (samadhi) and insight (paññā). The list of stages it offers comprises the elaborations of the stage of anägāmin, and the four elaborations of the stage of sotāpanna. Each of these stages is categorised:

> sa-unādisesā kālam kurumānā parimuttā niravā parimuttā parimuttā pittivisayā parimuttā tiracchānavonivā apäyaduggativinipätä. "(they) when they die with some attached remainder, are altogether freed from hell, rebirth in the womb of an animal, the realm of ghosts, the untoward way ... lower existences." 39

Either this is an expansion of the phrase avinipata-dhamma "characterised by freedom from (falling back to) lower existences" which defines the stage of sotapanna in Version A (1) or avinipata-dhamma is an abbreviation of this phrase. In either case, by implication, all of these are sotāpanna.

### 3. THE INDIVIDUAL STAGES

Individual stages receive individual treatment. They may be defined separately from, or in the context of other stages. This, however, happens only with the four stages of the standard version. It does not happen with any of the elaborations. This section presents these individual treatments. The individual stages are presented under the following headings:

1

6616

### Formulas relative to the stage, including attainment formulas.

Attainment formulas are particularly important because they indicate a relationship between the declaration of the attainment of a stage and the challenge of the debate tradition. Attainment is frequently declared before the public of the Sangha, as well as before the Buddha or an important disciple. It may be challenged: monks will report to the Buddha that someone has proclaimed the attainment of a stage and ask for his verification. It may be defended.40

2 Attainments.

3 The advantages concomitant on the attainment of the stage.

54

<sup>38</sup> Tassa apubbam acarimam äsavaparivädänañ ca hoti jivitaparivädänañ ca. "For him the cankers' ending and life's ending are at the same time, not one before and one after." Tr. Hare, GS IV 9. The various types of Arahat are not studied in this work.

<sup>39</sup> Tr. Hare, GS IV 252f

<sup>4</sup> The method for the attainment of the stage.

<sup>40</sup> It is possible that the declatation of Arahatship was the equivalent of announcing that one has some "esoteric secret knowledge". Cf. Witzel, 1987 : 410 and Manné, 1990 : 2.1, iii.

67/6

Joy Manné

5 The relative frequency of the attainment of the stage.

6 The subdivisions of each stage.

For reasons that will be evident, some of the stages require further headings. A review and discussion follow in §4.

### 3.2. The stage of Stream-Enterer, sotāpanna41

The sotapanna is defined in terms of his beliefs and practices, his behaviour, his attitudes, his knowledge, his attainments, and his advantages. Methods which lead to the attainment of this stage are provided. This stage is attributed with two attainment formulas.

### 3.2.1. Formulas that define the stage of sotāpanna

There are, besides the formula in Version A, three formulas that define the *sotdpanna*. These formulas describe his behaviour, A below, his beliefs and practices, B below, and his guaranteed attainment of Awakening, *sambodhi*, C below. Two of these formulas, B and C, are attainment formulas.

Two different sets of constituents, *aigāni*, are attributed to the stage of *sotāpanna*. They may be called either *sotāpattiyaiŋāni* "the constituents of the attainment of the stream", or *sotāpattiyaiŋāni* "the constituents of the Stream-Enterer". The distinction between these two expressions is not tightly maintained in the texts although they are not strictly synonymous. This absence of distinction suggests that what was implied in attaining the stream, "the constituents of the attainment of the stream", and the nature or "constituents of the person who had attained the stream", may once have been distinguished. Although neither of these terms is specific to, or dominates, a particular Nikāya, the

### Case Histories from the Pali Canon II

different sets of constituents are text-specific. What I have called the Behaviour Formula, (A), occurs only in the DN and the SN while what I have called the Belief and Practice Formula (B), occurs only in the DN, SN and AN. It cannot be excluded that two separate schools of thought existed, for one of which it was the constituents of Stream-entry solāpattijvaigāni that were important, while for the other it was the constituents of the Stream-Enterer - solāpannasza angāni. The constituents of the Stream-Enterer - solāpannasza angāni. The constituents in each group are rather general, and, rather self-evidently, comprise the qualities that any religion would require of its followers. The elements of A cover behaviour and require a more active dedication to the practice, whereas those in B form a sort of *Oredo*: followers were encouraged to convert their friends and family to the faith, expressed in that way (SN V 364, §16). The *Oredo* is the formula that occurs most frequently.

### A. The Behaviour Formula

Sappurisa-sansevo, saddhamma-savanam, yoniso-manasikaro, dhammānudhamma-padpatti - "He is one who associates with the good, hears the true Teaching, pays proper attention, and practices the Teaching in its completeness." (DN 33 III 227, \$kiii Cf. SN V 347, 404, etc.)<sup>4</sup>

I will refer to this expression as the Behaviour Formula because it describes what the sotapranna does. Although this formula is rather vague, and none of its terms refer to specific practices, it indicates that practice was considered important.

57

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> See Masefield, 1986 : 134f for a discussion of the etymology of this term.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Unless I have overlooked it, this expression does not occur in MN nor in the AN in this connection, although it does occur in the AN [II 245] in a different context.

### Case Histories from the Pāli Canon II

### Joy Manné

68/6

### B. The Belief and Practice Formula - a Credo

This is an attainment formula. The belief system and moral practice of the *sotopanna* is described in a different set of four constituents, the first three of which concern his faith while the last is concerned with his moral practice. These features comprise not only the attainments of the *sotopanna*, but also one of his attainment formulas, expressions which when uttered in the first person identify the speaker as a *solopanna*.

#### Cattāri sotāpannassa angāņi,

- I Idh'ävuso ariya-sāvako Buddhe avecca-ppasādena samamāgato hoti – "Iti pi so Bhagavā araham Sammā-Sambuddho vijjā-caraņa-sampanno sugato loka-vidū anuttaro purisa-damma-sārathi satthā devaā-manussānam Buddho Bhagavā ü"
- 2 Dhamme avecca-ppasādena samannāgato hoti "Svākkhāto Bhagavatā Dhammo sandiţihiko akāliko ehi-passiko opanayiko paccattam veditabbo viňňuhīti."
- 3 Sanghe avecca-ppasādena samannāgato hoti "Supatipanno Bhagavato sāvaka-Sangho, uju-patipanno Bhagavato savaka-Sangho, ñaya-patipanno Bhagavato sāvaka-Sangho, sāmīci-patipanno Bhagavato sāvaka-Sangho yadidam cattāri purisa-yugāni, attiha purisa-puggalā, evo Bhagavato sāvako-Sangho āhun eyvo pāhuneyyo dakkhineyyo aňjalikāraniyo anutaram puñša-kkhetan lokastāti."
- 4 Ariya-kantehi silehi samannägato hoti akhaṇḍehi acchiddehi asabalehi akammāsehi bhuyüssehi viññuppasatthehi aparāmatthehi samādhisamvattanikehi.

"The four constituents of the Stream-enterer: In this connection, friends, the Ariyan disciple has absolute faith in: (1) in the Buddha: "So he too, the Exalted one, is Arahant, supremely enlightened, full of wisdom and goodness, Blessed One, worldknower, peerless driver and tamer of men, teacher of devas and men, Buddha, Exalted One!" (2) the Teaching: - Well proclaimed by the Exalted One is the Teaching, effective in this life, immediate, open to all, leading us onward, to be known personally by the wise. (3) the Order: - Well-practised is the Order of the Exalted One's disciples, living uprightly, practising right conduct and the proper course, which is the four pairs of persons; the eight classes of individuals; the view that this Order of the Exalted One's disciples is worthy of veneration, of offerings, of gifts, of reverent greetings, (and that it is) the unsurpassed field of merit of the world. (4) Endowed is he with moral practices lovely to the noble, fully observed, faultless, unspotted, unblemished, making men free, commended by the wise, uncorrupted, conducive to concentration.'(DN 33 III 227, §xiv. Also at AN IV 406; SN V 343f, 345 etc.)43

I will refer to this expression henceforth as the Belief and Practice Formula because "1" - "3" above express the *Credo* of the *sotāpanna* and "4" his moral practice (*sīla*).

The moral practice requirement, "4" above, suffers several adaptations. Some of the adaptations may be regarded as creative (oral-)literary inventions, as poetic license or as mistakes, made in good faith, caused by the way the Teaching was promulgated. Other types of adaptations, by contrast, simply introduce other features of the Teaching where it seems that they did not originally belong. A further group of

58

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Unless I have overlooked it, this expression is not found in MN in coancetion with the *solipanna*. These beliefs may appear under the name of "Four Dhammas" (SN V 342; 346; 531, 536, ct.,), "Four Results of Merit: Four Skills" (*puňňābhisandha kusalābhisanda*, SN V 391f), or "Four Deva-paths to the Devas". (Tr. Woodward, KS V 337. devānam devapadāni. SN V 392ff.)

#### Case Histories from the Pali Canon II

69/6

#### Joy Manné

adaptations, however, are evidently purpose-serving in the worst possible sense of the term. These function in ways that help the institutionalised religion to control its lay-followers through a system of spiritual rewards. The adaptations all occur in the *Solitantisativata*. SN V 342-413.

One adaptation is simply synonymous. It introduces a condition based on the rules of the training for laymen. After hearing a sermon on the importance of conforming to the *arigāni* above, Anāthapiņdika, the house-father (gahapati), declares, in brief, that he conforms to conditions "1". "3" and adds:

4.i Yāni cimāni bhante Bhagavetā gihisāmicikāni sikkhāpadāni desitāni nāham tesam kišci attani khandam samanupassāmī ti - ".. as to those obligations binding on a housefather, pointed out by the Exalted One, I see not a single one of them which is broken in me." (SN V 387)<sup>64</sup>

Upon hearing this, Ananda praises Anäthapindika and acknowledges him as a sudpanna. The obligations binding on the housefather and on all laymen, are to abstain from killing any living being (pdndipdia), from stealing (admindiand), from unlawful sexual intercourse (diameau micchdicdra), from lying (musäväda), and from the use of intoxicants (surämerayamajiapamädauthäna). These obligations are also known as the five sila. As the standard fourth feature of this utterance concerns the sila, this expression must be regarded as a variation upon it.

One evidently purpose-serving variation promotes generosity towards the monks. Here (SN V 348-352) the Buddha is in discussion with some chamberlains, functionaries of some position in the royal household.<sup>55</sup> When he tells them under which conditions a person is a *sicianana*, instead of "4" above, he proposes: 4.ii vigatamalamaccherena cetasă agăram ajihāvasati / muttacāgo payatapāņi vossaggarato yācayogo dānasaņvibhāgarato. "He lives at home with heart free from the taint of stinginess. He is open-handed, pure-handed, delighting in self-surrender, one to ask a favour of, delighting to share charitable gifts," (SN V 351. Cf. SN V 392, 397.<sup>46</sup>

and further on in the sutta:

4.iii Yam kho pana kiñci kule deyyadhammam sabban tam appaivubhattam silavantehi kalyäpadhammehi. "In your family, whatever gifts of charity there be, are shared fully and impartially by the virtuous and the good." (SN V 352)?

46 Tr. Woodward, KS V 306. In SN V 397 the questioner asks about the "the advanced (ariva) disciple in whom the the constituents of the attainment of the stream do not exist" (arivasāvakassa cattāri sotāpattivangāni ...natthi. The literal translation for arivasāvaka is "the noble/exalted disciple", but I think that phrase is misleading in English and not really true to the Pali, being too literary and missing the sense.) The reply is that such a person (yassa) stands outside [all attainments] and is designated an "ordinary man" (puthujjana. Bähiro nuthuijanapakkhe thito ti vadāmi). In the explanation that follows, however, the term arivasävaka is repeated without the qualification cattari sotapattivangani. It is easy to construe the text as if the term ariyasāvako is meant to pick up and be synonymous with expression ariyasāvakassa cattāri sotāpattiyangāni. I think that that is what the redacters want of their audience, and indeed I have followed it in my analysis. I have doubts, however, and I wonder whether an examination of this type of literary construction, namely the dropping of the qualifying phrase in a response, could provide some evidence that, for example, the phrase cattari sotapattivangani is in fact a later insert: it would prove it if the texts usually picked up the whole expression in these cases.

47 Tr. Woodward, KS V 306.

60

<sup>44</sup> Tr. Woodward, KS V 333.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Tr. Woodward, KS V 303, see especially explanatory footnote no.1.

#### Case Histories from the Pāli Canon II

#### Joy Manné

7616

This freedom with the fourth condition did not escape remark by the inquiring minds of the time, and there is evidence that there was an unresolved question whether there were in fact three conditions, "1" -"3" above, or four conditions, "1" - "4" above, before one could be called a sordpanna (SN V 371 ff), i.e. whether faith was enough or whether some degree of practice was necessary. I say "unresolved", because the Buddha provides no solution in the sutta in which this problem comes up.<sup>4</sup>

Despite this general blithe tendency to open the stage of sotāprana to all and sundry through simplifying the required attanment to that of faith alone, one sutta contains a warning. When asked if a disciple who possessed "the constituents of the attainment of the stream", the sotāpattiyangāni, could ever be described as "One who lives in indolence" (pamādauvihārī), the Buddha replies that indeed he could as long as he was content with these attainments and made no further effort (SN V 398).

### C. The sotāpanna attainment formula

I refer to this attainment formula as the "sociapanna attainment formula", simply because the term sociapanna occurs within it and with no implication that it is more or less important than the Beliefs and Practices attainment formula. The existence of an attainment formula relates the attainment of stages to the Debate tradition where the assertion of one's religious expertise designates what one is willing to be challenged upon and to defend in public.<sup>49</sup> Just as there are various attainment formulas that may be formally recited upon becoming an Arahat or which when formally recited indicate that the speaker is an

<sup>48</sup> Or the answer has been lost, or was inconvenient and so intentionally left out.

49 See Witzel, 1987 : 374, Manné, 1990.

Arahat,<sup>50</sup> there are attainment formulas which, when recited, indicate that the speaker has attained *sotāpanna*. One of these is the Beliefs and Practices Formula, B above. The other is:

> khīņanirayo 'nhi khīņatiracchānayoni khīņapittivisayo khīņāpāyaduggativinipāto, sotāpanno 'ham asmi avinipātadhammo niyato sambodhiparāyano. 'Gone, for me, is (a future existence in) hell, gone the realm of animals, gone the realm of spirits (*peta*), gone is suffering in the realms of misery; I am a Stream-Enterer, characterised by freedom from (falling back to) lower existences, restrained, heading for Enlightenment." (AN IV 405; DN 16 [II 93]; SN V 387, etc.)<sup>21</sup>

This is the great advantage of the attainment of becoming a *sotāpanna*. These conditions correspond to the attainments in the standard version of the Four Stages formula.

These two sotifpanna attainment formulas are quite different in content: one concerns faith and practice while the other concerns rebirth in hell. Although the Beliefs and Practices formula has no reference to this freedom from low rebirths and this assuredness of awakening, at least one attestation links the attainments expressed in these two formulas: the SN says that once the four beliefs and practices, here called *dhammas*, are attained, "There is no terror, there is no panic, there is no fear of death in terms of (fearing) what belongs to the next world." (*az hoti utidso na hoti chambitatatin na hoti samprāyikam maraņabhayan* . SN V 387)

62

<sup>50</sup> See e.g. Erghart, 1977 for those in the MN.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> There is an indication at SN V 361f that this Buddhist attainment of liberation from rebirth in a hell is linked to brahmin beliefs concerning the importance of attaining rebirth in heaven: in this sutta the Buddha ridicules brahmin practices which purportedly lead to being reborn in heaven.

Case Histories from the Pali Canon II

Jov Manné

71/6

### 3.2.2 The attainments of the stage of sotāpanna

The attainments of the *sotāpama* may be comprised in terms of the elements of Version A.1 of the Four Stages HCH, in terms of the formulas that define the *sotāpama*, in terms of conditions for uttering the attainment formulas, or in terms of the attainment of specific skill in, or understanding of features of the Training.

The behaviour, the belief system and the moral practices of the soldpunna are his general attainments: they are levels of conduc: and thought that he has achieved. Whereas the Behaviour Formula is not much exploited by the texts and does not serve as an attainment formula, the Beliefs and Practices Formula is defined as an attainment formula and is extensively exploited. The conditions under which it may be uttered are specifically defined. Sometimes these conditions relate directly to the Beliefs and Practices formula, sometimes they relate to it indirectly: The conditions for utering the *soldparma* attainment formula overlap with those for utering the Beliefs and Practices formula.

The most obvious condition for pronouncing the Beliefs and Practices formula is that the disciple is possessed of the Beliefs and Practices in the formula (cf. also SN V 357). The conditions may also be defined under the poetic name of the Fivefold Guilty Dread (*pañca bhayāni verāni*, SN II 680).<sup>32</sup> The Fivefold Guilty Dread comes about if the moral requirements (*sila*, the fourth condition of the Beliefs and Practices Formula), are not fulfilled. This is a "behaviour" condition. According to some suttas this formula may be pronounced on the sole condition that the Fivefold Guilty Dread is removed (AN IV 405 and SN II 68ft, V 387ff).

The formal requirements that permit the utterance of the sotāpanna attainment formula overlap extensively with those for uttering the Beliefs and Practices formula but are defined in a somewhat different way. The conditions are not always consistent.

The requirement of behaviour may here also be expressed as the removal of the Fivefold Guilty Dread. The requirement of belief and moral practice may be tautologically defined as the possession of the beliefs and moral practices of the sotapanna (here called sotāpattiyangāni). Further, a requirement of knowledge called "the noble rule, well-seen and well-penetrated by insight" (ariva ñāva), may be added (arivo cassa ñavo paññava sudittho hoti supatividdho, SN II 70; cf, SN V 387ff). The attainment ariya ñāya is a specific, rather than a general attainment and refers to a particular aspect of the Teaching. It means thoroughly and systematically giving the mind to "dependant origination" - paticcasamuppada: "This being, that comes to be; this not being, that does not come to be. From the arising of this, that arises; from the ceasing of this, that ceases" (Iti imasmim sati idam hoti / imasmim asati idam na hoti / imassuppādā idam uppajjati / imassa nirodhā idam nirujjhati). The processes that depend sequentially upon each other for their coming into existence are: "ignorance, activities, consciousness, name and form, the six sense-modalities, contact, feeling, craving, clinging, becoming, rebirth, and death" (avijjā, sankhārā, viññāna, nāmarūpa, saļāyatana, phassa, vedanā, taņhā, upādāna, bhava, jāti, marana). 53

Further conditions for the utterance of the soldpanna attainment, formula may be expressed in terms of the attainment of "seven good practices and four desirable states" (satuali saddhammehi samannägato ...cauhi äkahkiyehi thänehi. SN V 352-356). The seven good practices are divided into two in the form of purity in bodily conduct: not taking life and not stealing; one in the form of purity in personal conduct in terms of abstaining from wrong practices in respect of sense desires (the example in this sermon is based on not committing adultery); and four in

<sup>52</sup> Tr. Woodward, KS II 47.

<sup>53</sup> For a study of this process see Johansson, 1979.

#### Joy Manné

7216

the form of purity of speech: avoiding lying, slandering, harshness and aimless chatter. Both personal purity and abstention are required, as is encouraging like behaviour in others. The four desirable states are the contents of the Beliefs and Practices formula. These conditions amount to the moral requirements (*sila*), with the exclusion of the condition regarding intoxicating substances. They are particularly offered to lay people who describe themselves as materially ambitious - wanting houses, children, perfumes and money, and who have among their desires the wish to be rebom in heaven.

3.2.3 Attainments defined in terms of specific skills in, or understandings of the Teaching

The attainments of the *solāpanna* are defined according to many different features of the Teaching. The suttas containing these further aspects are very much a feature of the *Solāpattisaniyuta*, SN V 342-413, although they are not imited to this textual location.

A definition of the constituents of Stream-Entry (sotāpatiyangāni: SN V 347f) in terms of the Noble Eightföld Path aţihangika magga is attributed to Satiputta. In this sutta the Buddha and Särjutta may be said to be in a game of definitions or riddles. Sariputta defines these constituents thus: soza is the Noble Eightföld Path which comprises right view, right aspiration, right speech, right conduct, right livelihood, right effort, right mindfulness and right concentration (arryw aţihangika magga: sammā-diţihi, -sankappa, -wācā, -kammanta, -dījixa, -wāyāma, -sazi, samādīhi), and the sorāpanna as someone who has achieved that path. This is a problem because a classical aspect of the attainments of the Arahat is that he has completely followed and fully achieved the path leading to the extinction of the āsavāz, which is precisely this Noble Eightföld Path (MN I 55; etc.). Later in this chapter of the SN the Buddha praises Säriputta for his ability to divide the sorapatiynāgāni in ten ways. It is not clear what is meant as Sāriputta has enumerated aspects "1" - "4" of the beliefs of the sotăpanna, the factors of the Noble Eightfold Path (as above), right knowledge (sammāvīmut1). Perhaps on this occasion the Buddha did not count individually the elements of the sofāpanna beliefs, or did not count them at all.

A stipulation is made in SN III 203, 23 that when doubt regarding six<sup>44</sup> points has been abandoned and also when doubt about suffering, the arising of suffering, the ceasing of suffering and the path to the ceasing of suffering has been abandoned, then the person can be called a solipanna.<sup>45</sup> The six views, as the MN (I 135f) explains so much more clearly, are that whatever depends on (1) physical form (rapch, (2) feeling (vedana), (3) perception (sanna), (4) conditioned states (samhharch) and suffering (dukkab) and is liable to change (viparindmadhamma). A person who is not clinging to what is impermanent, suffering and liable to change will not take up various defined wrong ideas. These wrong ideas - a truly marvellous compendium of them -are, in brief:

- "Winds do not blow, rivers do not flow, pregnant women do not bring forth, moon and sun neither rise nor set, but (all) are stable as a pillar" Na vată văyanti na najjo sandanti na gabbhiniyo

66

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> The Burmese text has *ca* here, so it is possible that the expression means doubt about the points made in the sermon. Woodward comments, "It is hard to know how six are made out". (KS III 165, fn.1)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> sotāpanno avinipātadhammo niyato sambodhiparāyano ti. SN III 203, and in each of the suttas in this chapter of the SN.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Yam pidam dittham sutam mutam viñiñatam pattam pariyesitam anuvicaritam manasă<sup>7</sup> what is seen, heard, sensed, known, attained, sought after, thought out by the mind". See Gombrich, 1990: 15f for the relationship between these views and Yājihavalkyaš views in BāU.

vijāvanti na candimasūriyā udenti vā apenti vā esikaţihāviţihitā ti.<sup>57</sup>

- "This is mine, this is me, this is my self" Etam mama eso ham asmi eso me attā ti<sup>.58</sup>
- "This is the self, this is the world, this I will be after death: permanent, lasting, eternal, not liable to change" So attă so loko so pecca bhavissămi nicco dhuvo sassato aviparinănadhammoti.
- -"I would not be, and it would not be mine; I shall not be, and it shall not be mine" No cassam no ca me siyā na bhavissāmi na me bhavissatīti.<sup>59</sup>
- The annihilationist doctrine that there is no fruit of good or evil deeds, not beings who have attained perfection, nor life after death.<sup>60</sup>
- The heresy that there is neither evil nor merit.61
- The accidentalist heresy that there are neither conditions nor causes.62
- Another wrong way of viewing the world including ideas of permanence, and ideas that there are no causes.<sup>63</sup>
- "The world is eternal" sassato loko ti.
- "The world is not eternal" asassato loko ti.
- "The world is limited" antavā loko ti.
- "The world is unlimited" anantava loko ti.
- "The soul is the body" Tam jivam tam sariran ti.
- "The soul is one thing, the body is another" aññam jīvam aññam sariranti.
- "The Tathagata exists". hoti tathagato.

- 59 Tr. Woodward, KS III 48.
- 60 The doctrine of Ajitakesakambala, cf. DN I 55, § 23.
- 61 The view of Püraņa Kassapa, cf. DN I 52, § 16.
- 62 The heresy of Makkhali-Gosāla, cf. DN I 53, §19.
- <sup>63</sup> That of Pakkudha Kaccāyana, cf. DN I 56, § 26, combined with that of Makkhali-Gosala, cf. DN I 53f, § 20.

#### Case Histories from the Pali Canon II

- "The Tathagata does not exist" na hoti Tathagato.
- "The Tathāgata both exists and does not exist" hoti ca na ca hoti Tathāgato.
- "The Tathāgata neither exists nor does not exist" neva hoti na na hoti Tathāgato. (SN III 202-216)

The attainment of the soldpanna in this respect is that he has no doubt that bodily form and mental processes are impermanent (anicca), suffering (dukkba) and liable to change (aviparināmadhamma), and is hence free of the wrong views above, although is not yet liberated. The SN here, as in the previous example, opposes the soldpanna with the Arahat. It points out that the person who is liberated, the Arahat, has turned away from (nibbindati) all of these processes (SN III 224, §20).

There are also conditions based on the attainment of certain qualities, *indrivact*<sup>4</sup> Several variations on the definition of the *sotipanna* each with a new condition for the attainment of this stage, and a different Arahat formula from the one that usually occurs in this context (Version A, 4) occur further on in the SN in a series of four suttas in a chapter on *indrivac* (SN V 193f ii-v). Instead of being defined in terms of the more usual Three Fetters condition, the *sotipanna* is defined in terms of a Five Indriya condition:

÷.

Yato ... arlyasāvako imesam paācannam indriyānam samudayañca athtagamañca assādañca didinavañca nissaraņaāca yahtābhilam pajānāti / ayam vuccati bhik khave ariyasāvako sotāpanno avinipāla-dhammo niyato sambodik-parāyuno ti. "A noble disciple, brethren, is called "A noble disciple, a Stream-Enterer, characterised by freedom from (falling back to) lower existences, restrained, having

<sup>64</sup> This term may refer to qualities of conduct or to the six sense faculties.

<sup>57</sup> Tr. Woodward, KS III 164.

<sup>58</sup> Tr. Gombrich, 1990 : 15.

#### Joy Manné

Enlightenment as his goal,' when he understands as they really are, the rising, the disappearance, the satisfaction, the danger, and freedom from these Five Qualities,"<sup>65</sup>

720/

Here the five qualities (*indriyas*) are faith (*scaddhā*), energy (*wirja*), mindfulness (*sati*), concentration (*samādhi*) and insight (*pañāā*).<sup>46</sup> Once again the stages of *solāpama* and Arahat are contrasted with each other. The stage of Arahat<sup>57</sup> is attained by "seeing" (*widīwā*) the five *indriyas* above, whereas the activity or attainment of the *solāpanna* is "understanding" (*pajānā*)them.

Further new definitions for the attainments of the stage of soldpama, which use the same formula as above, are expressed in terms of a Six Sense-Faculty condition, (also indrivas):<sup>44</sup> the faculties of the eye, the ear, the nose, the tongue, the body, and the mind (cakkbundriya, softndriya, ghänindriya, jivhindriya, käpindriya, manindriya. SN V 205 para 26.(5)) and a different five Indriva condition: happiness, suffering, joy, dejection, and equanimity (sukh, somanass-, domanass-, and upekhindriya. SN V 207, §32.(2)).<sup>69</sup>

In this section of the SN, *indrivas* of all sorts are very important.

66 Tr. Woodward, KS V 169.

68 Tr. Woodward, KS V 181.

69 In both of these cases the subsequent sutta defines the arahat in terms of these indrivas, and uses the formula *Yato ... ariyasāvako ...* above.

3.2.4. The advantages of having attained the stage of sotāpanna.

The advantages of having attained the fruit of Stream-Entry are, of course, implied in all of the attainments and all of the formulas above. They will not be repeated here. The texts, however, also specify them in this way: the sotäpanna has: (i) certainty as to the True Teaching, (ii) no tendency to diminution (of attainment, presumably), (iii) none of the suffering of a limited person, and (iv) endowment with uncommon knowledge; (v) he has both understood the cause and the dhammas that have come about through the cause (scadthammaniyato host, aparihānadhammo host, pariyantakatassa dukkham na host, asādhārgena fiāņena samannāgato hot, hetu classa sudiţho heatsammuppannā ca ahammā. AN III 441, XCV). The sotāpanna has gone beyond simply hearing the Teaching and having faith in its has entered upon certainy. There is no mention of freedom from rebirth in this list.

Summary of §§3.2.1-4

What we have observed is that the Beliefs and Practices formula fulfils several functions. It both expresses and defines *sotifpanna* attainments, it functions as an attainment formula and it expresses the conditions under which a person may declare himself a *sotifpanna*. These conditions are to a certain degree uncertain, Even if the requirements of this formula are fulfilled, further conditions may be added. Attainment may be declared through this or through a different formula. The conditions under which this formula may be declared are similarly not always consistent. Neither formula mentions freedom from rebirth though this aspect may occur in a sutta that contains the formula.<sup>70</sup> We have further observed that attainments may also be expressed according

70 See e.g. SN V 387. I have not searched for more examples.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> SN V 193 § 3, cf. SN V 193, § 2 which omits samudayañca attangamañca. The last part of this formula appears in the formula through which the attainment of sotāpanna is declared. See 3.2.5 below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> Here this stage is described by a different arahat formula from that which usually occurs in this context ((4) above), araham khimäsaro vusitavä katakaramjyo ohitabhäro anuppattasadattho parikhinabhava samyojano sammadamiä vimuto.

1516

4

2

to many different features of the Teaching. The essential attainment of the soldpanna is the moral code, silar, more or less strictly adhered to. The inclusion of other attainments is unconvincing. One is left with the impression of attainments in search of a named stage, the stage being a definable measurement.

3.2.5 The method for attaining the stage of sotāpanna.

Several methods are provided for attaining the stage of solāpanna. These methods are expressed in two ways: what must be thought or done specifically for attaining this stage; and what must be done in terms of a particular element of the Teaching which, through being cultivated, will lead to Stream-Entry and to each of the other three stages progressively. Methods may be specified or implied. The solāpanna formula in Version A implies a method which leads to the disappearance of the three fetters. The basic method for attaining this and the other stages is the cultivation of the moral requirements (sita, AN 1 231f). It is further necessary to aim to fulfil the qualifications of the Behaviour formula and the Beliefs and Practices formula, to be generous and charitable to the monks; to understand dependent origination (paticeasamuppāda); and to conform to certain indrive conditions.

Some suttas specify that the method for development through the stages depends on more practice of the same thing. For example, in order to attain this stage and the three further stages one needs to develop progressively the practice of "mindfulness centred on the body" (*kāyagaā-saat* AN I 44). The correct contemplation of the five grasping groups (*paācupādānākkhandā*), in order to be able to see them as "impermanent, suffering, a disease, an abease, a sting (arrow), a pain, an affliction, alien, decaying, empty, and without self" (*anicato dukkhato rogato gandato sallato aghato ābādhato parato paikato saiñāto anattato yoniso* manasi *katabbā.* SN III 1671, §122) will also lead to this attainment. Instead of the initial requirement that the monk be well-taught (*sutavā*), the requirement that he have his moral practice in order (*sītavā*) may be found (SN III 167f, §123).

The method for attaining the fruit of Stream-Entry is defined in terms of the Behaviour formula: it requires the development and the practice of these behaviours (SN V 410f).

Conditions that preclude the attainment of this stage and, obviously, of any of the others, are also described. This implies that training to avoid these specified conditions forms an aspect of the method for attaining the stage. Thus a bhikkhu who perceives permanence in the conditioned states (*sarkháraz*) cannot achieve the appropriate intellectual receptivity<sup>11</sup> for attaining any of the four stages. So he must train himself in the direction of perceiving impermanence (AN III 441, XCVI).

There is no record in DN, MN, AN or SN of an individualised or personal instruction for the attainment of this stage.

3.2.6 The Relative Frequency of the Attainment of the stage of sotāpanna.

With regard to relative frequency of attainment of the four stages, there is only one sutta, SN V 406 (§§ 6-8), that gives any information. This sutta says that there are few (appada) monks who are arahats, more (bahutara) who are opapatitkas (§ 6), even more who are sakadāgamins (§ 7) and even more who are solāpannas (§ 8). The stage of solāpanna is, thus, the most frequently attained stage.

#### 3.2.7 Types of sotāpanna

#### i The Once-Returner (sakadāgamin) as sotāpanna

The lists of §2.2.2 offered four types of *sotāpanna* defined according to the number of rebirths each could expect, *satiakhaituparama*, 7

71 BHSD, s.v. anulomikā khanti.

72

the state of the state of the

74

#### Joy Manné

76/6

rebirths; kolankola, 2 - 3 rebirths; ekabijin, one seed or potential; sakadāgāmin, 1 rebirth. The structure of this passage shows that it regarded the sakadāgāmin as a type of sotāpanna.<sup>22</sup>

#### ii The Non-Returner (anāgamin) as sotāpanna

An AN sutta (V 120) defines five sotāpannas, who are perfected here on earth (idha nittha): the sattakkhattuparama, the kolankola, the ekabijin, the sakadāgamin, and one who is an Arahat in this lifetime (yo ca ditthe' eva dhamme araha); and a further five Stream-Enterers who, having abandoned this place, i.e. the earth, (idha vihāva), are perfected: the antarānarinihhāvin. the upahaccaparinibbāyin, the asankhāraparinibbāvin. the sasankhāraparinibbāyin, and the uddhamsota akanitthagāmin (see §2.2.3. Cf. also AN V 119f). As the latter group attain their liberation from a different world from this one, they are anagamin.

#### iii The Arahat as a sotāpanna<sup>73</sup>

The sotipanti-samputa (SN V 342-413) shows that the category sotipanna could be very wide, and that the Arahat too could fall within it. An AN stata (V 120) is clear about this. In it the Buddha is says, "All those who have perfect faith in me are Stream-Enterers" (se keci bitkibane mayi aveccappatanna, sabbe te sotipanna). In any case it makes sense that cach advanced stage of development includes the attainments of the previous less advanced stage.

# 3.3. The stage of Once-Returner, sakadāgāmin

Although this stage has considerable importance in the AN elaborations of the brief standard version, I have barely been able to find a passage that makes a more individual reference to it and its contingent qualities and attainments. Hence most of the headings which I proposed in the introduction to this section are empty.

#### 3.3.1 Formulas that define the stage of sakadāgāmin

The only formula attached to this stage occurs in Version A of the Four Stages HCH. This stage has no attainment formula.

# 3.3.2 The Attainments of the stage of sakadāgāmin

Besides the attainments of reducing passion, hatred and delusion (*rágadosamohānam*), expressed in the standard formula, this stage is not attributed with clear conditions under which its attainment can be ascretinied. Only at SN V 411 does this stage appear at all individually. There four dhammas are proclaimed which when developed lead to the attainment of the fruit of this stage. The suta stops there. The repetitive nature of this part of SN must mean us to understand these dhammas to be the same as the four constituents of the *sotăgranna* (3.2.1.B), and specified in the preceding suta (SN V 410), and to apply to the fruits of the attainment of the stage of *anāgāmin* and Arabat (see SN V 411, the following sutas). It may be that the text wants in this way to make the point that each attainment includes the accomplistments of the previous attainment. If this is the case, it is not very clearly put In any case, at this point in this part of the SN, everything seems to be the same as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> The arguments in favour of this position can be found in §§ 2.2.2 and 4.3.4.
<sup>73</sup> See Bareau, 1955 : 261.

77/6

Jov Manné 3.3.3 The advantages of having attained the stage of sakadāgāmin.

The advantages contingent upon the attainment of this stage are not set forth in the texts under study beyond their appearance in the standard version of the Four Stages HCH. By implication, and as the etymology of the name indicates, the great advantage to this stage was that only one reincarnation was required before liberation would be attained

3.3.4 The method for the attainment of the stage of sakadāgāmin.

There is no method given in these texts for moving up to this particular stage from the stage of sotāpanna besides that to be inferred from the standard formula, namely, effort towards the attainment of the diminution of passion, hatred and delusionment (raga, dosa and moha).

3.3.5 The Relative frequency of attainment of the stage of sakadāgāmin.

The stage sakadāgāmin is more frequently attained than the stages arahat and opapätika, and less frequently attained than sotapanna. (SN V 406, cf. §3.2.6)

3.3.6 Types of sakadāgamin

Rather than there being types of sakadagamin, the lists of §2.2.2 suggest that the sakadāgamin was a type of sotaāpanna. (See §3.2.7.)

#### stage of Non-Returner. 3.4 The opapātika/ anāgāmin

This stage presents us with two particular problems: (1) its terminology, as this stage is known under two names; and (2) the way it is defined. In defining this stage, the central issues that the texts are involved with are the difference between a Returner and a Non-Returner, the different types of Non-Returners, and the difference between the Non-Returner and the Arahat. Passages devoted to defining the psychology or the mental state of the anagamin him- or herself are rare, and there are none devoted to defining that of the opapätika.

In this section I will begin by considering the terminology, After that the headings will conform to those of the previous sections. The issue of the difference between a Returner and a Non-returner will be treated in the section on attainments (§3,4.2). The issue of the difference between the Non-Returner and the Arahat is treated in its own section, after a brief section on the Arahat (§3.5).

The Terminology

This stage is designated by two terms: opapätika and anagamin. The term opapätika is rather precise and comes from what we might call a biological context, but which in terms of ancient India is probably more accurately considered philosophical; it means "born by spontaneous generation". The word anagamin means simply "not coming back". These terms are not used interchangeably in the same expressions; rather, each one has its own territory. The term opapatika is standard where all four stages are expressed in the standard version of this case history. where the attainment of this stage is expressed separately from the other stages but in terms of the standard expression (MN ii 146), and in debate and mythical contexts. In formulas related to psychological aspects, including attainments, but with the exception of the standard version, the

76

ŝ

2016

term anāgāmin is used. Neither of these terms appear in the elaborations of this HCH.

#### i The term "opapätika".

Both the contexts and the formulas within which the term opapäika occurs independently of its connection with the other stages, suggest that it is an ancient term. The context is either a Debate or it is connected with mythology.

The debate context concerns the wrong view: "There is no gift, no offering, no sacrifice; there is no fluit or ipening of deeds well done or ill done; this world is not, the world beyond is not; there is no mother, no father, no beings spontaneously reborn (*opapātika*); there are no recluses and brähmins in the world who have gone right, who fare rightly, men who by their own comprehension have realised this world and the world beyond and thus declare."<sup>4</sup>

A similar expression occurs in the Brahmajāla Stata (DN 1 1 27). Here the "evasive arguer" (amarā-vikkheņikā) will avoid giving an answer to a number of points including whether the opapātika exists, does not exist, both exists and does not exist, neither exists nor does not exist (4thi sanā opapātikā? Nauthi sattā opapātikā? Atthi ca n'atthi ca sattā opapātikā? Nev' atthi na n'atthi sattā opapātikā? Atthi ca n'atthi ca sattā opapātikā? Nev' atthi na n'atthi sattā opapātikā?, whether deeds have results (sukatadukkajāmam kammānam phalam viņāko), whether a world beyond exists - paraloka, and whether the Tathāgata exists. Further there is Kassapā's argument in the Pāytār Sutta (DN 23): the whole of this sutta is a debate about this view. One of Kassapa's points in this argument is that the world beyond, *opapatitkas*, and the results of deeds cannot be seen by the physical eye (*manusc-catkhin*), but only by a sufficiently trained person who has developed the Divine Eye (*dibba catkhin*).

The term opapātika occurs also in contexts which demonstrate that it formed part of contemporary mythology, although at the time it might have been believed to express a biological fact. One occurrence is concerned with defining types of birth: "There are four types of birth: oviparous, viviparous, from moisture, spontaneously" (Catasso yoniyo. Andaja-yoni, jalābuja-yoni, samsedaja-yoni, opapātika-yoni. DN 33 III 230. §xxvi; MN 12 I 73; SN III 240-5. That this context is mythical is shown in the SN (III 240-246), which concerns Nagas, who are mythical beings. Their birth is described as being of four types as above, with the opapätika being the best type. Each of these types of naga, however, is equally keen to keep the sacred days in order to achieve rebirth in heaven. The following book of this volume (SN III 246-9) occupies itself with the relationship between the Supanna, a mythical bird, and the Näga. Supannas too are subject to these four kinds of birth. A further mythological context occurs where the opapatika appears among beings that one might come across teaching the Dhamma in a celestial state (devanikāya) if one was reborn there (AN II 186).

The contexts in which the term opapatitka occurs suggests that this is an ancient term and indeed also an old issue. The debate contexts connect Buddhism with a more ancient Indian tradition.<sup>75</sup> If we accept them as evidence, then the issue of whether or not a being that comes into existence without the occurrence of a sexual act exists was debated. This would imply that different groups held different views about it and that the Buddhists were themselvers required to take up a position. This notion

75 See Witzel, 1987; Manné, 1990.

78

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> natthi dinnam natthi jitiham natthi hutam, natthi sukatadukkatänam kamañam phalam vipäka, natthi ayan loko natthi paro loko, natthi mälä natthi piä nathi sati opapäikä, anthi loks samapabrämadi sammaggatä samnäpatjaanä, ye imai ca lokam parai ca lokam sapam abhiñiä sacohlarwä pavedant ii. AN V 265 (tr. Woodward, GS V 178) and variously. This expression occurs in each of DN, MN, AN and SN. See CPD, s.v. opapatika. The relationship between opapäika and anägämin has been overlooked by the CPD.

#### Joy Manné

80

therefore cannot be taken to be a Buddhist invention. The fact that this term has a mythological context also supports this view. It too entitles us to think that this concept was not original to Buddhism. From the character of these contexts the likely history of the term *opapäitka* is that it was imported by the Buddhists into the context of stages of development from mythical and philosophical or biological contexts. Through the consistent use of *opäpäitka* in the standard version of this HCH, which is also its most frequent expression, it is possible that *opäpäitka* is an older term than *anägämin*. It's possible history within Buddhism is that it was originally a metaphor which later became a technical term.

ii The term *anāgāmin*: the issue of the difference between the Returner and the Non-Returner

The etymology of this term shows that it designates a state of not returning, of not coming back. The issue of the difference between a "Returner" and a "Non-Returner" is particularly an AN preoccupation.

The difference between a "Returner", and a "Non-Returner", is defined: the grounds for discrimination are whether their fetters (samyojana) are internal (aj/hata) or estemal (bahidaba AN I 63f). Both the Returner and the Non-Returner "live (in obedience to) the moral practices, restrained with the restraint of the obligations, proficient in following the practice of right conduct, (they) see danger in the slightest faults: (they) take up and train (themselves) in the rules of morality" (silavā hoti pātimokkha-samvarasamvuto viharati ācāra-gocarasampanno anumatesu vajiesu bhayadassāvī samādāya sikkhati sikkhāņadesu. AN I 63. Each finds himself in a certain company of godā dier death (so kāyassa bhedā param maraņa añiātaram devanikāyam uppajjati. ibid.). The āgāmin, however, on leaving that existence, comes back to this world (so tato cuto āgāmi hoti āgantā tuhattam, ibid.). He suffers this fattes because his tetters are personal or internal.

# 3.4.1 Formulas, including attainment formulas

The anāgāmin, like the sotāpanna and the arahat, has his attainment formula:

Yāni cimāni bhante Bhagavatā paācorambhāgiyāni samyojanāni desitāni nāham tesam kikci attani appahīnam samanupassāmi "Moreover, as to those five feiters of the lower sort shown by the Exalted One, I do not see a single one of them in myself that is not abandoned." (SN V 1771)<sup>16</sup>

This formula, however, exists only in the SN, and is declared only by the gahapatis; (Sirivad, dha (V 177) and Mānadinna (V 178). Although it is acknowledged by the Buddha to indicate their attainment of the stage of anāgāmin, one cannot attach any weight to its existence. There is not enough evidence to believe that this anāgāmin attainment formula was ever used in a debate situation.

#### 3.4.2 anāgāmin attainments

The standard definition of this stage of attainment under the designation andgāmin, and the key condition for its attainment, as the standard version shows, is the abandoning of the five lower fetters (arambhāgiyāni samyojanāni, cf. SN V 159f), and the diminution of the destructive emotions of passion, hatted, and delusionment. Various texts describe the five lower fetters (see under Version A, §2.3) but these specifications are never given in the context of the anägāmin. From this one may conclude that abandoning the five lower fetters was a recognised stage of development that existed prior to the invention of the anägāmin and that it got attributed to the him after he was invented.

79/6

<sup>76</sup> Tr. Woodward, KS V 156f.

Joy Manné

Beyond the above there is very little further information about this stage. One suita defines conditions for the attainment of the fruit of this stage. Unless one has given up six things: "disbelief, shamelessness, recklessness, indolence, forgetfulness in mindfulness and foolishness" (assaddhiya, ahirika, anottappa, kosajja, mutthasacca, duppañitatā. AN III 421)<sup>77</sup> one cannot realize its fruit. Depending on whether or not one follows suitas that place the fruit before the stage (§2.1, Version C) the andēzāmir either has, or is developing these qualities.

Other attainments are implied, especially that the anāgāmin has all the sotāpanna attainments but at a higher level. This is sometimes specified: e.g. the anāgāmin will have progressed further with regard to his comprehension of the five grasping groups (pañcupādānakkhandā), than have the earlier stages (SN III 1676, §122).

I have found no information regarding the behaviour and beliefs attained by those who have attained the stage of *anāgāmin*.

3.4.3 The advantages of having attained the stage of anägämin

The advantage in attaining this state is the assurance of non-returning.

3.4.4 Methods for the attainment of the stage of anāgāmin

A method is given to attain this stage. This is the method to transcend the five fetters which belong to the lower world. This can be achieved by becoming clear about the teaching, "Were I not then, it would

77 Tr. Hare, GS III 297.

not now be mine. It shall not be, and mine it shall not be (No cassa no ca me siyā na bhavissati na me bhavissatīti. SN III 56).<sup>78</sup>

3.4.5 Relative frequency of attainment

This stage is rather frequently attained either in its own right (AN I 64, II 160, IV 63 etc.), or as one possibility among the first three stages of this HCH (AN V 86), or specifically in opposition to Arahantship.<sup>79</sup> It is more frequently attained than the stage *arahar*, but less frequently than the other two stages (See also §§ 3.2.6 and 3.3.5).

3.4.6 Types of anagamin

86/6

The texts may divide the anägāmin into two types or into five types (see §2.2.3). Both of these definitions into types of anägāmin occur in the AN. To the best of my knowledge the division into two types is unique to AN while DN, SN and AN support the division into five types. The relationship between the two types and the five types of Non-Returner described here, is neither made explicit through clarification in the suttas nor indicated implicitly through an overlap of vocabulary between substages.

In general none of the substages of the anägämin receive any further clarification, except on one occasion in the AN (U7 70-74). Here a  $\approx$ bhikkhu has achieved certain attainments: he has reached the idea: "If it were not, it would not be mine; it shall not become, for me it shall not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> Tr. from Woodward, KS III 48; see also fn\* for reading of text. SN III 205, quoted under "*Sotāpanna* attainments", above has no cassam.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> Arbnam phalänam añkataram phalam pärjkankhan diutheva dhanme añhä sati vä upådisese anägämitä. DN II 315; MN I 63, etc; AN III 82, 143, etc; SN V 129, 181, 285. "of two fruits one may be expected in this very life, to witt realization, or, if there be any substrate left, the state of non-return." Tr. Woodward, KS V 159.

become; what is, what's become, that I abandon" (no c'assa, no ca me siyā, na bhavissati, na me bhavissati, yad atthi yam bhūtam, tam pajahāmī ti (AN IV 70);80 the first part of which is the method for attaining this stage, he has obtained equanimity (upekkhā) and, "He is not attached to rebirth or to birth; he has seen through the highest insight the peace which is the path to the greatest advantage Nibbana, but he has not seen this path completely, and he has not completely abandoned the tendencies to pride, to lust for rebirth, and to ignorance" (So bhave na raijati, sambhave na raijati, atthuttarim padam santam sammapaññāya passati; tañ ca khvassa padam na sabbena sabbam sacchikatam hoti, tassa na sabbena sabbam mānānusayo pahīno hoti, na sabbena sabbam bhavarāgānusayo pahīno hoti, na sabbena sabbam avijjānusayo pahino hoti. AN IV 70). Once the five fetters binding to the lower states disappear, he becomes one of the five types of anagamin. Here, these different types are distinguished by means of the simile of an iron slab which is heated and beaten, and gives off fragments which take different amounts of time to cool down, and whose cooling down has different effects on the environment. A fragment may simply cool down, or cool down having risen up into the air, or cool down without harming the ground: this is comparable with the substage antarāparinibbāyin. A fragment which cools down having harmed the ground, is comparable with the substage upahaccaparinibbayin. A fragment which falls on and sets fire to a small heap of grass and sticks which, for want of fuel, becomes extinguished when this is used up is comparable with the substage asarikhäraparinibbäyin. A fragment which falls on a large heap is comparable with the substage sasankhāra parinibbāyin and a fragment which sets fire to a large heap of fuel and whose fire spreads to the surrounding shrubland and woodland and so forth before cooling down, is comparable to the uddhamsoto akinitthagāmin. The final paragraph is devoted to explaining anupādā parinibbāna -"Nibbāna that does not take up any more fuel". This is done by means of an arahant formula, but not that of the Four Stages HCH (*faxwinani kłayā* ... *pe* ... szcchikawā upasampajja vihariti. AN IV 74). This simile is based on the way the Buddhists understood the etymology of the word *nibdāra*,<sup>81</sup> which provides the metaphor of the going out of a fire. It's use here is beautiful and poetic, and enhances the idea of "extinguishing", which is used to explain Nibbāna, by providing an illustrative image, but it provides no real facts or standards for discriminating between the different types of *anāgāmin.* 

#### 3.5 The Arahat.

8116

An adequate study of the variety of descriptions of this stage in the context of Case Histories would require a very long book of its own, and no efforts in this direction will be made here. The authenticity of this stage is not, I think, in question - at least the word is not disputed as an epithet for the person who has attained liberation, the ultimate goal in Buddhism. What is interesting in this context is the vague and undefinable line between Arahat and *andgamin* that is so often evident in AN and SN, and that occurs in DN also.

## 3.6 anāgāmin vs Arahat.

It is evident that at a certain point in the history of Buddhism there was a confusion between the stages of Arahat and *anägémin*, and a problem in separating them, and the issue was their individual attainments. This confusion shows up primarily in the AN. Thus, one who develops five dhammas which are the constituents of psychic power (*idhipadhāna*): the concentrations on will, mind, effort and investigation (*chandar*, *citix*, *viriye*: and *vimapsis*-samädh) will attain either the fruit

<sup>80</sup> Tr. Hare, GS IV 40f.

<sup>81</sup> PTSD, s.v. nibbāna.

of aññā (a synonym for Arahantship as §2.2.4, 4.i and 4.ii above show<sup>82</sup>), in this very life-time (ditth'eve dhamme) or, should there be any remainder (upādisesa), the state of non-returning (anāgāmitā, AN III 82. Cf also SN V 129-133, 236 § 65 (5); MN I 481 above). There is no information regarding the conditions under which a remainder would exist, and thus no explanation why one stage rather than another should be attained. Similarly, when the mind of a bhikkhu is encompassed about his going forth according to rule and evil, unskilled dhammas that have arisen do not occupy his mind, and it is encompassed about the concepts of impermanence (anicca), non-self (anatta), the repulsive (asubha) and danger (adinava), and about knowing the equal and the unequal (sama, visama) and the production and annihilation (sambhava, vibhava) and the creation and destruction of the world (samudaya, atthangama), and about abandoning (pahāna), absence of passion (virāga) and cessation (nirodha), then he will either have attained anna or anagamita, as above (AN v 108). Further it is said that the attainment of any of the four ihānas will result either in the attainment of the stage Arahat, or in that of the stage anagamin, both stages expressed in conformity with the standard version (AN V 343). No reasons are given why one of these stages rather than the other should be the result of any jhāna.

In general, the difficulty of recognising stages was recognised in the AN. It addresses itself also to the difficulty of identifying stages in relation to attainments. Three great sages of Buddhism, Savitha, MahāKoţhita and Sāriputta, discuss which is the most excellent, persons with the attainment of *kāyasakkhī*, *diţhippatta* or *saddhāvimuta*. Each has a different preference, and so, to decide the matter, the Buddha is consulted. The Buddha's response is that it is not easy to tell: any among them could be either a *sotāpatna*, a *sakadāgāmin* or a *anāgāmin* (AN I 118).

# 4 REVIEW AND DISCUSSION

82/6

This discussion will begin with a consideration of the authenticity and the history of the list of the stages with their fruits. It will then go on to treat the elaborations of this list of stages. After that the authenticity of the individual stages will be considered, and finally the differences between the DN, MN, SN and AN will be examined. Section 5 concerns the way this hypothetical case history is used, and abused, in the texts. section 6 will consider how this HCH came about.

# 4.1 The stages and their fruits

· The most regularly occurring variation of this HCH is that of the stages and their fruits. The relative frequency with which this version occurs poses the question whether it is a variation of the Four Stages HCH or whether it is an elaboration of the brief version which it came to replace. Two particular features show that it is indeed an elaboration. The first is its inconsistency; as was said above, sometimes in this listing the stage will precede its fruit, and sometimes the fruit will precede its stage. The second shows also the cause of these irregularities: it lies in the structure of the original expression. The Pali phrase which most usually introduces this formula: sotāpanno sotāpattiphalasacchikiriyāya patipannno (DN III 255 = AN IV 204 = AN IV 292 = SN V 202\* §18(8)), can be translated, "the Stream-Enterer, who has entered upon", or "obtained", or "who regulates his life by, the experience of the fruit of stream-entry", in other words, the phrase sotapattiphalasacchikirivava patipannno, may be construed to qualify the term sotapanno. In this case why take the phrase to indicate two distinct stages? The reason is both because certain texts give these specifically as separate stages (MN III 254), and because others indicate in their introductory phrases that this list contains eight items (AN IV 292). But are they right? I think not, It makes sense that if one has attained something, one will, by definition,

# 83/6

#### Joy Manné

enjoy its fruit, i.e. the reward for one's efforts, afterwards, and that the fruit of some action cannot be obtained before the completion of the action, i.e. the attainment of the purpose or goal. Both the grammar of the formula and the inconsistency of the ordering of the stages and their fruit indicate that the division into stage and fruit is spurious.

This list may have came into the tradition in the following way. During the process of evolution of this HCH, it became necessary to attribute a stage with bringing certain benefits, and, obviously, if stages exist, they must indeed do so. In a passion for categorising, i.e. making dhammas of, everything, the rather intangible benefits became transformed into the rather tangible fruits. This process was assisted by the misconstruing of the structure of a phrase. The artificiality of this procedure is evident in the confusion of the texts with regard to what comes first: the stage or its fruits. This elaboration, therefore, cannot be taken to refer to genuine stages in a process of development. This is despite the attempt to authenticate it in the AN, where the Buddha is attributed with each of these fruits (AN I 23). This attempted authentication is not carried as far as the chief disciples who, to the best of my knowledge, are never attributed in the DN, MN, SN or AN either with any of the stages lower than Arahat, or with their fruits, with the exception of sotapanna. We can thus see that both the list of stages and fruits and the list of the fruits alone are the result of a misunderstanding of an expression. This misunderstanding has created a tradition.

#### 4.2 The Elaborations

As was shown in §2, these standard versions suffer various elaborations. These elaborations, or parts of them, occur as integral parts of AN and SN, but are evidently imported into the DN via its Abhidhammic-style suttas (DN 33 and 34), and do not occur at all in MN. 4.2.1 Elaborations of the stages sotāpanna and anāgāmin, and of the pre-stage: the Buddhist interest in measuring attainment

The elaborations seem to have come about through a fascination with measuring attainments. This had led to two of the original stages, the stage of *soliparma*, and the stage of *anägämin*, receiving subdivisions (see §2.2.2 and 3). The subdivisions take place according to specific criteria. One important criterion for the division of the stage of *sorăpanna* is the number of rebirths to be expected, rebirths which take place in this world. and which are human incarnations.

The stage anägämin is divided in different ways. One of these ways is in terms of the location and period of the rebirth that will be obtained: among various kinds of gods, for various lengths of time, and under various conditions.<sup>41</sup> Other criteria may seem to be highly technical as in sasankhära, asankhärä, the state of the sankhärära, and upahaccae- or antarä-parinibbäyi hoit, the exact moment of attaining Nibbāna. There is also the metaphor of the fragments of the iron slab which illustrates the very fine nuances between the divisions of this stage (§3.4.6).

The wish to create a system of measurable attainments extends to the stage prior to entry into the stages of this HCH. This pre-stage may be called that of the Ordinary Man, (*publicityana* MN, SN), or of the disciple "who lives in accordance with the *dhamma*", (*dhammānusārin*), or "who lives in accordance with the *dhamma*", (*dhammānusārin*), or "who lives in accordance with the *dhamma*", (*dhammānusārin*, SN), or of the person who is "beyond, and without attachment to sense-pleasures", (*dhātiraka kāmesu vitarīga*), or at its lowest level, an animal (*diracchānagata*). The three terms *dhammānusārin*, *saddhānusārin* and *bāhiraka kāmesu vitarīga* attest to a certain minimal level of attainment (§2.2.1).

83 See Homer, 1936 : 246 - 251.

88

۰.

90

4.2.2 The elaborations connected to other aspects of the Teaching

The SN connects this HCH with the Indrivas HCH, the Seven Factors of Awakening HCH, and the Seven Fruits of Mindfulness on the Breathing HCH (§2.3.1). The AN connects this HCH with the Three Trainings HCH and the Three Categories of Fetters HCH (§2.3.2). The questions that have to be asked here are: (1) How can we be certain that these are indeed elaborations of the Four Stages HCH rather than separate HCHs, or stages intrinsic to the other HCH? and (2) How can we be certain that it is the Four Stages HCH which is imposed and the other HCH which "receives" this imposition, and not vice versa? The answer to the first question is that this can be inferred from the texts through their use of a particular vocabulary, including synonyms, and also through their structure, that these elaborations belong to the Four Stages HCH. The answer to the second question is that if all reference to the Four Stages HCH were omitted from any of the "receiving" HCH, these HCHs would still stand as independent HCHs. I think there can be no doubt in these cases that the various attainments existed in the form of independent HCHs, and that the concepts and terminology of the developing Four Stages HCH were imposed upon them.

# 4.3 The individual stages

#### 4.3.1 The Arahat

I do not put this stage in question, nor do I put in question the use of this term to designate the stage of the attainment of Enlightenment or Liberation. It was in general use in this sense among various groups who sought liberation.<sup>54</sup> Its usage throughout the Canon is consistent. It always occurs in the expression for the Buddha's credentials in the debate tradition.<sup>55</sup> It is very frequently used in many other circumstances in phrases qualifying the term "Buddha". It is used to designate the attainment of the monk who has achieved Nibbāna, the goal of the Buddha's teaching. On all of these grounds, I take the view that it is an early term, in use at the time of the Buddha himself, and with this meaning.

4.3.2 The stage of the Non-Returner, opapātika/anāgāmin

The attainments of the stage of Non-Returner are rather cursorily given and not much attention is paid to the method for obtaining them (§3.3.4).

I suggested that the term opapātika, "born by spontaneous generation", was a metaphor which became a technical term and a, synonym for "Non-Returner" (§3.4). This idea is precisely expressed in the term anāgāmin whose etymology leaves nothing to be guessed, and which, as in the case of the Once-Returner, sakaddīgāmin, shows that it was invented to cover one particular situation; that of having escaped the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> "... this same term (or its equivalent ...) was also used by the Jainas, and perhaps the Äjivikas ... to designate those who have reached the highest stage possible while still embodied as human beings." See Bronkhorst, 1986 : 6. <sup>85</sup> Manné, 1990 : 2. Liib, quote 16.

#### Jov Manné

85/6

destiny of coming back. What could have been the issues that gave rise to the need for this notion? It is generally agreed that the Buddha taught that Enlightenment could be attained in this very lifetime (ditth'eva dhamme).86 That leaves a rather problematic issue: what would happen if the practitioner nearly became an Arahat in this very lifetime - but not ouite. If there was a possibility of losing all that one hoped to have gained in pursuing a goal whose attainment could not be guaranteed, what could persuade or encourage more than the minimum amount of ardent disciples - almost certainly not enough of them to sustain the religion that the effort was worth it. The notion of rebirth was very likely available and elegantly solved the problem. It therefore seems likely that the notions and terms Once-Returner (sakadagāmin) and Non-Returner (anagamin) were incorporated into Buddhism at a time when the Buddhists needed to emphasise the effectiveness of their practice, whether death intervened or not.87 The important feature with regard to the promulgation the Teaching at that time was that it lead, not only to the high goal of Arahatship, but also, as the names show, to not coming back.

The attention paid to the issue of the difference between the anāgāmin and the Arabat, and the lack of clarity and precise definition suggests that the invention of the notion of anāgāmin created difficulties in this direction.

# 4.3.3 The stage of the Once-Returner, sakadāgāmin

Of all of the four stages of this HCH, the stage of sakadāgamin, receives the least attention in the texts. The etymology of this word shows that the stage was invented to cover one particular situation: that of having as one's destiny only one more occasion of rebirth. Behaviour, beliefs, advantages connected with this stage, methods for its attainment, and an attainment formula are all sadly missing, with the exception of the contents of the phrase in the standard Variation 1A. That expression tells us that the *cakadāgāmin* potentially destructive emotions of anger, hatred and delusionment are diminished, and that he will only be reborn one more time.

Case Histories from the Pali Canon II

### 4.3.4 The stage of the Stream-Enterer, sotāpanna

The attestations in §3.1 show that the primary characteristic of the sotāpanna, his chief attainment, is his faith (the Beliefs and Practices formula, §3.2.1.B) although attention was also drawn to the possibility that originally practice was important (the Behaviour formula, §3.2.1.A). The adaptability of the fourth condition of the Beliefs and Practices formula, especially when used as an attainment formula, provides evidence that the reward of this stage of attainment was offered for supporting the Sangha. Occasionally more difficult attainments were w required for this stage, for instance achieving the Noble Eightfold Path (§3.2.2) which is often represented as the attainment of the Arabat, or applying one's mind to the Causal Law - paticcasamupp ada (\$3.2.2). Sometimes going beyond doubt with regard to certain aspects of the Teaching was a required attainment, or attainments are required with regard to certain indrivas (§3.2.3). These additional requirements, however, can safely be regarded as late as, first of all, they do not annear in either of the attainment formulas, and besides that they occur only in



<sup>86</sup> See Bronkhorst, 1986 : 93.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> I do not wish to give the impression that this was the only reason and means through which the notion of Liberation after death entered Buddhism. See Bronkhorst, 1986 : 94f for several interesting textual examples of the tendency in Buddhism to postpone liberation until after death. These examples show that this notion was coming into Buddhism in many different ways.

#### Joy Manné

8616

the SN. They may be evidence of an attempt to raise standards (at least among the followers of the SN tradition).

The multiplicity of the information regarding the sotapanna, and its many contradictions, suggests that this notion is an early element in the development of Buddhism which has evolved and been intensely elaborated in the course of time. With regard to the designation of the stage of sotapanna, at least in the view of AN, all of the other stages of this HCH are simply types of sotāpanna. (AN V 120) This passage provides an important key to our understanding of this stage. I argued above (§4.3.1) that the stage of Arahat may be taken to have existed from the beginning of Buddhism. I have argued too (§4.3.2) that the stage anägämin solves the problem of what would happen if the practitioner nearly became an Arahat - but not quite, by offering a sort of guarantee, a saving clause for the promise that enlightenment was attainable during this lifetime. The stage anagamin contradicts the basic<sup>88</sup> Buddhist teaching that Enlightenment is attainable in the present lifetime. This discrepancy shows that this stage was invented later, and most likely after the time of the Buddha. The same must be said about the stage of sakadägämin which is so minimally developed in the texts. The close comparison between the etymological structure of these two terms suggests that they came into being together to serve the same purpose. This brings us to the question of the stage of sotapanna. What is the origin of the stage of sotapanna? The answer is that it was originally the stage, or perhaps more accurately the state of convert.89 The sotapanna was originally no more and no less that someone who had converted to Buddhism. Converting means having faith, conforming to a certain belief

<sup>89</sup> See Rhys Davids DB 1200, where he translates *solipama* with "a converted man". CE Masefield, 1986 : 135 who equates the *solipama* with the *sheda* and the *dithisampama*. Masefield is more interested in showing homogeneity than in investigating differences and therefore follows the first methodological approach that Schmithausen (1990) has defined. system and following a minimum number of rules of morality (sila). The solāpanna does all of these things. Originally, then, at the time of the Buddha, there were converts, and Arahats - practitioners who had attained Liberation. The invention of the stages of anāgāmin, and sakadāgāmin, however, necessitated the elaboration of the state of being a convert into the stage of solāpanna. In this way the four stages HCH came about. This being the situation, there is no surprise in the fact that the texts present the sakadāgāmin sa sype of solāpanna, as they do the anāgāmin and the Arahat (AN V 120). There is also no surprise either in the fact that solāpanna is the most frequently attained stage (SN V 406).

In this way the four stages HCH came about.

4.4 The difference in interest in this HCH between the DN, MN, SN & AN

4.4.1 The standard version, the brief version and the elaborations.

The standard version of this HCH and the brief version with fruits occur consistently in all of the four Nikāyas of this study except for the brief version which appears to be absent in the DN and the MN. Other elaborations occur minimally in the DN and MN and then only in texts that are undoubtedly late additions to these collections. Only the MN has variations within the context of this HCH of the expression for the fourth of the Four Stages, the Arnhat.

It is the SN and the AN which are most interested in the elaborations of this HCH. In general these texts agree on the elaborations as they appear in the lists. There are, however, interesting differences between them. One concerns the pre-stages, another concerns the sub-stages of the stage of solignama, and a further difference concerns the fact that with regard to the elaborations connected to other aspects of the Teaching, the SN (§2.3.1) and AN (§2.3.2) contain completely

94

<sup>88</sup> See Bronkhorst, 1986 : 93f.

87/6

different and unrelated information. With regard to the first point, the SN offers two pre-stages which are undoubtedly designed to accommodate two types of followers, those who live in accordance with faith (sadthämusärins) and those who live in accordance with faith (sadthämusärins), while the AN offers no pre-stages. This may be taken to indicate that the SN reciters were more in touch with their lay followers, and more required to please and to accommodate them, than their AN colleagues. This position is supported by the SN's generally greater interest in the stage of sotäpamar (see below). With regard to the second point, the substages of the stage sotäpama occur only as a list of terms in the SN, while the AN verplains the terms. This suggests that the AN may have originated these ideas or at least that the SN took them over from the AN. With regard to the third point, this gives the impression that the SN and the AN had a somewhat different view of the Teaching.

#### 4.4.2 The individual stages

#### i The stage of Stream-Enterer, sotāpanna.

The Nikäyas show distinct and different interests in the individual stages. MN shows no interest in defining the *solāpanna*, nor in attributing qualities to him. It contains only the standard version and the brief version with fruits. The DN contains both the Behaviour Formula and the Beließ and Practices formula, but both of these occur only in the Sangiti Sutta (DN III 227). It contains the *solāpanna* attainments, but these occur only in the Mahāparinibbāna Sutta (DN II 93), which is also a particular case.<sup>90</sup> The AN contains the Beliefs and Practices Formula (AN IV 406) and the condition for uttering it (the Fivefold Guilty Dread condition AN IV 405). It contains the *solāpanna* attainments, and it alone contains a list of advantages on the attainment

the stage of sotāpanna (AN III 441). It contains certain recommendations regarding the method for attaining all of the stages (AN I 44: 231f). It incorporates all the other stages into that of sotapanna, by dividing sotāpannas up into 10 types and subsuming the various types of anāgāmin into this stage, and by taking the view that all those with faith in the Buddha are sotāpanna (AN IV 120). It is the SN, however, that is really interested in the sotapanna. It contains the Behaviour formula (SN V 347, 404, etc.), the Beliefs and Practices formula (SN V 343f, 345, etc.), and the conditions for uttering these formulas (SN II 68f; V 387f). It contains the variations on this formula that adapt it for laymen (SN V 387) or that adapt it in the direction of generosity towards the monks (SN V 352), as well as the doubts about these adaptations (SN V 371; 398). It adds qualifications beyond those of the Behaviour, and the Beliefs and Practices formulas, such as application to the causal law, paticcasamuppāda (SN V 387), the attainment of seven good practices and four desirable states (SN v 352-356), a condition based on views (SN III 202-224), and two five indriva conditions (SN V 193f; SN V 207), and a six indriva condition (SN V 205), it proposes methods, such as contemplating the five grasping groups, pañcupādānakkhandhā. It is concerned with the differences between the Stream-Enterer (sotapanna) and the Arahat (§3.2.3).

# ii The stage of Once-Returner, sakadāgāmin

When it comes to the *sakadāgāmin*, none of the texts have a particular interest.

# iii The stage of Non-Returner, opapätika / anägämin.

On the subject of the opapatitka / anāgāmin there is once again difference and specialisation between SN and AN, while, as in the case of the souāpanna, DN and MN contain only the standard expressions. SN, however, contains an attainment formula. It emphasises the condition for the attainment of this stage of abandoning the five lower fetters (SN

<sup>90</sup> See Manné, 1990 : footnote 1.

V 177f), and offers a method for this (SN III 566). It demands further progress than the soldpanna with the five grasping groups, paircupädianakkhandhä(SN III 167f). AN says where, i.e. in which heaven, one might encounter an opapätika (AN II 186). It is concerned with the difference between a Returner, and a Non-Returner, discriminating between these two stages through a conditions based on fetters (AN I 63), qualifying the non-Returner through his mental capacities (AN I 63), qualifying the non-Returner through his mental capacities (AN I 64), or through a simile (AN IV 74). It offers a method for attaining this stage, and says that this stage is rather frequently attained (AN I 64, II 160, IV 63, etc.). It is aware of the difficulty of distinguishing between stages (AN I 118). This specialisation shows that of the two Nikâyas which interested themselves most in the Four Stages HCH, the SN was primarily concerned with the stage of anägämin.

Only AN is concerned with the problem that each stage could seemingly be attained in a variety of ways. It asserts that all *solāpannas* are equal, as are all *sakadāgāmins, anāgāmins* and Arahats (AN IV 364).

5 THE USE - AND ABUSE - OF THE FOUR STAGES CASE HISTORY

I said in the introduction to this chapter that the concepts samstara and karma required a HCH that extended over more than one lifetime. The original purpose of this HCH may have been to provide this, but its appearance in the texts shows how manifold its utility was. In this chapter we will look at how the DN, MN, SN and AN use, and abuse, this case history. In order to facilitate the comparison, common headings will be used. These will be:

 The Use of the Four Stages HCH in Debates: the Four Stages HCH as the main reason for following the Buddha's Teaching. 2 The Four Stages HCH and the promotion of the efficacity of the Buddha's Teaching: the need for measurable and definable attainments.

3 The Four Stages HCH as conferring status and rewards.

- 4 Attempts to relate the Four Stages HCH to other aspects of the Teaching:
  - i the attempt to relate it to other sequences of development.
  - the attempt to relate it to technical aspects of the Teaching.
- 5 The abuse of the Four Stages HCH.
- 6 Actual CH's.

88/6

7 The differences between the Nikāyas in their treatment of the Four Stages HCH.

5.1 The Use of the Four Stages HCH in the Debates: DN, MN, AN:

The Four Stages HCH as the main reason for following the Buddha's Teaching

The Four Stages HCH is connected with the debate tradition in two ways: (1) it is proposed as a means for winning a debate, and (2) it has attainment formulas connected to some of its stages.

In the Mahâli Stata (DN 6), replying to a challenge, the Buddha says that the reason for following his Teaching is in order to attain the four stages (expressed as in Version A).<sup>91</sup> On two other occasions it is claimed that it is precisely the existence of these four stages that differentiates the Buddha's system from other systems, and that this is the

98

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup> This sutta has been discussed in Manné, 1990 : 4.1.

#### Joy Manné

29/6

basis for an assertion (a lion's roar)<sup>72</sup> in a debate. However determinedly the importance of the Four Stages HCH is proclaimed, it never *whas* a debate! Debates are won on the Sāmaīñaphala Sutta hypothetical case history.<sup>52</sup> This fact rather detracts from the force of the assertions in the suttas cited above.

# 5.2 The Four Stages HCH and the promotion of the efficacity of the Buddha's Teaching: the need for definable and measurable attainments

This case history shows that it was necessary and important for the Buddhists to have definable and measurable attainments. In this way they were true psychologists! The Four Stages HCH is used to prove that the Buddha's method works. There is an emphasis that everyone who practises, attains. The Buddha says, "There is indeed in this Order of monks no doubt or misgiving in a single monk as to the Buddha, the Order, the Dhamma, the Way or the Practice. Of these five hundred monks here, Ånanda, the most backward is a Stream-Winner, one saved from the Downfall, assured, bound for Enlightenment" (AN II 80). Similarly, the Buddha states that simply by following his method for a day and a night, one of the stages: that of either the sakadigamin, the amäeämin, or the solibaranne, would be attained (AN V 86).

The attainment of stages is used to quantitatively demonstrate the effectiveness of hearing a sermon preached by the Buddha. In the SN, thirty monks who still have fetters (*samyojana*) come to see the Buddha for help. The Buddha recognises their state, and, in order for them all to attain release, preaches a sermon. They all duly attain release (*bhikkhūnam anupādāya āsavehi ciutāni vimucciņsu*. SN II 187ff). The instant freeing from intoxicants (*āsavehi zusy*) by means of a sermon preached by the Buddha is most characteristically a SN feature, although it occurs in other texts (SN II 187ff, III 68, 132; IV 20, etc.).

The four stages HCH is imposed on other HCHs (§2.3), thus providing for them a terminology capable of making vague notions of progress measurable.

# 5.3 The Four Stages used to confer status and rewards

There is a certain innocence in the way the texts exploit this aspect of the utility of the Four Stages HCH. The Four Stages are mentioned in three fantasy suttas:<sup>34</sup> the Jana-Yasabha Sutta (DN 18), the Mahā-Govinda Sutta (DN 19), and the Sakka-Patha Sutta (DN 21). In the Jana-Vasabha Sutta (DN 18), the Buddha's affirmation that there are large numbers of people in a certain region, Nădika, who have attained one or other of the first three stages after death is of central importance. Ananda immediately realises that if such attainments are confirmed for the faithful of one area, politics and good public relations require that they had be confirmed for the faithful of another. The issue is corrected by means of a fantastical story. The sutta emphasises the importance of the<sup>4</sup> possession of a named, defined stage of attainment.

The Maha-Govinda Sutta (DN 19), the second of these Fantasy suttas, asserts that every disciple has attained one of these four stages: it is comparable in function with the suttas in the previous section. This sutta's position on the Four Stages HCH is that merely becoming a disciple is enough to be rewarded with the stage of soliparma. This

í

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92</sup> Cullasihanāda Sutta (MN 11); AN II 238; see Manné, forthcoming (a). Also in the AN the stages and their fruits are called the 8th marvel (attha accariyā abbhutā dhammā) of the Buddha's dhamma and discipline (*dhammavinaya*). AN IV 204.

<sup>93</sup> See Manné, forthcoming (a), 2.1.

<sup>94</sup> See fn.12.

#### Joy Manné

3016

suggests that this sutta has its origins at a time when the term *sotāpanna* meant simply "convert".

Only the stage sotăpanna is mentioned in the third of these fantasy suttas, the Sateka-Fariha Sutta (DN 21), and here it is the god Sakka who lays claim both to being a disciple of the Buddha and to having attained this stage. It forms part of his credentials<sup>45</sup> when he presents himself to the Buddha and in this way demonstrates the link between stage and status. This sutta demonstrates that a stage could convey status. A god must have some messurable attainment!

The innocence with which the texts exploit this HCH is somewhat betrayed, however, in the *Nalakapaina Suta* (MN 68). This suta explains why the Buddha uses the 4 stages ... "the Tathāgata does not have the purpose of defrauding people nor the purpose of cajoling people not the purpose of gains, honour, fame and material advantages, nor the thought. 'Let people know me thus' when he explains the uprisings<sup>86</sup> in which are disciples who have deceased and passed away, saying: "Such a one has uprisen in one, such a one has uprisen in another.<sup>877</sup> But there are, ...young men of family who have faith and are of great enthusiasm, of great joyousness and who, having heard this, focus their minds on suchness. ...this will be for their weal and happiness for a long time" (MN I 465).<sup>88</sup> The text that follows shows clearly that the "uprisings" spoken about are the four stages. This explanation suggests that the four stages are an invention whose purpose was to inspire dedication to the practice and to endow it with a tangible result.

The Tevijja-Vacchagotta Stata (MN 70) is forthright in offering stages as rewards. It proclaims, "For a disciple who has faith in the Teacher's instruction and lives in unison with it, monks, one of two fruits is to be expected: profound knowledge here and now, or, if there is any basis (for rebirth remaining), the state of no-return" (MN I 481).<sup>97</sup> The second option can only be attested by the Buddha or another of like capacities, who can see the arising of beings as they transmigrate from life to life. When it comes to faith and practice, this categorisation ensures that there are no losers.

Finally, in the Dakkhinavibhanga Sutta (MN 142), the four stages are used explicitly to grade the reward concomitant upon the giving of gifts. The higher the stage of the recipient, the greater his status and the greater the reward for the donor.

5.4 Attempts to relate the Four Stages HCH to other aspects of the Teaching

5.4.1 The Four Stages HCH in relationship to other sequences of development

Several examples of this from the SN and the AN have been presented in §2.3. Although the two abhidhammic sutas of the DN, 33 and 34 may be said to be doing this, their organisation seems more random than structured. The same applies to the list of the monks attainments and practices in the Ānāpānasati Sutta (MN 118), quoted below, as the exposition in the sutta has little relationship to this list. The difference between the MN, whose examples I will quote below, and the AN and SN, whose examples were given in §2.3, is that the MN is trying to collate as many as the elements of the Teaching as possible.

<sup>95</sup> See Manné, 1990 : 2.1.ii.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>96</sup> upapatti "rebirths". the translater is capturing the play on words in the Pali, <sup>97</sup> 'Qui s'excuse s'accuse.' Obviously this utterance has been made in defense against just such an accusation.

<sup>98</sup> Tr. Horner, MLS II 138.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>99</sup> Tr. Horner, MLS II 156. Saddhassa bhikkhave sävakassa satthu säsane pariyogäya vattato dvinnam phalänam añiataram phalam päikankham: ditthe wa dhamme añiä, sati vä upädisese anägämitä ti. Note the term anägämin is used here.

Jov Manné

91/6

vii

while the SN and AN are imposing the Four Stages as a system of measurement upon other HCHs, or sequences of development,

An attempt to locate the four stages in a larger developmental schema is described in the Akańkheyya Sutta (MN 6). Its stages are touchingly expressed in the form of a sequence of aspirations a monk may have. These are:

- "May I be agreeable to co-practitioners and pleasant to them, esteemed and respected" (sabrahmacārinam piyo c'assam manāpo garu bhāvanīyo cāti. MN I 33).
- "May I be one who receives the requisites of robes, almsfood, lodgings, and medicines for the sick" (*lāthi assam civara-pindapāta-senāsana-gilāna-paccaya-bhesajja-parikkhārānan* ti).<sup>100</sup>
- "May the services of those from whom I enjoy (the above) be of great merit, of great advantage".<sup>101</sup>
- iv 'May the benefit be great for those of my kith and kin who are spirits who have passed on, and who are aware of it with peaceful minds" (ye me hätisälohitä petä kälakatä pasannacittä anussaranti texan tam mahapphalam assa mahänisansan-i)
- v "May I be one who overcomes aversion and liking, and may aversion not overcome me, may I fare along constantly conquering any aversion that has arisen" (aratiratisaho assam na ca mam arati sahayya, uppannam aratim abhibhuyya whareyyan-a)<sup>10</sup>
- vi "May I be one who overcomes fear and dread, and may fear and dread not overcome me, may I fare along constantly conquering any fear and dread that has arisen" (*bhayabheravasaho assam*)

na ca mam bhayabheravam saheyya, uppannam bhayabheravam abhibhuyya abhibhuyya vihareyyan-ti).<sup>103</sup>

- "May I be one who at will, without trouble, and without difficulty is possessed of the four *jhānas*, which are dependent on the clearest state of consciousness and which are the abodes of happiness in this very life-time! (*cattannam jhānānam ābhicetasikānam diţhadahammasukhavihārānam nikāmalābhī assam akicchalābhī akastalābhī iti*).
- viii "Those incorporeal deliverances which are calmed, transcending forms, may I fare along having realised them while in the body" (ye te santā vimokhā atikkamma rūpe āruppā te kāyena phassinā viharreyyan-fi).<sup>104</sup>
- ix As sotāpanna description, Version A (1).
- x As sakadāgāmī description, Version A (2).
- xi As opapātika description, Version A (3).
- xii As SPS-HCH, Stage III, iii (iddhis). 105
- xiii As SPS-HCH, Stage III, iv (clair-audience).
- xiv As SPS-HCH, Stage III, v (understanding the heart and mind of others).
- xv As SPS-HCH, Stage III, vi (knowing the details of one's former lives).
- xvi As SPS-HCH, Stage III, vii (dhamma-cakkhu).
- xvii As the formula for the fourth stage, §2.1, Version A, 4.

In the Atthakanāgara Sutta (MN 52) there is an attempt to relate Stages 3 and 4 to the *jhānas*, the *brahmavihāras* and three of the four

103 Tr. ibid.

104 Tr. ibid.

105 See Manné (forthcoming b) §3, 6.

<sup>100</sup> Tr. Horner, MLS I 41.

<sup>101</sup> Tr. ibid.

<sup>102</sup> Tr. Horner, MLS I 42.

äyatanas (äkäsänañcäyatana, väñäänañcäyatana, äkäñcaññäyatana).<sup>106</sup> A refrain occurs at each stage in the sequence: "Firm in this he attains the destruction of the intoxicants. If he does not attain the destruction of the intoxicants then by this attachment to *dhamma*, by this delight in *dhamma*, by the destruction of the five fetters binding to this lower (shore), he is of spontaneous uprising, one who attains nibbāra there, not liable to return from that world.<sup>110</sup> The refrain suggests that this attainment could happen at any time the */hānas*, the *brahmavihāras* and the three *äyatanas* were being experienced. This close relationship between the attainment of the 3rd and the 4th stage occurs frequently in MN (52, 64, 70, 73), SN and AN.

In the Anāpānasati Sutta (MN 118) there is a list of attainments of the Order of monks which starts with the Four Stages as its first members, and then continues with various practices:

- i Arahat
- ii opapātika
- iii sakadāgāmin
- iv sotāpanna
- v "the four applications of mindfulness" (cattaro satipatthana)
- vi "the four right concentrations of mind" (cattāro sammāppadhānā)
- vii "the four bases of psychic power" (cattaro iddhipada)
- viii "the five controlling faculties" (pañca indriyāni)

#### · Case Histories from the Pāli Canon II

ix "the five powers" (pañca balāni)

x "the seven links in awakening" (satta bojjhangānı)

xi "the Noble Eightfold Path" (ariya atthangika magga)

xii "friendliness" (metta)

92/6

\*

- xiii "compassion" (karunā)
- xiv "sympathetic joy" (mudita)
- xv "equanimity" (upekhā)
- xvi "on the unpleasant" (asubha)
- xvii "perception of impermanence" (aniccasaññā)
- xviii "mindfulness on in-breathing and out-breathing" (*ānāpānasati*).<sup>108</sup>

This list does not make a lot of sense as an ordered sequence of development. It is followed in the sutta by a detailed exposition of the practice of "mindfulness on in-breathing and out-breathing" (*dindphasati*, no. xviii. slove), which, we are informed, is the practice that causes the complete development of the four applications of mindfulness (no. v. above), which practice, in its turn, causes the complete development of the seven links in awakening (no. x. above). No link between these practices and the Four Stages HCH is offered. Although this process of this development forms an interesting HCH in itself, there is no link between its features and the sequence of practices listed in the earlier part of the sutta. The Four Stages HCH is here" attached to an already existing list.

# 5.4.2 The Four Stages HCH in relationship to technical aspects of the Teaching

While DN and MN do not concern themselves with this, SN and AN show a large concern for the technical aspects of the Teaching.

106

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>106</sup> Cf. the MahāMālunkya Sutta (MN 64) which links Stages 3 an 4 with the *jhānas* as above and with two of the *āyatanas*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>107</sup> Tr. Homer, MLS II 15. Homer translates the term äsava by "canker". It have replaced this with the term "intoxicant" for the sake of consistency within this article, Sci tathe duio äsavian khayam päpunäit tent eva dhammarägena täya dhammanandyä pañcannam orambhägiyäham samyvajaniam parikkhayä opapäitko hoti tathaparinibbäyi anàvatithämmo tasmal loka MI 1350.

<sup>108</sup> This list is exhaustively studied in Gethin, 1992.

#### Joy Manné

93/6

1

They introduce features that are not found in the other Nikäyas, they add further conditions for the attainment of certain of the stages and propose methods for the attainments of others. Examples occur in Section 3.

# 5.5 The abuse of the Four Stages HCH

There are no examples of this in DN, but MN has several. With reference to the ulterance cited above, "the Tanàgata does not have the purpose of defnatding people nor the purposes of cajoling people not the purpose of gains, honour, fame and material advantages, nor the thought: 'Let people know me thus' when he explains the uprisings in which are disciples who have deceased and passed away, saying: "Such a one has uprisen in one, such a one has uprisen in another," one must be forgiven for saying again what was first said in a footnote (see §5.3), "Qui s'excuse s'accuse!" There are examples in MN, SN and AN which show how this system was abused in just the way denied above.

The use of the Four Stages HCH to provide measurable attainments seems honest enough, as does their capacity to confer status. The use of Stages 3 and 4 as rewards, as in the *Tenija-Vacchagotta Suna* (MN 70, see §5.3), comes close to manipulation. Certain suttas show an inventive abuse of this system of attribution and classification of attainments.

The attribution of the attainment of the stage opapditika in the Dhānnribhaga Sutta (MN 140) is the Buddha's way of getting out of a fix. The monk Pukkusäi has received lengthy instruction from the Buddha without guessing the identity of his teacher until the end of the discourse. Pukkusäi apologises for this transgression and asks for ordination, and the Buddha sends him away to fulfil the requirements with regard to bowl and robe. Unfortunately, while trying to obtain these, Pukkusaii is killed by a cow. The monks who report this to the Buddha, refer to Pukkusaii in their report as "(that) young man of family who the Lord (just) instructed by means of a brief instruction," and ask to be informed of his future condition of rebirth. Obviously in this situation the Buddha has to say something. Pukkusati's earnest commitment to the Teaching, which forms the substance of this suta, requires some recognition. Nevertheless, the Buddha's words in the situation seem to indicate that he finds the question tiresome. He prefaces his response with this description of Pakkusati, "Pakkusati, the young man of family, was wise; he entered the path of application to the *dhamma*," and goes on to confert the state of *overabila* uponh him (MN III 247).

The situation is resolved the same way upon the death of the brahman Brahmäyu, who was of immense importance and very highly venerated, and who became a lay disciple (2*drahmäyu Sular*, MN 91). The texts use the same formulaic expression in both cases (MN II 146 = MN III 247). This stage is regularly conferred on sick bhikkhus who die after hearing a discourse from the Buddha. It is the only stage that is conferred after the death of the disciple (e.g. MN 91, 140; SN V 346 Dhigavu). The practice of conferring the stage of *anägamin* on an ailing bhikkhu who has died after hearing a discourse from the Buddha and his Teaching.

People's fears were played upon in order to entice them to convert. Followers are especially encouraged to convert their friends and family, to ground them in the *Credo* (SN V 264f), especially in order that they escape from the fear of all evil destinies (rebirths) and states of  $\mathbf{w}$ punishments.

There are rewards in terms of the Four Stages HCH simply for being a lay disciple. Dhammadinna, a lay disciple, tells the Buddha that he does not have time to learn the Buddha's discourses, because he lives a householder's life, has a family, and indulges in luxuries like perfumes and money, and asks the Buddha for a different way. The Buddha recommends that he train himself as in the Beliefs and Practices formula. Dhammadinna claims that he already fulfils these conditions. The Buddha acknowledges him, "It is an advantage for you, Dhammadinna, it is a

94/6

benefit for you, Dhammadinna, that the *sotāpattiphala* has been explained.<sup>w109</sup> (SN V 407f)

The most flagment example of the abuse of this system is at the same time not without its charm. This is the occasion where the Buddha proclaims that the monk, Sarakāni, who had died, had become a sotāpanna (SN V 375ff). This proclamation is seriously questioned and challenged by the other monks. "A strange thing indeed! A wonder indeed! Nowadays anyone may become a stream-winner. Sarakāni failed in the training and took to drink!" they say, evidently disgusted (SN V 375). The Buddha replies, "How could a lay disciple who had for a long time taken refuge in the Buddha, the Dharmma and the Sangha go to hell?" The reason the Buddha takes up this position becomes clear in the following suta (SN V 378): it is because the Teaching is correctly taught by a Sammāsambuddha that it always leads to results, just as well-sown seeds always grow into plants. Obviously in the case of failure, the credibility of the Buddha is usestion and must be defined.

A further amusing example shows that the stages were treated as a means of promotion or demotion, in terms of the prestige which the acknowledged attainment of a stage automatically conferred. The disreputable bhikkhu Kokälika taunts the Brahmā Tudu who has come from his brāhma world out of compassion to pay him a visit, "Didn't the Buddha call you a Non-Returner? And now you have come back here! Look how far you have gone wrong!" (Nanu Num ânco Bhagavată anāgāmī byākato / atha \*kitācarahi idhāgato //passa yāvañca te idam aparadihan-ti. SN 1149 = AN V 171).<sup>10</sup>

- <sup>109</sup> I am grateful to Professor Dr. Oskar v. Hinüber for help with this translation.
  - 110 The more usual form is carasi.

# 5.6 Purportedly Actual Case Histories

There are none of these in the DN. The case histories of Pakkusati (MN 140) and Brahmäyu (MN 91) are purportedly real, that is, a named individual is attributed with making the transition from one stage to another. This type of case history must, however, be regarded as most doubtful. The circumstances which surround them show that this is simply a device to inspire conviction in the efficacity of the Buddha's Teaching.

Case Histories from the Pali Canon II

There are purportedly actual case histories in the SN. One of these is that of the disciple Dighavu, who is ailing. This case history contains three steps: two comprise the Buddha's directions regarding his practice; the third comprises his attainment after death. In step one, the Buddha advises Dighavu to train himself in such a way as to become a sotāpanna, as in the Beliefs and Practices formula (SN V 344ff). Dighavu claims that he already has this attainment. In step two, the Buddha advises him to, "to dwell contemplating impermanence in all the activities, conscious of III in impermanence, conscious of there being no self in what is Ill, conscious of abandoning, of dispassion, of cessation" (sabbasankhāresu aniccānupassī viharāhi // anicce dukkhasaññī dukkhe anattasaññī pahānasaññī virāgasaññī nirodhasaññī £. SN V 345).111 Dighavu claims that he already possesses this attainment. At this point Dighavu admits that he is worried about the state his father will fall into \* should he die. This shows some remaining attachment on his part. His father promptly reassures him, and directs him to follow the Buddha's teaching. Dighāvu dies shortly after this.112 In step three, Dighāvu, posthumously, attains the stage of anagamin: when the Buddha is

<sup>111</sup> Tr. Woodward, KS V 400.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>112</sup> For contemporary cases of death after parental permission see Levine, 1986; Siegel, 1986.

Jov Manné

informed of his demise, and asked about his destiny, he confers it upon him.

A further purportedly real case history in the SN concerns the ailing monk Khemaka, whose self-diagnosis with regard to his own stage of development is that, "I do not say 'I am' with regard to body, or feeling, or perception, or sankhāra, or consciousness, nor in relationship to what is different from any of these. But, friends, I still possess the 'I am' with regard to the five grasping groups" (na rūpam asmīti vadāmi na pi aññatra rupă asmiti vadāmi// Na vedanam/ Na saññam/ Na sankhāre/ Na viññānam asmīti vadāmi na pi aññatra rūpā asmīti vadāmi// Api ca me āvuse pañcasu upādānakkhandhesu asmīti adhigatam avam aham asmīti ca na samanupassāmi, SN III 130). Upon teaching how the last subtle remnant of the "I am" conceit can be got rid of, Khemaka gets rid of it himself, and, together with 60 of his audience. attains freedom from the asavas (SN III 126-132). This teaching shows how to make the transition from anagamin, expressed in the text as "pancorambhāgivāni saññojanāni pahināni", to Arahat (SN III 130). The method prescribed is to "contemplate the rise and fall of the five grasping groups thus: this is body, this is the arising of body, this is the cessation of body; (and so forth for all the others)." This case history has unusual, non-stereotypical details.

The AN authenticates the Four Stages HCH by attributing the Buddha with the fruits of each of these stages, thus making them a part of his personal case history (AN I 23). 5.7 The differences between the Nikāyas in their treatment of the Four Stages HCH

These differences can be summarised if we take the original headings for this chapter and mark which categories are common to all of these Nikāyas. This is done in Table I below. This schema gives an indication of the differences of interest between the DN, MN, SN and AN. There are certain evident differences between the Nikāyas which have been referred to above, and which therefore only need mentioning here. This HCH appears in the DN mainly in lists or in debate suttas. Because there is nothing original in the DN's usage of this HCH, I conclude that the DN incorporated it because it existed in the material of the reciters of the other Nikāyas (or their early versions). With regard to the MN, however, I think the case is quite different: there is evidence of original usage of this HCH. I argued that the MN "was the collection which arose to serve the need to introduce new converts to the character of the Leader, the Buddha, and the important disciples, to integrate new converts into their values and their way of life, and to provide them with the fundamentals of the Teaching and the Practise."113

One important requirement of a text with this purpose would be to encourage the converts by providing them with attainable goals. MN does indeed encourage disciples in many ways that they can and will attain the stages during their lifetimes or in the worst of circumstances,  $\mathbf{w}$ after their deaths.

113 Manné, 1990 : 4.3.

The following states and

112

1.41

114

#### Joy Manné

# TABLE I. Categories common to these Nikäyas.

1. The Use of the Four Stages HCH in Debates: the Four Stages HCH as the main reason for following the Buddha's Teaching.				
DN	MN		AN	
2. The Four Stages HCH and the promotion of the efficacity of the Buddha's Teaching: the need for measurable and definable attainments.				
DN	MN	SN	AN	
3. The Four Stages HCH as conferring status and rewards.				
DN	MN	SN	AN	
4. Attempts to relate the Four Stages HCH to other aspects of the Teaching:				
i. Its relationship to	i. Its relationship to other sequences of development.			
DN	MN			
ii. Its relationship to technical aspects of the Teaching.				
		SN	AN	
5. The abuse of the Four Stages HCH.				
	MN	SN	AN	
6. Purportedly actual CH's.				
	MN	SN	AN	

# Case Histories from the Pāli Canon II

What we notice in MN is best shown in a table and appears as Table II. The stage number appears at the top of the table and corresponds to the stage as in the standard versions. The number in each column is the number of the sutta in which the stage appears. The table shows the preponderant concern for the final stages, stage 3 and stage 4. Stages 1 and 2 are named in only four suttas, MN 6, 68, 118, and 142.

TABLE II.

96/6

Stage	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	6	6	6	6
•			52	52
			64	64
	68	68		
			73	73
			91	
	118	118	118	118
			140	
	142	142	142	142

They are never referred to on their own, but only occur in the context of the Four Stages HCH. Stage 3, besides occurring in the four above, occurs in three other suttas, MN 52, 64 and 73, where it is named with stage 4, and two suttas, MN 91 and 140, on its own. There is no great

#### Jov Manné

9716

regularity in the naming of the final of the four stages which occurs in the four first named suttas with all of the other stages, and in four other suttas, MN 52, 64, 73 and 68, where it occurs with stage 3. Usually when all four of the stages are named the last stage is expressed as in (4) of Version A, but once, under these conditions, stage 4 is named *añita* (MN 68). This prooccupation with stages 3 and 4 shows MN's concern to guarantee results with regard to the purpose of the Teaching: the end of rebirth.

Insofar as the SN and the AN are concerned, the large proportion of quotations from these texts in §§2 and 3 attest their attempts to understand and to make sense of the Teaching of the Four Stages HCH. These texts take an "academic" approach to the Four Stages HCH. Probably only intended for monks with a certain commitment and experience they do not need to make compromises. They study the system and the technical details. In adding further conditions they attempt to add detail and clarity. Although it contains its share of suttas abusing this system, the SN's inclusion of the case of Sarakāni shows a critical attitude not found on this theme in the other Nikāyas.

I have discussed the individual character of the DN and the MN (Manné, 1990) and none of the information here points to a need to change my position. This chapter does, however, permit us to modify the current view on the SN and the AN, especially with regard to their purpose, and the nature of their composers and reciters. Bronkhorst has said that these Nikäyas attained their peculiar shapes at "a time when efforts were made to distil from the tradition lists of items that could be considered to embody the essence of the teaching of the Buddha, being rearrangements of traditional utterances."<sup>114</sup> What kind of people were attempting to "distil from the tradition"? Who were these "distillers" and were they really only "distilling"? We can infer from this study that the "distillers" were not ordinary practitioners, ordinary monks or followers,

114 Bronkhorst, 1985 : 316.

but a highly specialised kind of practitioner: scholar-practitioners or practitioner-researchers: monks dedicated both to their practice and to preserving as accurately as possible the method and technique of their practice and the knowledge surrounding it. The questions other address are: "What is it?" "How is it done?" "How does if it together?" These are questions worthy of any scholar. The SN and the AN show a much smaller interest in telling stories than do the DN and the MN. The interest of their compilers was in finding out as comprehensively as possible on a macine] level what exactly the Buddha tauent and how it worked.

#### 6 HOW THE FOUR STAGES HCH CAME ABOUT

I have argued (§4.3) that the stages *sot&panna* and arahat can be regarded as pertaining to early Buddhism. In the beginning, therefore, there were just two stages: that of convert and that of (kill) attainer. How then did the other two stages and the Four Stages HCH come about? As the Four Stages HCH cannot be taken to form part of Original Buddhism it must be either an entirely new and independent invention or an organising structure for some original elements. The first possibility can be rejected. As Bronkhorst has said, religious traditions tend to be conservative and do not as a rule invent complete novelties.<sup>113</sup> This leaves us to explore the notion that the Four Stages HCH is an organising structure for original elements.

It is certainly an organising structure.

115 Bronkhorst 1986 : xii.

6.1 The Four Stages HCH as a systematising and organising structure

The Four Stages HCH is first of all an organising structure for the number of rebirths awaiting the practitioner. The terminology indicates beyond any doubt that the terms *sakadāgāmin* and *anāgāmin* were created to express the attainment of having to suffer only one more, or no more rebirths in human form. The original, elemental version of the Four Stages HCH must have started as a way of defining societiogical attainment in terms of number of rebirths. See Table III.

TABLE III. Freedom from rebirth in terms of a diminishing number of rebirths.

sotāpanna	(7 rebirths)
sakadāgāmin	1 rebirth
anāgāmin/ opapātika	he is characterised by non-returning to this world
Arahat	no further rebirths.

Linked to the idea of escaping from rebirth in the Buddha's Teaching is the idea of the escape from suffering: The Buddha taught that his method led to the end of suffering. The standard version of this case history includes phrases that express this. See Table IV. Case Histories from the Päli Canon II

sotāpaņņa	freedom from hell or from punishment	
sakadāgāmin	after only one more rebirth he makes an end of suffering	
anāgāmin/ opapātika	no rebirths in human form	
Arahat	(end of suffering).	

TABLE IV. Freedom from suffering.

Once this terminology existed, however, its implications would have to be explored, in particular its relationship to other aspects of the Teaching. Certain attainments had already been defined, perhaps even by the Buddha himself. The compilers of the texts were faced with the problem of how these related to the newly existing Four Stages HCH. Very many different elements of the Teaching become united through being attributed to one of the stages (§2.3). The standard version includes also freedom from cer tain mental and emotional problems. See Table V.

Joy Manné

TABLE V. Freedom from certain mental and emotional problems.

59/6

sotāpanna	the disappearance of 3 fetters tinnam sanyojanānām parikkhayā
sakadāgāmin	the diminution of passion, hatred and delusion rāga-dosa-mohānam tanuttā
anāgāmin/ opapātika	the disappearance of the five fetters which belong to the lower world paficannam orambhāgiyānām sampojānam parikkhayā
Arahat .	having seen for himself in this very lifetime, through his own higher knowledge, the release of heart and mind that is free from äsaväs anäsavan cetovimatim paähävimattim ditthe va dhamme sayam abhinnä sacchikatvä

Evidently the tradition had handed down an attainment, or a sequence of attainments related to being free of certain fetters or bonds. There are several problems here for the contemporary researcher. One is that the original researchers, or distillers, did not specify consistently what the relationship was between these bonds and this case history: the bonds also appear independently in the texts or linked to other hypothetical case histories (e.g. that of the *dhammacakkhu* at AN I 242). There is the further problem that the concept of fetters (*sampojana*) is in

itself an organising or systematising concept, linking various ideas. The AN, for example, defines 10 of these: the five fetters which bind to the lower states (orambhāgiya)116 and five which bind to the higher states (uddhambhāgiva): craving for fine-material existence (uparaga.) craving for immaterial existence (arūparāga), conceit (māna,) restlessness (uddhacca), and ignorance (aviiia, AN V 17; SN V 61f).117 The AN also has the three substantially different categories of fetters: those binding to the lower states, those binding to the taking up of rebirth (uppattipatilābhika) and those binding to the taking up of existence (hhavanatilähhika) which it relates respectively to the stages sakadāgāmin, uddhamsota akinitthagāmin, antarāparinibbāvin and Arahat (AN II 134: IV 13f, 145f). Besides this attainment in terms of fetters, as Table V shows, the tradition inherited the notion that soteriological evolution included the diminution of certain emotions: those of passion and hatred (raga-dosa), and also diminution of the state of delusionment (moha). The evidence that the tradition found these aspects important and relevant is that it included them within the Four Stages HCH organising structure.

There were also other attainments, which seem to have been floating around, so to speak, and these were brought into this structure rather less coherently than the above examples by having it imposed upon them. Among these, particularly with regard to the soldpanna, is morality in terms of training in the silas, familiarity with important aspects of the Teaching such as the Causal Law (particeasamuppäda); the Eightfold Path (atthangika magge); freedom from a number of wrong views; a vision of impermanence (anieca), suffering (duktha) and liability to change (aviparite and the moral the possession of qualities (martypar) of various sorts: and with onticular recard to the and cardin clarity about

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>116</sup> See §2.1. The first five fetters may also be called *nivarana*, (AN III 63) or *upakkilesa* (AN III 16).

<sup>117</sup> Tr. Nyāņatiloka, 1980.

100/6

Joy Manné the Teaching "If it were not, it would not be mine; it shall not become, for me it shall not become" (no cassa no ca me siyā na bhavissāmi na me bhavissati).

In summary, then, the Four Stages HCH probably came about as follows. Two categories of practitioner existed from the time of the Buddha. These were the converts, and those who had attained the goal. During the development of Buddhism the issue of rebirth came to be increasingly important, and with it, probably developing in parallel, the issue of whether Liberation was attained during this lifetime or after death. As it was part of the advantages of having attained the goal that the monk would be free from suffering and rebirth, questions began to be asked about progress towards that goal. Two further stages were defined in terms of the number of rebirths to be expected before the final liberation, and their location. The interest in the stages grew. They were further subdivided. The four stages became attributed with desirable developmental attainments which had already been defined in other contexts where the Buddhists were also faced with a need to qualify and to quantify stages of development. Eventually they reached the stage of definition in which we find them today. The Four Stages HCH offers a very tidy structure to the doctrine of Liberation in terms of sequence and progress.

#### AND TODAY?

I started off with Sharf's article and I will end with it.

Sharf refers to the four levels of Enlightenment twice in his article (section V) - "the fourth and final stage of sainthood (arahat)" and "sotāpanna - the first of four levels of enlightenment" - without comment, thus it may be that he takes the existence of these stages for granted. Sharf shows us in §VI that the use - and abuse - of at least one element of this case history continues today. Contemporary Buddhist

teachers of meditation are debating among each other - among other things - what sotapanna is. They are debating indirectly, rather than publicly, each implying rather than saving too obviously, that the version of this state arrived at after practising their particular variation of Buddhist meditation is better than that of their rivals and leads to faster results. The impression conveyed is of people chasing after altered states of consciousness, as if they were material goods in the Harrods Xmas sale, each trying to get hold of the best one!

In a religion that preaches detachment, the spiritual materialism demonstrated by excessive attachment to measurable, qualifiable attainments is inappropriate. That is perhaps why muddle exists about all of the proposed stages both in the early texts and at the present time.

La Conversion

Joy Manné

123

#### ABBREVIATIONS

Texts as in Bechert, 1988. DB = Dialogues of the Buddha (Rhys Davids, 1899) GS = Gradual Sayings (Woodward & Hare, 1932-36). KS = Kindred Savings (C.A.F. Rhys Davids & Woodward). MLS = Middle Length Sayings (Horner, 1954). BHSD = Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit Dictionary. CPD = Critical Pāli Dictionary. PTSD = Pāli English Dictionary. tr. = translation

101/6

TRANSLATIONS

124

- Horner, I.B. (1954-1959), Middle Length Sayings, tr. of Majjhima Nikāya. 3 Vols. London : Pali Text Society.
- Rhys Davids, C.A.F. (1900, 1922), A Buddhist manual of psychological ethics, tr. of Dhammasangani. London ; Pali Text Society.
- Rhys Davids, C.A.F. and F.L. Woodward (1917 1930), Kindred Sayings, tr. of Samyutta Nikāya, London : Pali Text Society.
- T. W. and C.A.F. Rhys Davids (1899 1921), *Dialogues of the Buddha*, tr. of the *Digha Nikāya*. London : Pali Text Society.
- Woodward, F.L. and E.M. Hare (1932 1936), Gradual Sayings, tr. of the Angustara Nikāya. London : Pali Text Society.
- Woodward, F.L. (1922 1930), Kindred Sayings, tr. of the Sarinyutta Nikāya. Vols. III - V. London : Pali Text Society.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Barcau, A. (1955), Les Sectes bouddhiques du Petit Vehicule, Paris : École française d'Extrème-Orient,
- Barcau, A. (1970, 1971), Recherches sur la biographie du Buddha dans les Sütrapitaka et les Vinayapitaka anciens. Vols. I and II. Paris : École Francaise d'Extrême-Orient.
- Bechert, H. and R. Gombrich (1984), The World of Buddhism. London : Thames & Hudson
- Bechert, H. (1988), Abkürzungsverzeichnis zur buddhistischen Literatur in Indien und Südotassien insbesondere zu den Veröffentlichungen der Kommission für Buddhistische Studien der Akademie der Wissenschaften in Göttingen. Göttingen,
- Bronkhorst, J. (1985), 'Dharma and Abhidharma.' Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies, University of London. Vol XLVIII, Part 2, pp.305-320.
- Bronkhorst, J. (1986), The Two Traditions of Meditation in Ancient India. Stuttgart : Franz Steiner Verlag Wiesbaden GmbH. Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit Dictionary. ed. Edgerton (1953). New Haven.

Chaplin, J. P. (1975), Dictionary of Psychology. New York : Dell Publishing Co. Ltd. Critical Pali Dictionary, ed. V. Trenckner, et al. Copenhagen. 1924 -Dictionary of Päli Proper Names by G. P. Malalasekara. London : Pali Text Society, 1974. Drever, J. (1952), The Penguin Dictionary of Psychology. Penguin Books, [1982 edition] Ergardt, J.T. (1977), Faith and Knowledge in Early Buddhism. Leiden : F I Brill Erikson, E.H. (1965), Childhood and Society. Penguin Books. [revised edition, 1975 reprint.] Eysinck, H.J., W.J. Arnold & R. Meili (eds.) (1972), Encyclopaedia of Psychology. Collins/Fontana. [1975 Fontana edition] Frauwallner, E. (1953), Geschichte der indischen Philosophie. 1. Band. Salzburg : Otto Müller Verlag. Gethin, R.M.L (1992), The Buddhist Path to Awakening : a study of the Bodhi-Pakkhivā Dhammā, Leiden : E.J. Brill.

Gombrich, R. (1984), 'The evolution of the Sangha', in Bechert, H. and R. Gombrich, 1984, pp.77-89.

- Gombrich, R. (1990), 'Recovering the Buddha's message,' in Ruegg and Schmithausen, (1990), pp. 5-23.
- Griffiths, P.J. (1983), Indian Buddhist Meditation-Theory : history, development and systematization. PhD dissertation, University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- Grof, S. (1975), Realms of the Human Unconscious : observations from LSD research. London : Souvenir Press (E & A) Ltd. [First British edition, 1979]
- Grof, S. (1985), Beyond the Brain : birth, death and transcendence in psychotherapy. University of New York Press.
- Hare, E.M. (1934, 1935), Gradual Sayings. Vols. III and IV. [Reprinted 1973, 1978.]

102/6

Joy Manné

- Harrison, P. (1987), 'BUDDHISM: A Religion of Revelation After All?' (review article). Numen, Vol XXXIV, Fasc. 2. [Review of Masefield, 1986.]
- Harvey, P. (1990), An Introduction to Buddhism: teachings, history and practices. Cambridge : Cambridge University Press.
- Hinüber, O. v. (1991), 'Linguistic considerations on the date of the Buddha,' in *The Dating of the historical Buddha*. Part I (Symposien zur Buddhismusforschung, IV,1). Göttingen : Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht. AAWG.
- Horner, I.B. (1936), The Early Buddhist theory of man perfected : a study of the archan concept and of the implications of the aim to perfection in religious life. London: Williams and Norgate Ltd. [Oriental Books Reprint.]
- Johansson, R. (1969), *The Psychology of Nirvana*. London : George Allen and Unwin Ltd.
- Johansson, R. (1979), The Dynamic Pschology of Early Buddhism. Scandinavian Institute of Asian Studies monograph Series No. 37. Oxford: Curzon Press.
- Katz, N. (1982), Buddhist Images of Human Perfection: The Arahant of the Sutta Pitaka compared with the Bodhisattva and the Mahāsiddha. Delhi : Motilal Banarsidass.
- Lamotte, E. (1958), *Histoire du Bouddhisme Indien*. Louvain : Institut Orientaliste. [1967 ed.]
- Lamotte, E. (1984), 'The Buddha, His Teaching and His Sangha,' in Bechert and Gombrich, (1984).
- Levine, S. (1986), Who dies : an investigation of conscious living and conscious dying. Bath : Gateway Books.
- Macqueen, G. (1988), A Study of the Śrāmaŋyaphala-Sūtra. Wiesbaden : Otto Harrassowitz.
- Manné, Joy (1990), 'Categories of Sutta in the Päli Nikāyas and their implications for our appreciation of the Buddhist Teaching and Literature.' Journal of the Pali Text Society, XV, 29-87.

(1992), 'The Digha Nikāya Debates : Debating Practices at the time of the Buddha'. Buddhist Studies Review, Vol 9, 2, pp.117-136.

(forthcoming) 'Sihanāda - the lion's roar or what the Buddha was supposed to be willing to defend in debates.' Buddhist Studies Review.

- Manné-Lewis, Joy (1986), 'Buddhist Psychology: A Paradigm for the Psychology of Enlightenment,' in Guy Claston, (ed). Beyond Therapy: the Impact of Eastern Religions on Psychological Theory and Practice, London: Wisdom Publications.
- Masefield, P. (1986), *Divine Revelation in Pali Buddhism*. London : George Allen & Unwin.
- Meisig, K. (1987), Das Śrāmaņyaphala-Sūtra. Wiesbaden : Otto Harrassowitz.
- Moody, R.A. Jr. M.D. (1976), *Life after Life*. New York : Bantam Books.
- Netherton, M. and N. Shiffrin (1978), *Past Lives Therapy*. New York : Ace Books.
- Norman, K.R. (1983), *Pâli Literature*. A History of Indian Literature, Vol. VII, Fasc. 2. Wiesbaden : Otto Harrassowitz.
- Nyānatiloka (1980), Buddhist Dictionary : Manual of Buddhist terms and doctrines. Kandy : Buddhist Publication Society. Fourth Revised Edition, edited by Nyanaponika.
- Oberhammer, G. (1977), Strukturen Yogischer Meditation : Untersuchungen zur Spirituälitat des Yoga. Wien : Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaft.
- Pande, G.C. (1974), Studies in the Origins of Buddhism. Delhi : Motilal Banarsidass. [3rd ed. 1983]
- Pāli English Dictionary, ed. T.W. Rhys Davids & W. Stede. London : Pali Text Society. 1921-1925.
- Pāli Tipiţakam Concordance, ed. E. M. Hare and others. London : Pali Text Society, 1952-1984.

126

#### Jov Manné

103/6

- Ruegg, D.S. and L. Schmithausen (eds.) (1990), *Earliest Buddhism and Madhyamaka*. Panels of the VIIth World Sanskrit Conference. Vol.-ii. Leiden : Brill.
- Schmithausen, L. (1981), 'On some aspects of descriptions or theories of "liberating insight" and "enlightenment" in early Buddhism,' in Sudien zum Jainismus und Buddhismus : Gedenkschrift für Ludwig Alsdorf. Klaus Bruhn und Albrecht Wezler, (eds.), Wiesbaden : Franz Steiner Verlag GmbH. pp. 199-250.
- Schmithausen, L. (1990), 'Preface' to Part I: Earliest Buddhism, in Ruegg and Schmithausen (1990), pp. 1-3.
- Sharf, R.H. (forthcoming), 'Buddhist Modernism and the Rhetoric of Meditative Experience'. *Numen.*
- Siegel, B.S. (1986), Love, medicine and miracles : lessons learned about self-healing from a surgeon's experience with exceptional patients. New York : Harper & Row.
- Siegel, L. (1991), Net of Magic : wonders and deceptions in India. Chicago : University of Chicago Press.
- Takasaki, J. (1987), An Introduction to Buddhism. The Töhö Gakkai. Translated by Rolf W. Giebel.
- Tart, C.T. (ed.) (1969), Altered States of Consciousness. New York : Anchor Books.
- Tart, C.T. (1975), States of Conscious ness. New York : E. P. Dutton.
- Wellek, R. and A. Warren (1966), *Theory of Literature*. (3rd revised edition). London : Johathan Cape.
- Wilbur, K. (1977), The Spectrum of Consciousness. London : Theosophical Publishing House.
- Wilbur, K. (1980), The Atman Project : A transpersonal view of human development. London : Theosophical Publishing House.
- Witzel, M. (1987), 'The case of the shattered head'. Studien zur Indologie und Iranistik, Heft 13/14, pp. 363-415.
- Woolger, R.J. (1988), Other Lives, Other Selves. New York : Bantam Books.

# The Nigamanas of the Sumangalavilāsinī and the Kankhāvitaraņī

Päli texts usually end in a brief paragraph called *nigamana*, which corresponds in meaning and content to the *explicit* of mediaeval European manuscripts. In two instances these brief, though highly valuable, texts have been omitted from the respective PTS editions for reasons unknown. Consequently it seems useful, if not necessary, to provide the relevant paragraphs here on the basis of the Chatthasangāyana Edition (B<sup>3</sup>), because they contain information important for the composition or history of the respective texts.

#### I. Sumańgalavilāsinī (B\* 1968 III 250,1-251,6)

#### Nigamanakathā

#### ettāvatā ca

äyäeito Sumahgalaparivenaniväsinä thiragunena Dathänägasamghattherena theravamsanvayena | 1 | Dighägamavarassa dasbalagunaparidipanassa athakatham yam ärabhim Sumahgalaviläsinim näma nämena | 2 | sä hi mahätthakathäya säram ädäya nitthitä esä ekäsitipamänäya päliyä bhänavärehi | 3 | ekünasagthimatto Visuddhimaggo pi bhänavärehi atthappakäsanatthäya ägamänam kato yasmä | 4 | tasmä tena sahä 'yam atthakathä bhänaväragananäya suparimitaparicchinnam cattälisasatam hoti | 5 | sabbarn cattälisädhikasataparimänam bhänavärehi evam samayam pakäsayantim Mahävihäre niväsinam | 6 | miühakuthakathäsiram ädäya mayä imam karoontena yam mitäma unacitam tena hotu sabbo sukki | loko ti | 7 |

Journal of the Pali Text Society, Vol. XXI, 1995, pp.129-133

# 104/6

Joy Manné

- Ruegg, D.S. and L. Schmithausen (eds.) (1990), *Earliest Buddhism and Madhyamaka*. Panels of the VIIth World Sanskrit Conference. Vol.-ii. Leiden : Brill.
- Schmithausen, L. (1981), 'On some aspects of descriptions or theories of "liberating insight" and "enlighterment" in early Buddhism, in Sudien zum Jainismus und Buddhismus : Gedenkschrift für Ludwig Alsdorf. Klaus Bruhn und Albrecht Wezler, (eds.), Wissbaden : Franz Steiner Verlag GmbH. pp. 199-250.
- Schmithausen, L. (1990), 'Preface' to Part I: Earliest Buddhism, in Ruegg and Schmithausen (1990), pp. 1-3.
- Sharf, R.H. (forthcoming), 'Buddhist Modernism and the Rhetoric of Meditative Experience'. *Numen.*
- Siegel, B.S. (1986), Love, medicine and miracles : lessons learned about self-healing from a surgeon's experience with exceptional patients. New York : Harper & Row.
- Siegel, L. (1991), Net of Magic : wonders and deceptions in India. Chicago : University of Chicago Press.
- Takasaki, J. (1987), An Introduction to Buddhism. The Töhö Gakkai. Translated by Rolf W. Giebel.
- Tart, C.T. (ed.) (1969), Altered States of Consciousness. New York : Anchor Books.
- Tart, C.T. (1975), States of Conscious ness. New York : E. P. Dutton.
- Wellek, R. and A. Warren (1966), *Theory of Literature*. (3rd revised edition). London : Johathan Cape.
- Wilbur, K. (1977), The Spectrum of Consciousness. London : Theosophical Publishing House.
- Wilbur, K. (1980), The Atman Project : A transpersonal view of human development. London : Theosophical Publishing House.
- Witzel, M. (1987), 'The case of the shattered head'. Studien zur Indologie und Iranistik, Heft 13/14, pp. 363-415.
- Woolger, R.J. (1988), *Other Lives, Other Selves*. New York : Bantam Books.

# The Nigamanas of the Sumangalavilāsinī and the Kankhāvitaraņī

Pali texts usually end in a brief paragraph called *nigamana*, which corresponds in meaning and content to the *explicit* of mediaeval European manuscripts. In two instances these brief, though highly valuable, texts have been omitted from the respective PTS editions for reasons unknown. Consequently it seems useful, if not necessary, to provide the relevant paragraphs here on the basis of the Chatphasangāyana Edition (B\*), because they contain information important for the composition or history of the respective texts.

#### I. Sumangalavilāsinī (B° 1968 III 250,1-251,6)

#### Nigamanakathā

#### ettāvatā ca

äyäcito Sumangalaparivenaniväsinä thiragunena Dathänägasamghattherena hervanynsanvayena | 1 | Dighägamavarasa dasabalagunaparidipanassa atthakatham yam ärabhim Sumangalaviläsinim näma nämena | 2 | sä hi mahätthakathäya säram ädäya nitthitä esä ekäsittpamänäya apilyö bhänavärehi | 3 | ektinasatthimatto Visuddhimaggo pi bhänavärehi atthappakäsanatthäya ägamänam kato yasmä | 4 | tasmä tena sahä 'yam atthakathä bhänaväragananäya suparimitapariochinnam cattälisasatam hoti | 5 | sabbam cattälissädhikasataparimänam hoti | 5 | sabbam cattälissädhikastaparimänam bhänavärehi evam samayam pakäsayantim Mahävihäre niväsinam | 6 | mülakatthakthäsitam ädäya mayä imam karontena

Journal of the Pali Text Society, Vol. XXI, 1995, pp.129-133

#### Oskar von Hinüber

105/6

paramavisuddhasaddhäbuddhiviriyapatimanditena siläcärajjavamaddavädigunasamudayasamuditena sakasamayasamayantaragahanajhogähanasamatthena pafihäveyyattiyasamannägatena tipitakapatiyattippabhede sätthakathe satthusäsane appatihatañhänapabhävena mahäveyyäkaragena karapasampattijanitasu khav ningg atamadhurodära vacana lävann ayuttena yuttamutavädinä vädivarena mahäkavinä pabhinnapatisambhidäpariväre chalabhinänädipabhedagunpaptimanditena uttarimanus sadhamme suppatitthitabuddinam theravamsapadipänam theränam Mahävihäraväsinamay vamsälamkärabhütena vipulavisuddhabuddhinä Buddhaghoso ti garihit gahitanämadheyyena therena katä ayam Sumangalaviläsini näma Diphanikäyathakathä

> täva titthatu lokasmim lokanittharanesinam dassenti kulaputtänam nayam ditthivisuddhiyä | 8 | yäva Buddho ti nämam pi suddhacittassa tädino lokamhi lokajetthassa pavattati mahesino ti | 9 |

Sumangalavilāsinī nāma Dīghanikāyatthakathā nitthitā.

The *nigamana* is commented upon in the subcommentary: Sv-pt III 372,1-29.

The structure of the *nigamana* as a whole is common to all four Nikäya commentaries composed by or under the supervision of Buddhaghosa. Moreover some verses and the prose part of the *nigamana* are identical in these commentaries.

The length of the respective texts as here of Sv is given always in combination with the Visuddhimagga. This shows that each individual commentary forms a unit with Vism. The 81 bhānavāras of Sv are added to the 59 bhānavāras of Vism to give 140 bhānavāras altogether. The Nigamanas of the Sumangalaviläsini and Kankhävitarani 131

A bhāņavāra comprises 8000 syllables corresponding to 250 ganthas. Each gantha or gāthā contains 32 syllables, because it consists of 4 pādas of 8 syllables (akkhara) according to:

ettha ca bhāņavāro ti:

atthakkharo ekapadam ekagäthä catuppadam gäthä c'ekä mato gantho gantho ca bättimsakkharo | 1 | bättimsakkharagäthänam paññäsadvisatam pana bhänaväro mato eko sv-atthakkharasahassako | 2 |

evam atthakkharasahassaparimäno pätho vuccati. bhanitabbo väro yassä ti hi bhänaväro. ekena sajjhäyanamaggena kathetabbaväro ti attho, Sv-nt B° 196/ I 81,8-15 (on Sv-pt I 23, 19 on Sv 2,12), cf. Sadd 1131 (5.3.3.1).

> II. Kankhāvitāraņī (B\* 1968 356,6-357,14\*)

> > Nigamanakathä

#### ettāvatā ca

vaŋŋanam Pātimokkhassa Sonattherena yācito vinaye jātakahkhānam kahkhavitaraŋatthiko | 1 | ārabhim yam aham sabbam Sihalaṭthakathānayam Mahāvhāravāsinam yācanimagganissitam | 2 | nissāya sā ayam niṭtham katā ādāya sabbaso sabbam aṭthakathāšīram pāliyatthafi ca kevalam | 3 | na h'ettha tam padam atthi yam virujiþeyya pāliyā Mahāvhāravāsinam porāŋaṭthakathāhi vā | 3 | yasmā tasmā akatvāna ettha kahkham hitesinā sikkhitabbā va sakkacam Kahkhāvitaraŋī ayam | 4 | yathā ca niṭtham sampattā Kahkhāvitaraŋī ayam | 4 |

#### Oskar von Hinüber

106/6

evam anantaräyena nittham kalyänanissitä aciram sabbasattänam yantu sabbe manorathä ti |6|

paramavisuddhasaddhäbuddhiviriyappatimanditena ... Buddhaghoso ti garühi gahitanāmadheyyena therena katā ayam Kańkhāvitaraņī nāma Pātimokkhavaņņanā

> täva titthatu lokasmim lokanittharanesinam dassenti kulaputtänam nayam silavisuddhiyä | 7 | yäva Buddho ti nämam pi suddhacittassa tädino lokamhi lokajetthassa pavattati mahesino ti |8|

#### Kankhāvitaranīatthakathā nitthitā

The prose part of this *nigamana* is identical with the one used in all commentaries ascribed traditionally to Buddhaghosa.

This nigamana is not commented upon in the older anonymous Kankhävitaranipuräpatikä, but only in Vinayathamañjusä Kankhävitaraniabhinavatikä (B\* 1965 486,18-487,18). Quotations from the nigamana have been printed in italics, explained words in bold type:

#### Nigamanakathāvannanā

yam Pätimokkhassa vannanam ärabhin ti sambandho. Mahävihäraväsinan ti idam purimapacchimapadehi saddhim sambandhitabban, Mahävihäraväsinam Poränatthakathähi vä ti ca. päliyatthadi ca kevalan ti sakalam päliyatthati ca. ubhatovbhangañ că ti vuttam hoti, etthä ti etissam Kathkhävitaranjyam. *yasmā na hi atthi* ti sambandho. yan ti yam padam. Sinhalatthakathängyan ti Sihalamätikatthakathänyam, Atţhakathäsäran ti Sihalamätikatthakathäyam atthasäram, atha vä Vinayatthakathäsu atthasäram, ten' etam dasseti:

# The Nigamanas of the Sumangalaviläsini and Kankhävitarani 133

Sīhaļamātikatthakathāyam atthasāram ādāya imam Kankhāvitaraņim karonto Vinayatthakathāsu pi idha vinicchaye yogakkhemam atthasāram ādāy' eva akāsi.

idāni sadevakassa lokassa accantasukhādhigamāya attano puñňam pariņāmento *yathā ca niţtham sampattā* ti ādigāthādvayam āha. kalyāņanissitā ti kusalanisitā. sabbasattānan ti kāmāvacarādibhedānam sabbesam sattānam.

#### Nigamanakathāvaņņanā niţţhitā.

This explanation is followed by the *nigamana* to Kkh-t, which was composed by Buddhanāga, a pupil of the commentator and author Sāriputia under Parakkamabāhu I (1153-1186), during the late 12th century AD.

Freiburg i. Brsg.

O. v. Hinüber

10716

# THE MONK IN THE PĀLI VINAYA: PRIEST OR WEDDING GUEST?

I recently attended a meeting of a committee controlling a charity at which the treasurer was supposed to present a report. However, he did not turn up. He was attending his daughter's graduations ceremony. Inconvenient though his absence was, no one present thought it improper. Everyone recognised that parents are normally under an obligation to attend their children's graduations. On the other hand, no one thought that the proud father was officiating at the ceremony, or that it could not have been carried out without him. The event centred on a ritual, but the father's obligation to attend was a social, not a ritual obligation.

Not only in South Asia, but in many (or all?) traditional societies the world over, when a family solemnises an important event in the life of one or more of its members, everyone associated with that family is expected to attend. Legally, technically, a Hindu couple are maried if they have had the correct ritual performed by a qualified officiant. But they will feel bad about it unless their relatives, friends and acquaintances come to the festivities and accept food from them. Conversely, it is offensively rude not to attend a wedding feast to which one has been invited and to eat at least a token amount.

Since the guests are felt to be an essential component of such occasions, one might perhaps very loosely speak of them as part of the ritual, in so far as one can describe almost any set social occasion as a ritual. But every participant understands the difference in role performance between an officiant at such a ritual – a marriage, for instance – and a guest, and therefore understands the difference (in whatever language it may be expressed) between the ritual obligation and

Journal of the Pali Text Society, Vol. XXI, 1995, pp.193-197

Richard Gombrich

The Monk in the Pali Vinaya: Priest or Wedding Guest? 195

the social obligation to attend. To blur this distinction where it is relevant casts darkness where there was light.

In a recent number of this journal Gregory Schopen, who is recognised as a leading historian of early Buddhism, published an article entitled "The Ritual Obligations and Donor Roles of Monks in the Päli Vinaya". The donor roles are fine; but I dispute the ritual obligations. Moreover, I think maybe it falls to me to reply, because his article begins with the sentence: "More than once recently it has again been suggested that Buddhist monks had little or no role in life-cycle ceremonies in early India", and to this is keyed a footnote with two references to words published by me. Let me here reproduce those words.

> "Monks preserve Buddhism; but it is not their function to provide religious services to the laity. The life crises of Buddhists (birth, puberty, mariage) are mostly either treated as secular events or solemnized by specialists in the religious systems which co-exist locally with Buddhism. There are however quite a few exceptions to this general principle. The major one is death: Buddhist monks everywhere officiate at finerals."<sup>4</sup>

That passage attempts to generalise about Buddhism as a whole. The other one which Schopen cites has the same focus as his article.

"The Theraväda Buddhist monk hardly ever acts as what we would call a priest. He officiates at no life-cycle crisis rituals except funerals – and even then he can claim to be present as preacher and consoler, not as officiant. We do not know

<sup>2</sup> H. Bechert and R. Gombrich (edd.), *The World of Buddhism*, (London, 1984), p.14.

whether the monk assumed this funerary role for Buddhists in ancient India, but it is quite logical for him to do so."<sup>3</sup>

Schopen calls this the "received wisdom", and disagrees. His reasoning is as follows. The Päli *Vinayu* gives a long list of occasions on which a monk should interrupt his rains retreat. Many of them are invitations from lay supporters. In some cases the lay supporter wants to make a donation, e.g. of a building, to the Sangha. In other cases the layman merely wishes to celebrate the construction of a building or something similar for himself. In yet other cases, the invitation may be occasioned by illness, or by the wedding of one of the layman's children. The monk is supposed to accept these invitations.

Schopen argues that the invitations are "ritual obligations". Of the passage which includes wedding invitations, he says that it "presupposes something like a 'client reliationship' between monks and lay brothers", a relationship which entailed "a sense of obligation" (p.91). With the latter remarks I agree; but again point out that a farmer's tenants, for example, play quite a different role at his family's weddings from the officiant.

When any guest comes to an Indian home, he has to be fed; in fact, for the visitor not to be fed is unthinkable. This holds true for monks too. Besides, the very relationship between a monk and his lay patron' supporter revolves around feeding: in return for "raw flesh" (*dmiso*), i.e., material support, the monk bestows the greater gift of the Teaching. Since ancient times, this transaction has been conventionalised into set forms. On p.101 Schopen cites a text which shows how the monk's formulae of teaching while accepting food (or other material gifts) are to be adapted to the occasion. What is appropriate to a happy occasion, asys the text, will

<sup>&#</sup>x27; JPTS XVI, 1992, pp.87-107.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> R. Gombrich, Theravada Buddhism: A Social History from Ancient Benares to Modern Colombo, (London, 1988), p.124.

## The Monk in the Pāli Vinaya: Priest or Wedding Guest? 197

#### Richard Gombrich

109/6

not fit a death. One can call that stereotyped exchange of food for teaching a ritual; but the fact that a monk who has responded to an invitation is ritually fed does not mean that his feeding is a part of the actual ceremony to which he is invited.

Schopen suggests (p. 92, fn.) that his quotations from the Pali *Vinaya* cast doubt on what Obeyesektere and I have written about recent Buddhist weddings in Sri Lanka, but this shows the same confusion: it is the role of the monks (or other Buddhist sacralia) in the wedding rites which we claim to be an innovation.

In the second passage cited above, I wrote that we do not know whether monks officiated at funeralis in ancient India, but that they may well have done so, and Schopen seems to agree with both points. As he says, we do know that they were sometimes invited to weddings. But how regularly? Impossible to say. But I offer the following reflection.

In traditional Sinhala Buddhist society there is an ambivalence about monks. They are associated with death. To see a monk first thing when you leave your house in the morning used to be considered a bad omen. Similarly, many laity do not wish monks to attend an auspicious occasion, such as a wedding. It is fine for the couple to invite or visit a monk shortly before or soon after the wedding to receive some moral instruction and blessings, but another matter to bring the monk into the wedding ceremony itself. However, this view of monks as inauspicious is strictly a lay view; I do not remember hearing it voiced by a monk, and indeed some monks argue that it is quite wrong. Naturally, monks see themselves positively. The *Fonzyn* texts cited by Schopen were composed by monks and are indeed invaluable evidence for ancient Indian social history, but one would expect them somewhat to exaggerate how popular monks were as guests at weddings, house-warming parties, or other lay celebrations. The texts do not show that the presence of monks on such occasions was a regular occurrence; nor do they show that it was not. However, that is subsidiary to my main point: that they had no ritual role at life crises (except perhaps death). Had they had such ritual duties, the *Vinaya* would surely have had to prescribe just how those duties were to be performed. Instead, we find the *Vinaya* almost devoid of liturgy but full of prescriptions for table manners.

I suggest that for anyone familiar with traditional Indian culture it is easy to envisage what the texts in question are about. When a highcaste Hindh family celebrates such a happy occasion, it is customary for them to feed brahmins. This feeding (*brähmana-bhojanam*) always takes place away from the arena of the ceremony itself. The brahmins would indeed take umbrage at being closely associated with the officiant, because the very fact of his being there as an officiant means that he is doing a paid job and so lowers his status below theirs. They have no duties; they are gracing the occasion. If, ideally, they demonstrate the kind of people they are supposed to be by debating some abstrase topic, the host will be particularly gratified.<sup>4</sup> Here, as so often in ancient Buddhist theory and practice, the monk is the Buddhist answer to the brahmin – but to the ideal brahmin, not to the priest.

Schopen calls the paragraphs I wrote on this topic "received wisdom". They are surely not wisdom, and it is far more fun to overturn accepted ideas – as Schopen often succeeds in doing – than to reiterate them. But these just happen to be right.

Oxford

Richard Gombrich

<sup>4</sup>I am indebted for this picture to my wife, Dr. Sanjukta Gupta Gombrich.

410/6

# KĀMALOKA: A RARE PĀLI LOAN WORD IN OLD JAVANESE ?

In a note to "Śiva-Buddhism in Java and Bali", J. Ensink (1978: p. 178 note 8) observed that: "There is little evidence of Päli, Päli literature and Theraväds Buddhism in the whole of the Indo-Javanese and Indo-Balinese culture". Indeed, this scholar adduces only four items: 1) Old Javanese wiku as a very early loan and a development from Päli bhikkhu,<sup>1</sup> 2) Old Javanese palanka, "throne, seat for a priest" and Balinese pëlankar, the term for the seat of the brahmin priest, from Päli pallanka, 3) Balinese patarana, the square cushion of a Balinese Buddhist priest from Päli patharana, and 4) the seeming preference of the spelling Poruşāda over Puruşāda in the Old Javanese Jatasana,<sup>2</sup> a poem which may have been inspired by the Mahäsutasoma Jātaka.

The text passage which may possibly furnish a fifth borrowing from Päli is to be found in a late fourteenth or early fiftheenth century Old Javanese Buddhist didactic poem, entitled Kufijarakarnadharmakathana and written by mpu Dusun. This text has been edited and translated by Teeuw and Robson (1981). The context of the passage in question is as follows: Pürnawijaya, the king of the gods named widyädharas (ratu nih dewa widyädharākhya, 13.4b), together with his wife Kusumagandhavafi and attendants who were "not different from the host of Manmatha" (e Kāma), has departed (32.5a) from his residence in the heaven of Indra (kendran), to pay their respects to the Buddha Wairocana. Arriving at His abode (32.6a), the wihāra named Bodhicitta, Pürŋawijaya, accompanied by the hosts of gods, performs the worship (amiĝa). Music follows (33.1), to which dance (33.2) and songs (kidur)

Journal of the Pali Text Society, Vol. XX, 1994, pp. 163-70

in the second

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Gonda (1973: pp. 158, 274) says that Old Javanese wiku derives from Middle Indic bhikkhu. Päli is, of course, also Middle Indic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> cf. Soewito Santoso, Sutasoma: A Study in Javanese Wajrayana, Delhi, 1975 (Sata-Pitaka Series no. 213).

## kāmaloka: A rare Pāli loan word in Old Javanese ? 165

#### Max Nihom

111/6

which are hymns of praise in the divine worship (*prastutī dewapūjā*) are added (33.4). After describing how the sashes (*sasampur*) of the dancers slipped down, as if to display their slim waists, Kuñjarakarņa 33.6 states:

> san atëlasan anrttāninditānwam tuwuhnya inirin i wuri len tan cārakākweh ri wuntat pilih aměnan atandin rūm saken kāmaloka hayu nika tuwin ansal yan těken rūmnya dewī

Teeuw and Robson (1981: 147) translate:

Those who had finished dancing were in the prime of youth; They were let to the back, together with the many attendants behind. Probably they would win a contest with the beauties of Kāma's realm —

Their beauty might even be successful against the charms of a goddess !

Before offering a slightly different translation, we may note a few details. The rendering of *amënañ atandin rūm* and *tuvin aisal* by conditionals is perhaps unfortunate, since neither *amènañ* no *aisal* includes an irrealis (the suffix a). Tue, one could read *amēnaña*, but this would force one to read *andin rūm*, which, as the verbal phrase 'to compare/measure in/with respect to beauty', is not likely.

Secondly, and much more important, the translation "Kāma's realm" for kāmaloka, where kāma is taken, as it here is, as the proper name of the god of love, is questionable. The reasons are: 1) the term kāmaloka is ont otherwise attested in Old Javanese,<sup>1</sup> 2) the compound kāmaloka is <u>completely</u> absent from all standard Sanskrit dictionaries,<sup>2</sup> and 3) Kāma, being generally a secondary or attendant rather than a presiding or central divinity in Hinduism or Buddhism,<sup>1</sup> does not have a world (scil. heaven, *loka*) of his own, unlike, for example, Vişnu, Šiva, Brahmā or Indra. Words for each of these worlds (*vişnuloka*, *sivaloka*, *brahmaloka*, *indraloka*) are attested in Sanskrit as well as in Old Javanese.<sup>2</sup>

Therefore, instead of the "the world of [the god] Kāma", <sup>3</sup> kāmaloka must mean "the world of desire", that is, the world(s) in which desire is operative. In Buddhist Sanskrit cosmographic terminology this is called the kāmadhātu), and the worlds of non-form (arīpadhātu). Hence, when the poem notes that the dancers are superior in beauty to those of the worlds of desire, this makes much sense insofar as, having left the heaven of Indra and having arrived at the wihāra Bodhicitta, the residence of the Buddha, the performers are as such no longer part of the sensual sphere and therefore must be superior to its inhabitants.

Apte, Mylius, Edgerton (Buddhist Hybrid), Conze (Prajifapitämitä litenature), and Sircar (orgizgaphic). Das (1985; p. 61) supplies both kämadhätu and kämaloka for Tibetan 'dod khams but does not supply text-references. Given that this dictionary reference is the only one furnished by Chandra (1976; p. 1261) in his Tibetan-Sankriti dictionary and in the light of the absent of kämaloka in all the above dictionaries, one may be inclined to consider this as a 'ghost translation', the more because kämaloka is also absent from the Mahayuptati, as well as from the index on the Abhidharmakośa (la Vallée Poussin) and its bhäzyu

<sup>1</sup> See, however, Stuti and Stava no. 405, the Smarastava, (Goudriaan and Hooykaas 1971: p. 253), a hymn used in Saivite circles, where Kämadeva is said to prevail over Išvara, Brahmä, Mahädeva and Vispu (verse 6) and to be worschipped by the triple world (*trailokyasevias*, verse 7d).

<sup>2</sup> Nor do hypothetical synonyms such as \*kāmabhuvana, \*kāmabhūmi or \*kāmapada appear to be attested in either language.

<sup>3</sup> Käma and Ratih, moreover, are the patrons of poets and the dalang, the performer of the wayong plays, on Bali (Gonda 1975; p. 46. see C. Hooykaas, "The Function of the dalang' in Akten des 24. Intern. Orientalisten-Kongresses, München, 1957). However, the context of the Kuñjarakarna does not appear to permit an understanding of the world of Kämä', that is, as the wayong.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> That is, there is no entry under kāmaloka in Zoetmulder's Old Javanese dictionary (1982) at all.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> That is, from Böhtlingk and Roth (St. Petersburg), Schmidt, Monier-Williams,

## kāmaloka: A rare Pāli loan word in Old Javanese ? 167

## Max Nihom

112/6

A CART

R

As for the dancers being superior in beauty to a "goddess" (dewi), t this would amount to the same thing, since, in Buddhist cosmology, the worlds of the gods (devaloka)1 are superordinate to the worlds of demons, men, animals, ghosts and hell-beings, but still within the realm of desire. Nevertheless, we ought to consider if dewi could be a proper a name, for "in her Sundanese (West Javanese) form as Devi Śri she is a divine princess, able to descend from heaven and closely related to the vidvādharīs, a class of kindly fairies who in part of the Archipelago are believed to preside over love and in Java to revive the deceased. They are in all probability a body of indigenous deities who have assumed an! Indian name, taking over the role played, in India, by the apsaras") (Gonda 1975: p. 30).<sup>2</sup> The Kuñjarakarna identifies the dancers as : Apsaras and divine women (apsara mwan surastri, 33.2a). In itself this is not a problem for identifying dewi, since the poem does not seem ( rigorously to distinguish between apsaras and widvadharis. Moreover, since Pürnawijaya, king of the widyādharas and lord of the apsaras (26.4a, 31.7c, 37.2a, etc.) has returned from a spell in the aweci (sic) hell where he had been boiled in the hell-cauldron while his body lay in bed at home as if dead (25.2a, 29.1), only to engage in love with his wife (31.7), the identification of dewi as Devi would appear to be a reasonable possibility.

With the above considerations in mind, we may now retranslate the verse from the Kuñjarakarna.

They who had finished dancing were flawless, youthful in age. They were escorted to the back together with the many female attendants who were behind [them]. Certainly they are victorious measured in beauty compared to those in the world[s] of desire. Their loveliness also obtains when compared to the beauty of a

goddess (or: Deví).

The difficulty therefore is: if kämaloka is truly not available in Sanskrit, has the author of the Kufijarakarna forged the compound by himself combining the very common items käma and loka 7 the answer to this would seem to be in the negative, since 1) the parallel termini arūpaloka and rūpaloka are also not attested in Sanskrit or Old Javanese, and 2) the expected Sanskrit terms kāmadhātu, rūpadhātu and arūpalāku are attested in the Old Javanese San Hyan Kamahšyānikan.<sup>1</sup> Therefore; because the words kāmadhātu, etc., are attested in Old Javanese, it does not seem plausible that the poet would have assumed the interchangeability of loka and dhātu unless he knew that loka in this Buddhist cosmological sense existed. Consequently, it is likely that the term kāmaloka, meaning the world(s) subject to desire, is borrowed from Päli, where we find kāma, rūpa- and arūpaloka as precise cosmological equivalents to kāma, rūpa- and arūpaloka tin Sanskrit.

Beyond the merely linguistic interest of an addition to the trifling number of Päil loan words in Old Javanese, the import of this conclusion is that there must have existed, at some time or another and at some place or another in the Archipelago, a Päil text from which this word could have been borrowed.<sup>2</sup> As for which text, where and when, it is impossible to say with any certainty. Given that the Ratu Baka inscription of 792/3 A.D. mentions the foundation of the monastery Abhayagiri for the Sinhalese (abhayagirivihārah kāritah sinhalānām)<sup>3</sup> and given the

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  These worlds, of which there are generally six, are also known as the  $k\bar{a}m\bar{a}vacar\bar{a}h.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Gonda refers here to K.A.H. Hidding, Nji Pohatji Sangjang Sri, Leiden, 1929, which is not available to me.

<sup>1</sup> Kats 1910: 55.4, 10, 13 respectively.

As a cosmological term kāmaloka also may be distinguished from the material items cushion (*patarana*) and throne (*palanka*) furnished by Ensink (supra).
 Gf. de Casparis 1961. Sarkar (1971: p. 48) translates "The people of Ceylon

## Max Nihom

mention of Sinhalese as foreigners resident on Java in inscriptions of king Airlahga in the eleventh century, <sup>1</sup> we may opine that this may have been many centuries before the composition of the Kuñjarakımadharmak kathana. Indeed, it is conceivable that this could have been as early as the seventh century, since I Ching observes that <u>agama</u> texts on Buddha's *nirvâna* were translated in Java and since, according to Gonda (1975; p. 7), these texts belonged to the "Hinayäna". Further, we may refer to the work of Lokesh Chandra (1986) who makes a reasonable case for the existence of Abhayagirivāsins hailing from Ceylon on Java. Accordingly, one may conjecture that k<u>ämaloka</u> as a Päll Ioan word in Old Javanese might ultimately have been borrowed from one or other text brought to Java by these monks sometime prior to 792/3, the date of the Raut Baka inscription.

Lastly, an observation: even if one should prefer to hold, when all is said and done, that kämaloka in the Old Javanese Kuñjarakaŋadharmakathana was minted in Java itself, it is, from an anthropological perspective, not insignificant that \*kämaloka is seemingly absent in the enormous literature available in Sanskrit. Given the multifaceted compass of this literature, its lack is all the more piquant. One can only wonder why the term is not available, for, after all, given that the god Käma also has the name Anañga, 'he without a body', one is inclined to suspect that some adroit Sanskrit poet would have found occasion to make a wordplay on these two terms. That, somewhere, sometime, no one did make such a palpably obvious pun must mean something, if only that this would seem to presuppose the omnipresence — sensate and religious of the realm of desire.

Vienna

Max Nihom

113/6

have erected the monastery called Abhayagiri". See also Chandra 1986, who discusses this inscription with reference to its bearing on the Barabudur. <sup>1</sup> cf. Ensink, *loc. cit.* 

## kāmaloka: A rare Pāli loan word in Old Javanese ? 169

## Bibliography

Apte 1975	Vaman Shivam Apte, <i>The Pratical Sanskrit-English</i> <i>Dictionary</i> , 4th rev. ed., Delhi, 1975.					
Lokesh Chandra						
1976	Lokesh Chandra, Tibetan-Sanskrit Dictionary, 2 vols.,					
	Kyoto, 1976 (reprint of 12 volume 1959 edition).					
Chandra 1986	id., "Cultural Contacts of Indonesia and Sri Lanka in					
	the Eighth Century and Their Bearing on the					
	Barabudur", Journal of the Asiatic Society 28 (1986), pp. 38-54.					
Childers 1875	Robert Caesar Childers, A Dictionary of the Pāli					
Childers 1875	Language, London, 1875.					
Conze 1967	Edward Conze, Materials for a Dictionary of the					
	Prajñāpāramitā Literature, Tokyo, 1967.					
de Casparis						
1961	J.G. de Casparis, "New Evidence on Cultural					
	Relations between Java and Ceylon in Ancient Times",					
	Artibus Asiae 24 (1961), pp. 241-48.					
Das 1985	Sarat Chandra Das, A Tibetan-English Dictionary,					
	Kyoto, 1985 (reprint of 1902 edition).					
Edgerton 1970	F. Edgerton, Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit Dictionary, New Delhi, 1970.					
Ensink 1978	J. Ensink, "Śiva-Buddhism in Java and Bali", pp. 178-					
	198 in Buddhism in Ceylon and Studies on Religious					
	Syncretism in Buddhist Countries, edited by Heinz					
	Bechert (Symposien zur Buddhismusforschung, I,					
	Abhandlungen der Akademie der Wissenschaften in					
	Göttingen, philologisch-historische Klasse, dritte					
	Folge, nr. 108), Göttingen, 1978.					
Gonda 1975	J. Gonda, "The Indian Religions in Pre-Islamic					
	Indonesia and Their Survival in Bali", Handbuch der					
	Orientalistik, III. Abteilung, II. Band, Abschnitt 1,					

Leiden, 1975, pp. 1-54.

170	Max Nihom (14/6
Gonda 1973	J. Gonda, Sanskrit in Indonesia, 2nd. ed., Delhi, 1973.
	(ŚataPițaka Series vol. 99).
Goudriaan and I	Iooykaas
1971	T. Goudriaan and C. Hooykaas, Stuti and Stava
	(Bauddha, Saiva and Vaisnava) of Balinese Brahmin
	Priests, Amsterdam, 1971.
Hirakawa 1973	Akira Hirakawa, Index to the Abhidharmakośabhāsya,
	Tokyo, 1973.
Kats 1910	J. Kats, Sang Hyang Kamahāyānikan, 's-Gravenhage,
	1910.
Monier-William	s
1899	Sir Monier Monier-Williams, Sanskrit-English
	Dictionary, Oxford, 1899.
Mylius 1980	Klaus Mylius, Wörterbuch Sanskrit-Deutsch, Leipzig,
	1980.
Rhys Davids	
1925	T.W. Rhys Davids, The Pali Text Society's Pali-
	English Dictionary, Chipstead, 1925.
Sara and Thakar	
1962	B.J. Sandhi Sara and J.P. Thakar, Lexicographic
	Studies in 'Jaina Sanskrit', Baroda, 1962.
Sarkar 1971	Himansu Bhusan Sarkar, Corpus of the Inscriptions of
	Java, vol. 1 (of 2), Calcutta, 1971.
Sircar 1966	D.C. Sirkar, Indian Epigraphic Glossary, Delhi, 1966.
Schmidt 1928	Richard Schmidt, Nachträge zum Sanskrit-
	Wörterbuch, Leipzig, 1928.
Teeuw and Robs	on
1981	A. Teeuw and S. Robson, Kuñjarakarna
	Dharmakathana, The Hague, 1981 (Bibliotheca
	Indonesica 21).
Zoetmulder	
1982	P.J. Zoetmulder, Old Javanese-English Dictionary, 2
	vols, Leiden, 1982.
	)

# VIMUTTIMAGGA AND ABHAYAGIRI: THE FORM-AGGREGATE ACCORDING TO THE SAMSKRTĀSAMSKRTA-VINIŚCAYA

## A. Introduction

1-1-75

The Vimutimagga is a comprehensive manual of the Theravādin school; lost in the original Pāli (or, less probably, Sanskrit),<sup>1</sup> it is preserved in a complete Chinese translation, made by a *bhikşu* of Funan in the early 6th century.<sup>2</sup> This version has been translated into English in full under the tild *The Path of Freedom*.<sup>3</sup>

While both Chinese and Päli sources agree that the name of the author is Upatissa (Skt Upatisya),<sup>4</sup> there is some confusion about the Sanskrit form of the translator's name. In 1883 Bunyiu Nanjio gave the name Samghapäla, with the alternative Samghavarman.<sup>5</sup> In 1915 Sylvain Lévi rejected the form Samghapäla as erroneous, and suggested

<sup>2</sup>T 1648 (Vol. XXXII), KBC 968, Chieh t'o tao lun.

<sup>3</sup> See Bibliography: the English translation is hereafter referred to as Path.

<sup>4</sup> The name, prefaced by "athat", is transcribed at the head of the Chinese version; in the Visuddhimagga Commentary the author is described as thera (Paramatthamañjusä, cited at Path xxxvi, ekacce ti upatissatheram sandhäyäha, tena hi vimutitagge tahdh vutum).

<sup>5</sup> Bunyiu Nanjio, A Catalogue of the Chinese Translation of the Buddhist Tripitada, the Sacred Canon of the Buddhists in China and Japan, [Oxford, 1883] San Francisco, 1975, § 1293 "Samghapäla"; Appendix II § 102, "Samghapäla or Samghavarma".

Journal of the Pali Text Society, Vol. XX, 1994, pp. 171-210

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> of. Bechert 1992, pp. 95-96, and Skilling 1993A, p. 167. See, however, Louis Renou and Jean Filliczat (edd.), L'Inde classique II (Hanoi, 1993) § 2147: "è an juger par les noms ou termes transcrits, la version chinoise du Chemin de la Libération ne semble pas être faite sur un original de langue pâli; on n'y trouve aucun nom singhalais... tout indique, pour cet original, une origine hidennee et non singhalaise.". Sylvain Lévi (1915, p. 26) notes, with reference to the Mahāmàyūrī, that "Samghabhara "paraît être un sanscritiste et un indianiste médicore".

## Peter Skilling

115/6

Samghavarman or Samghabhara.<sup>1</sup> In 1923 J. Przyluski, after referring to both Nanjio and Lévi, described Samghapäla as "doubtful", and suggested Samghabhara or Samghabhata.<sup>2</sup> In 1927 Prabodh Chandra Bagchi, after referring to the above-mentioned sources, rejected both Samghapäla and Samghabhara (?)",<sup>4</sup> as well as "Samghavara (?)", and "Samghavarman (?)".<sup>5</sup> Lancaster and Bareau give Samghabhara "The *Höbögirin* gives "Samghabhara (?)",<sup>4</sup> as well as "Samghavaran (?)", and "Samghavarman (?)".<sup>5</sup> Lancaster and Bareau give Samghabhara without, discussion.<sup>6</sup> The *Path* reverts to Samghapäla; since the translators do not discuss the name, and since the bibliography does not refer to any of the other works mentioned above, it is likely that they took the name from Nanjio's *Catalogue*, which they refer to on pr. xxui and xxuvi. This is unfortunate, since the form Samghapäla, rejected by all authorities since Nanjio, has thereby been perpetuated.<sup>7</sup> For the time being, I accept the form "Samghabara; I hope that the question will be re-examined by those competent in the field, in the light of resources now available.

There is also confusion about the date of translation. Bagchi, Przyluski, and *Höbögirin* agree that "Samghabhara's dates are 460-524. Nanjo (§ 1293) gives the date of translation of the *Vimutiimagga* as 505, but since at Appendix II § 102 he himself says that "Samghabhara began his

- <sup>3</sup> Prabodh Chandra Bagchi, *Le canon bouddhique en Chine*, tome I, Paris, 1927, pp. 415-18.
- <sup>4</sup> Höbögirin, Répertoire du canon bouddhique sino-japonais, Paris-Tökyö, 1978, § 1648.
- 5 ibid, p. 281a, under Sõgyabara.

career as a translator in 506 — a date confirmed by Lévi, Przyluski, and Höbögirin — this must be an error. Both Bagchi and Lancaster give the date of translation as the 14th year of the Trien Chine rear of the Liang Dynasty, which Bagchi equates with 519, Lancaster with 515. L'Inde classique states that the translation was made between 506 and 524, "probablement en 515"; Höbögirin does not give a date. According to Dr. Josef Kolmaš, 515 is the correct date.<sup>1</sup>

In addition to the Chinese translation, the Vimuttimagga is known from extensive quotations given by Dashalakimitra in his Samskräasmskravinišcaya, a compendium of the tenets of several Buddhist schools, also losi in the original, but extant in Tibetan translation.<sup>2</sup> In this paper, I will give an extract from Chapter 13 of the Sav, a citation of the Vimutimagga which corresponds to the opening of the 10th fascicle, 11th chapter, first section, of the Path (pp. 237-38), in the following format:

a) romanised Tibetan text;

- b) English translation of the Tibetan;
- c) English translation of the Chinese from the Path.3

The object of study is a passage giving a list of the 26 types of derived form  $(up\bar{a}d\bar{a}ya-r\bar{u}pa)$  that, along with the four basic elements

<sup>1</sup> Lévi, loc. cit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> J. Przyluski, La légende de l'empereur Açoka (Açoka-avadāna) dans les textes : indiens et chinois, Paris, 1923, pp. xi-xii.

<sup>6</sup> KBC § 968; Bareau 1955, p. 242.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Samghapila is given by George Cedés, in *The Indianted States of Southeast Acia*, Honolulu, 1968, note 92, p. 285 (see also p. 58), by W. Pachow, "The Voyage of Buddhist Missions to South-East Asia and the Far East", in *Journal of the Greater India Society* XVII/ &2 (1958), p. 13, and no doubt elsewhere in secondary literature.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> I am grateful to Dr. Kolmaš for checking the date for me during a visit to the Oriental Institute, Prague. It is likely that Nanjio's 505 is simply a misprint for 515. (cf. also e.g. KBC 1086, where the 15th year of T'ien Chien = 516.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 'Dus byas dan' 'dus ma byas rnam par nes pa = Sav. I have been able to consult only two editions: D and Q (see Bibliography); variants are given in parentheses without discussion. For an analysis of this work and a discussion of its authorship and date, see Skilling 1987.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> From the passages selected for this study, it can be seen that the translation of the Path is often unreliable. I am grateful to Dr. Prapod Assavavinihakarn (Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok) for consulting the Chinese text; his clarifications are followed by the initials [PA].

Peter Skilling

(mahābhūta), constitute the aggregate of form, rūpa-kkhanda. The list ist of considerable interest and importance because it throws light on the problem of the school affiliation of the Vimuttimagga. Because "school" in this context refers to divisions or traditions within the broader fold de the Theravāda, the tradition of the Päli Atthakathās, Tikās, and Abhdhamma manuals will be specified as that of the Mahāyhāravšand

16/6

1.12

I have also given the opening of the chapter leading up to the abovementioned list, in order to place the passage incontext, and — since Dasbabafarimitra's citations of the *limutimaging* have not been studied to date — to demonstrate how closely they agree with the Chinese version. I will also translate a number of other passages from the same chapter of the Sav in the discussion that follows.

B. Text and Translation

**B.0a**) (D 185a3; Q 98b6) pandita chen po gnas brian dge slon (D om. gnas brian dge slon) stobs bcu dpal báes gñen gyis badus pa 'dus byas' dan 'dus ma byas rnam par nes pa las (Q la) gnas brian pa 'i sde pa' tshul lugs phun po skye mched khams rnam par nes pa tes bya ba le'u bcu gsum pa 'o/<sup>A</sup>

<sup>2</sup> This is the colophon of the chapter of the Sav from which the citations are drawn. B.0b) "An analysis of the aggregates, bases, and elements according to the system of the Sthavira school" (*\*Sthavira-nikäya-naya-skandhaäyatana-dhätu-viniścaya*), Chapter 13 of the Analysis of the Conditioned and the Unconditioned, compiled by the great authority (mahāpandita), the senior monk (sthavira-bhik;su), Dasbalaśrimitra.<sup>1</sup>

B.1a) (D 179a1; Q 90b3) 'phags pa gnas brian pa'i sde pa'i lun las 'di ltar ruam par bżag sieł de la las dan po pa'i rnal 'byor pas rga śi las grol bar 'dod pa dah' 'khor ba'i rgyu yan dag par gcod pa don du gñer ba (Q bas) dah' ma rig pa'i mun pa rnam par sel ba'i don du gñer ba dah' 'phags pa'i śes rab thob pa don du gñer ba rnams kyis gnas iha la mkhas par bskyed par bya'o' 'di la ste' phun po la mkhas pa dah' skye mched la mkhas pa dah' khams la mkhas pa dah' rten cin 'brel bar 'bynh ba la mkhas pa dah' phags pa'i bden pa la mkhas pa' o//

**B.1b)** The Ågama of the Årya-Sthavira school (*nikåya*) sets forth the following:

Herein, the novice meditator (*âdikammika-yogin*) who wishes to be liberated from ageing and death (*jarà-marana*), who strives to cut off the cause of cyclic existence (*sansāra-or bhava-hetu*), who strives to dispel the darkness of ignorance (*avijiā-anāhakāra*), and who strives to realise

<sup>1</sup> For the title, which, in accordance with Tibetan (and Indian) tradition, is given at the end of the chapter, I have given Sanktrit equivalents for the Tibetan. Although the bulk of the Sav, dealing with Vaibhäşika and Mahâyâna tenets, would have been composed in Sanskrit, we do not know the Ianguage of the *Fimalitinagga* and other Sthavin citations given by Dasbaläsimirs. In order to facilitate comparison with the Mahâvihäravisin Theravädin tradition, which is preserved in Fili, I have given Filie equivalents in the translation of the citations, based on the Sanskrit equivalents of the Tibetan as given for example in the *Mahâryutpattl* (Mvy). In most cases these equivalents are virtually certain; those which require some explanation are discussed in the notes. The Fili terms given in the citations of the *Path* have been taken from the footnotes to that work as appropriat.

throughout.1

# 117/6

Å.

the wisdom of the noble (ariya-paññā), should develop proficiency (kosalla) with regard to five states (hána): proficiency with regard to the aggregates (khandha-kosalla), proficiency with regard to the bases (äyatana-kosalla), proficiency with regard to the elements (dhâtukosalla), proficiency with regard to the elements (dhâtukosalla), proficiency with regard to the truths of the noble (ariya-sacca-kosalla).

Peter Skilling

B.1c) (Path 237,1) Here, if the new yogin aspires after release from decay and death, and wishes to remove the cause of arising and passing away, wishes to dispel the darkness of ignorance, to cut the rope of craving and to acquire holy wisdom, he should develop the methods, namely, the aggregate-method [khandha-kosalla, PA],<sup>1</sup> sense-organ-method [ayatana-kosalla], element-method [ahātu-kosalla], conditionedarising-method [paica-samuppāda-kosalla], and truth-method [sacca-kosalla]

B.2a) (D 179a3; Q 90b6) de la phun po lna ni 'di lta ste/ gzugs kyi phun po dan/ tshor ba'i phun po dan/ 'du śes kyi phun po dan/ 'du byed kyi phun po dan/ rnam par śes pa'i phun po 'o// B.2b) Herein, there are five aggregates (khandha): the aggregate of form (rāpa), the aggregate of feeling (vedanā), the aggregate of notion (saññā), the aggregate of formations (sankhāra), and the aggregate of consciousnes (viñfāŋa).

B.2c) (Path 237,8) What is the aggregate-method ? The five aggregates are the aggregate of form, the aggregate of feeling, the aggregate of perception, the aggregate of formation, and the aggregate of consciousness.

B.3a) (D 179a4; Q 90b6) de la gzugs kyi phuń po ni gñis te (Q om. te) 'di ltar/ 'byuń ba chen po dań/ 'byuń ba chen po las byuň ba'i gzugs so//

B.3b) Herein, the aggregate of form is twofold: the basic elements (mahābhūta) and form derived from the basic elements (mahābhūtānam upādāya rūpam).

**B.3c)** (*Path* 237,10) What is the aggregate of form ? The four primaries and the material qualities derived from the primaries.

**B.4a)** (D 17924; Q 90b7) de la 'byun ba chen po la bži ni 'di ltar/ sa daù/ chu daù/ me daù/ rlun no//

**B.4b)** Herein, there are four basic elements: earth (*pathavi*), water (*āpo*), fire (*tejo*), and air (*vayo*).

B.4c) (Path 237,14) What are the four primaries ? Earth-element, waterelement, fire-element, air-element.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The translators of the Path supply the term up5ya; the characters employed are also used for knoidybar & solidal, equivalent here to the Tbetan mikets pa [PA]. While forms with kusala (MN III 62.4, dhătu-kusala, ∂yataras<sup>2</sup>, paţiccasamuppăda<sup>2</sup>, ţhönäţthöna<sup>2</sup>), kuśala (EB, Cowell and R.A. Neil [edd], *The Divyàvalana*, Dehli, 1987, 340,56, and Natinakaha Duti [ed.], Gilgit Manuseripts, Vol. III. Part 4, (Calcutta, 1950) Dehli, 1984, 42,18, dhätu-kadala, praitfyasamuppäda<sup>2</sup>, sthönäthäna<sup>2</sup>, Divyàvadana 561,8, skandha-kadala, dhätu<sup>4</sup>, äyatana<sup>2</sup>, praitfyasamutpäda<sup>2</sup>), to kaušalya (Vidhushchkara (Bhartacharg) ed.], *The Vigitoribhimi of Acatya Asainga*, Calcutta, 1957, 714, dhätu-kaušalya, dhätu<sup>4</sup>, alada<sup>4</sup>, sthönäbhimi of Acatya, akainga, Calcutta, 1957, 714, dhätu-kaušalya, dyatana<sup>2</sup>, praitfyasamutpäda<sup>2</sup>, etc.) are well-attested in this context, the use of up5ya is no.1 therefore take the nominal form kosalla (ör which confer PTSD 2300), equivalent to the Tibetan mikas pa (in the passage cited clearly an oun = Skt kaušalya), to be the correat form.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Here the Sav omits the definitions of the four basic elements given in the *Path* pp. 237,15–238,10.

#### Peter Skilling

B.5a) (D 179a5; Q 90b7) 'byuh ba chen po las byuh ba'i gzugs ni ñi śu ñer drug stel' 'di ltar ...

B.5b) There are 26 [types of] form derived from the basic elements  $1 \dots$  [See Table 1.]

B.5c) (Path 238,12) What are the derived material qualities ? ... [See Table 1.]

B.6a) (D 179a7; Q 91a3) de'i phyir 'byun ba chen po bźi dan ñe bar bslan ba'i gzugs ñi śu ñer drug ste/ gzugs (Q rdzas) sum cur 'gyur ro//

**B.6b)** Therefore, there are four basic elements and 26 [types of] derived form (*upādāya-rūpa*), making 30 [types of] form (*rūpa*).<sup>2</sup>

B.6c) (Path 240,31) ... these 26 material qualities and the four primaries make up 30 kinds of matter [rūpa, PA].<sup>3</sup>

## C. Discussion

178

The earliest suttas, both Päli and Sanskrit, speak of two types of form, generally in definitions of either the form aggregate ( $r\bar{u}pa$ -kkhanda) or of the "form" in "name-and-form" ( $n\bar{a}ma$ - $r\bar{u}pa$ ). For example: Katamañ ca bhikkhave rūpam? Cattāro ca mahābhūtā catunnam ca mahābhūtānam upādāya rūpam, idam vuccati bhikkhave rūpam (SN III 59,19)

What, O monks, is form ? The four basic elements and form derived from the four basic elements: this, O monks, is termed form.

While the four basic elements are listed and defined in the *suttas*, for example in the *Mahāhathipadopama-sutta* (MN 28, Vol. I 185,14 foll.), no definition of "derived form" is given in the early texts. This gave the various schools a free hand to compile their own lists of the constituents of derived form.

The earliest list of the Mahāvihāravāsin Theravādins is found in their Abhidhamma in the Dhammasahgari (§ 596)<sup>1</sup> which gives 23 types of derived form in response to the question kataman tam rāpan upādā. This type of form became known as upādā- or upādāya-rāpa. The 23 types of derived form of the Dhammasahgari (indicated with an asterisk in Table 1) follow the same order as the corresponding items of the Vimutimagga list.

From the time of Buddhaghosa on, the Mahāvihāravāsins added the "heart-base", hadaya-vatīhu, between no. 12, *ļiviitārdrīya*, and no. 13, kāyaviňňatti, to make a total of 24 varieties of derived form. This list is found, for example, in the Visuādhimagga (37 § 36; Mm 11,10)<sup>2</sup> The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This introductory sentence is not given in the *Path*, which gives instead a question. In Table 1 I have omitted the *dah* (*ca*, "and") that follows each item in the Tibetan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> D gzugs = rūpa, Q rdzas = dabba (Skt dravya). The Chinese here definitely = rūpa [PA].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This sentence follows the definitions of the 26 types of derived form that are given in the *Path* (pp. 238,20–240,31) but omitted in the Sav.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> References to this work are by section number, as given in the PTS edition (ed. Edward Müller, [1885] London, 1978) and in the Devanagari script edition (ed. P.V. Bapat and R.D. Vadekar, Poona, 1940).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> References to this work are to Henry Clarke Warren (ed.) and Dharmananda Kosambi (rev.), Visuddhimagga of Buddhaghosācariya (Harvard Oriental Series 41), [1950] Delhi, 1989, by page and paragraph number, and to the Thai

## Peter Skilling

11916

Sav and the *Path*, however, state explicitly that there are 26 types of derived form (see above,  $\S$  B.5ab and B.6abc).

The list of the Sav in fact gives 27 items; as may be seen from Table I, I have not counted reg pa (= phassa), which is not given in the Path or in the following analysis and classification of the 26 types as cited in the Sav. As a cetasika, phassa does not belong here; if phothabba (Tibetan reg bya) is intended, it also does not fit, because according to the Vimutimagga as cited by Daśabalaśrimitra himself (D 184b1; Q 97b8) the 'tangible base'' (phothabbāyatana) consists of the earth, fire, air, and water elements, and hardness (kakkhalatta), softness (mudutā), heat (unhatta), and coolness (statā), which are within the range of the body (kāya-gocara):

reg bya'i skye mched ni sa'i khams dan/ me'i khams dan/ rlun gi khams dan/ chu'i khams dan/ sra ba dan/ 'jam pa dan/ dro ba dan/ bsil ba ste/ gan lus kyi spyod yul lo//

This definition is confirmed by the Path (254,19)1:

Touch-object is hardness, softness, coolness, and warmth of the elements of earth, water, fire, and air. This is the field of the body.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The order of the four elements here in the *Path* agrees with that of both the Sav and the *Path* at B.4 above. This seems to be the standard order as found at e.g. DN III 228,1 and MN I 185,12. The Mahāvihāravāsns, however, hold that the phot/habbāyatama consists of only three great elements, excluding water, *āpo-dhātu*, and that cold, sīta, is not *āpo-dhātu* but tojo-dhām, in the "condition of feeble beat" (mande hi uŋhabhāve sītabuddh).<sup>1</sup> The position of the Vimutir magga is closer to that of the Vaibhāşikas, who include all four elements as well as cold (sīta) in the sprastavyāyatama.<sup>2</sup> Confirmed by both the Tibetan of the Sav and by the Chinese of the Path, the definition of the Vimutirimagea disagrees with the Mahāvihāra school.

When this error is corrected, the Sav and the *Path* agree completely on the 26 items enumerated and their order.<sup>3</sup> This list of 26 items may safely be termed the *Vimuttimagga* list of derived form.

A comparison of the Dhammasangani list with that of the Vimuttimagga shows that the two lists are identical in order and in items enumerated, with the important difference that the latter adds three items: rīpassa jāti (21), wathu-rīpa (25), and middha (26).

Of these three, vatthu-rūpa may be identified with the hadaya-vatthu of the Mahāvihāravāsins from the time of Buddhaghoša on. Unfortunately, since Dashalaštimita's presentation of the Vimutimagga is abridged, he omits the definitions of the 26 varieties of derived form that follow the list in the full Chinese translation, and thus does not define vatthu-rūpa. In the Path (240,29) the definition of the equivalent term is translated as "the growth which is dependent on the primaries and the element of

script edition published by Mahāmakutarājavidyālaya (Mm), Bangkok, 2509 [1976] by page and line.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Touch-object is the earth-element, water-", fire-", air-", hardness, softness, coolness, and warmth [which are within] the range of the body (käya-gocara)" [PA].

cf. Dhammasargani §§ 647-51 and Karunadasa 1967, pp. 19-20, 29-30.
 cf. P. Pradhan (ed.), Abhidharmakośabhāşyam of Vasubandhu (Tibetan Sanskrit Works Series VIII, 2nd edition), Patna, 1975, I,10d, p. 7,8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The basic list in the *Path* gives 25 items only, omitting the important no. 21, "birth of matter", which is, however, given in the Chinese (see note 5 to Table 1).

Peter Skilling

consciousness [viññāna-dhātu, PA] is called the sense-organ of the material element", which is not very illuminating.

12016

That hadaya-vatthu and vatthu-rūpa are equivalent is, however, made clear in the Atthakathā and latet literature, for example in the definition of the "base-decad", vatthu-dasaka, given in the Vibhanga-atthakathā (Vibha 22,7-10):

> Tattha vatthurūpam, tassa nissayāni cattāri mahābhūtāni, tannissitā vaņņa-gandha-rasa-ojā jīvitam ti, idam vatthudasakam nāma.

Herein, the base-decad consists of *vatthu-rūpa*, the four basic elements on which it depends, colour, odour, taste, and nutriment that depend on it, and life.

The Sav does not give the definition of the "base-decad", but refers it to that of the "eye-decad":

(D 17965; Q 9164) de la mig beu ésa pa ni/ rab tu dan ba'i mig gi dhos por gyur pa'i 'byuñ ba chen po bźi dah/ kha dog dah/ dri dah/ ro dah/ gi brgyid dah/ srog gi dbah po dah/ mig gi rab tu dah ba'ol/ chos beu po 'di rnams gnas mam pa tha dad med pa'i gon bu yin pas/mig beu źes brjod doł/... (D 180a4; Q 9244) de bźin du rna ba beu ldan dah/ sna beu ldan dah/ lee beu ldan dah/ lus beu ldan dah/ sna beu ldan dah/ lee beu ldan dah/ lus beu ldan dah/ dhos po beu ldan dah/ skyes pa'i dbań po beu ldan dah/ dhos po beu ldan dah/ skyes pa'i babn po beu ldan dah/ dhos po 'o'/ Herein, that which is called the "eye-decad" (cakkhu-dasaka) consists of the four basic elements, colour, doar, taste, nutriment (ojā), life-element, and the sensitive eye-tissue (cakkhu-pasāda) that make up the substance of the sensitive eye. Because these ten dhammas are a physically undifferentiated conglomeration (piŋda), they are called the "eye-decad"... The ear-decad, the nose-decad, the tonguedecad, the body-decad, the base-decad, the tonguedecad, the body-decad, the base-decad, value, dasaka), and the life-faculty-decad [correct o "ennead"] should be understood in detail in the same manner.

(Path 242,1) What is the eye-decad? The four elements of eyesentience are its basis. And again, it consists of the four

<sup>1</sup> "Life-faculty decad", srog gi dban po bcu ldan, must be an error of scribe or translator. In the Päli Abhidhamma, the life-faculty is an ennead (iivitindrivanavaka); for it to be a decad, one would have to count the life-faculty twice. Furthermore, the ennead is referred to later on in the Sav: (D 180b4; Q 92b7) tshans pa rnams kvi skye ba'i dus su gzugs sum cu dgu ni 'di lta ste/ dnos po beu ldan dan/ mig beu ldan dan/ rna ba beu ldan dan/ srog gi dban po dgu ldan no// 'du ses med pa'i sems can rnams kvi skve ba'i dus su gzugs dgu 'bvun bar 'gyur te 'di ltar srog gi dban po dgu'o//"For Brahmas at the moment of birth there are 39 [constituents of] form: the base-decad, the eye-decad, the ear-decad, and the life-faculty-ennead (jivitindriya-navaka). For beings without perception (asaññi-satta) at the moment of birth 9 [constituents of] form arise, that is, the life-faculty-ennead." Path p. 244.6 has "Brahma arouses 49 material qualities at the moment of birth. They are the basis-decad, the eye-decad, the ear-decad, the body-decad, and the life-principle-ennead" for the first part. The figure 39 of the Say is correct, since the Abhidhammattha-sangaha (Mm 38.8; Nārada 312.1) states that since the nose-, tongue-, body-, and sex-decads are not found in the world of form, that is the Brahmaloka, at the moment of birth there are four kalāpas, the eye-, ear-, and base-decads, plus the life-ennead: rūpaloke pana ghāna-jivhā-kāva-bhāva-dasakāni ... na labbhanti, tasmā tesam patisandhi-kāle cakkhu-sota-vatthu-vasena tīni dasakāni jīvita-navakañceti cattāro kammasamutthāna-kalāpā ... labbhanti.

182

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Sense-organ of the material element" = vatthu-rūpa: the definition belongs to the vatthu-rūpa of the preceding list (Table 1, § 25), and the characters are nearly the same [PA].

#### Peter Skilling

primaries, form, odour, flavour, contact,<sup>1</sup> life-principle and the sentient eye. This decad is produced together and does not separate. This is called "group" and this is called the eye-decad u...(242,16). Thus should the eye-decad be known. In the same way one should know the ear-decad, the nose-decad, the tongue-decad, the body-decad, femininity-decad, masculinitydecad, life-principle-ennead at length.

121/6

It is possible that the term vathu-rūpa is older than the term hadayavathu. The latter only appears from the time of Buddhaghosa onwards, while vathu-rūpa is employed in the earlier Vimutimagga as well as in later works of the Mahāvihāravīsins.

The importance of vatthu-rupa or hadaya-vatthu in Theravädin philosophy is demonstrated by the fact that it makes up one of the two essential decads that must arise at the moment of birth:

(D 1806; Q 9246) mial gyi skye ba'i skad cig la gzugs sum cu 'byun bar' gyur rol/ dnos po bcu ldan dan' lus bcu ldan dan' gan gi si she bud med na (D ni) bud med kyi dban po bcu ldan dan' yan na skyes par (D skye bar) 'gyur na de'i tshe skyes pa'i dban po bcu ldan dan' ma nin rnams kyi ni gzuga ñi su 'byun par 'gyur e'i 'dii ta ski dhos po bcu ldan dan' lus bcu ldan nol/

Thirty [categories of] form arise at the moment of birth in a womb (gabbha): the base-decad (vatthu-dasaka), the bodydecad (kåya-dasaka), plus, for a female, the femininity-facultydecad (itthindriya-dasaka), or, for a male, the masculinityfaculty-decad (purisindriya-dasaka). For asexuals (napuńsaka) twenty [categories of] form arise [at the moment of birth]: the base-decad and the body-decad.

(Path 243,16) How, through birth ? It should be known by way of a male or female entering a womb. In the first moment hirty material qualities are produced. They are the basis-decad, bodydecad, femininity-decad, masculinity-decad. In the case of a person who is neither a male nor a female, twenty material qualities are produced. They are the basis-decad and the bodydecad.

The same theory is given in the Vibhaiga-atthakathā (Vibh-a p. 22) and the Abhidhammattha-sañgaha (Mm 37,15; Nārada 311,10, gabbhaseyyaka-sattānam pana kāya-bhāva-vatthu-dasaka-saħkhātāni tīņi dasakāni pätubhavanti, tathā pi bhāva-dasakam kadāci na labbhatī).

Vathu-rūpa, along with its opposite avathu-rūpa, is used in another sense in the Päli Abhidhamma, as one of the classifications of form. The Abhidhammatha-sangaha (Mm 34,20; Nārada 296,19) defines the term in this sense as follows:

> Pasāda-hadaya-sankhātam chabbidham pi vatthu-rūpan-nāma, itaram avatthu-rūpan-nāma.

> Form as "base" [for consciousness] is six-fold, consisting of what is called *pasāda* [the five sense bases] and the heart-base. The rest are "form as non-base".

This classification derives from the list of synonyms given for the five sense bases in the Dhammasańgari (§§ 597 foll.): loka, dvära ... khetta, vatthu, etc. The Visuddhimagga (382 § 78; Mm 21,15) notes that the five sense bases are both "base" and "door" (pasäda-rūpam vathhuī c' e'a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Here the Chinese has *phassa* against the *ojā* (*gzi brgyid*) of the Tibetan [PA]. The latter is correct.

## Peter Skilling

dvāranī ca), while the heart-base, which is not given in the Dhammasangani, is a "base" but not a "door" (yam pan' ettha hadayarūpam nāma tam vatthu na dvāram).

After defining the 24 types of derived form accepted by the Mahāvihāravāsins, Buddhaghosa, in his *Visuddhimagga* (381 § 71; Mm 19,10), goes on to say:

Imäni täva päliyam ägatarüpän' eva. Atthakathäyam pana bala-rüpam sambhava-rüpam jäti-rüpam roga-rüpan ti ekaccânam matena middha-rüpan i evam ahiñani pi rüpäni äharitvä "addhä muni 'si sambudaho n' atthi nivaranā tuvä" ti ädini vatvā middha-rüpam täva natthi yevä ti paţikkhitam. Itaresu roga-rüpam jaratā-aniccatā-gahaŋena gahtam eva, jäti-rüpam upacaya-santatiggahaŋena, sambhava-rüpam āpadhtan eva. Izamā tesu ekam pi visum n' atthi ti sanniţhānam gahtam eva. Izamā tesu ekam pi visum n' atthi ti sanniţhānam gatatam. Iti idam catuvīsati-vidham upādāya-rūpam pubbe vutam catubbidha-bidtarūpaī ca ti aţihavīsati-vidham rūpam hoti aninam-anadhikam.

Only this many [types of] form are given in the Päli [i.e. in the Tppitala]<sup>1</sup> In the Afhtachtä, however, other (types of] form are brought in: bala-rūpa, sambhava-rūpa, jäli-rūpa, roga-rūpa, and, in the opinion of some, middha-rūpa. [Because the Abhidhamma states that form is not to be abandoned, apahätabba, while torpor, as one of the hindrances, nīvaraņa, is to be abandoned, as the verse says.]

"Surely you are a sage, fully enlightened:

there are no hindrances in you",1

middha-rūpa, physical torpor, is rejected as simply nonexistent. As for the others, roga-rūpa is included in the categories of decay and impermanence; jati-rūpa, "bith of form" belongs to the categories of growth and continuity; sambhava-rūpa is included under the water-element; and balarūpa is included under the water-element. Therefore it is definitely understood that not one of these exists independently. Thus these 24 types of derived form and the previously mentioned fourfold elemental form make 28 types of form, no more and no less.

From this passage several important conclusions may be drawn. Firstly, the *jäti-räpa* or *räpassa jätl*<sup>2</sup> of the *Vimutitnagga* list was not accepted by the Maĥšviĥarvšins as as separate or distinct entity, although, since it was mentioned in an unnamed *Atjhakathā* it was acceptable as a concept for the growth and continuity of form. (A similar interpretation is given in the *Abhidhammattha-sangaha*, Mm 34,10, Nätrada 286,7, *jäti-räpam eva pan*' ettha upaczya-santati-nämena pavuccaft it.) Secondly, middharräpa, "physical torpor" — described significantly not as from the *Atjhakathā* but as according to "the opinion of some" — was rejected outright.

From this we see that the Vimuttimagga disagrees with the Mahāvihāravāsin tradition on one of the most fundamental categories of the Abhidhamma, the definition of form, by including two extra items: the conventionally acceptable *rāpassa jāti* and the totally unacceptable *middha-rāpa*. The Vimutimagga thereby gives a total of 26 varieties of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As noted above, the sole canonical source, the Dhammasangani, lists only 23.

<sup>1</sup> Citation from Sutta-nipāta v. 541cd.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> I take these two terms to be equivalent. For the gzugs kyi skye ba of the Sav I have given rūpassa jāti on the analogy of the rūpassa upacaya, etc., of the Pāli.

### Peter Skilling

12316

derived form. The Visuddhimagga, however, states emphatically that only 24 varieties are found in the Päli, and that, added to the four great elements, these make a total of 28 constituents of the form aggregate, *no more* and *no* less, against the Vimutimagga total of 30.

Although the Visuddhimagga attibutes the "heresy" of middharipa to the opinion of an unspecified "some" (ekaccânam matena), the Tikă tells us that this refers to the Abhayagiriväsins: ekaccânan ti abhayagiriväsīnam.<sup>1</sup> Thus the inclusion of middha-ripa in both the Chinese version and the Tibetan extracts of the Vimuttimagga is convincing evidence that the Vimuttimagga contains classifications that were categorically rejected by the Mahāvihāra but accepted by the Abhayagiri school.

Following the list of the 30 constituents of the form-aggregate, the Vimutimagga classifies them according to the various categories of the Abhidhamma. The classifications of the three "extra" (from the standpoint of the Dhammasarigant) items of the Vimutimagga list that can be extracted from Daśabalaśrimitra's abridged citation are given in Table 2.

The classification of vatthu-rūpa agrees with that given for hadayavathu in the Visuddhimagga and other Mahāvihāravāsin texts. Thus the Vimuttimagga and the Mahāvihāravāsins agree on these points. Since the latter reject both middha and rūpassa jāti, they do not include them in their scheme of classification.

The classification into upādiņņa, etc., reads as follows:

(D 181a2; Q 93a7) yan gzugs thams cad ni rnam pa gsum ste 'di ltar/ zin pa'i gzugs dan/ ma zin pa'i gzugs dan/ rnam par phye ba'i gzugs so//

 de la las las skyes pas zin pa'i gzugs la dgu ste 'di ltar/ dban po'i gzugs brgyad dan/ dnos po'i no bo'o//

2) las las ma skyes pa'i don gyis ma zin pa'i gzugs la (Q om. la) dgu ni 'di ltar/ sgra dañ/ lus kyi mam par (Q om. rnam par) rig byed dañ/ nag gi rnam par rig byed (Q rnam rig only) dan/ gzugs kyi yah ba ñid dañ/ gzugs kyi 'jam pa ñid dañ/ las su ruh ba dañ rga ba dañ/ mi rtag pa dañ/ gñid do//

3) gñi ga yin pa'i don gyis rnam par phye ba'i gzugs la bcu gñis ni 'di ltar/ lhag ma gzugs bcu gñis so//

Furthermore, all form (sabbam rūpam) is of three types: upādiņņa-rūpa, anupādiņņa-rūpa,<sup>1</sup> and \*vibhatta-rūpa.<sup>2</sup>

 Herein, upādiņņa-rūpa, which arises from kamma (kammaja), is of 9 [types]: the 8 [types of] form which are faculties

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Paramatthamaňjusāya nāma Visuddhimagga-samvannanāya Mahāţikāsammatāya tatiyo bhāgo, Mahāmakuţarājavidyālaya, Bangkok, 2508 [1965], p. 48,2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Zin pa-ma zin pa are the regular Tibetan equivalents of the technical terms upätta-anupätta of the Valbhäsikas. As noted by Karunadasa (1967, pp. 103 foll), upätta-anupätta as employed in the Abhidharmakosh alwa a different meaning from the upäälinpa-anupäälinpa of the Theravišin Abhidharma. However, since the classifications and definitions as kammaja, etc., agree with those of the Fäli, and since zin pa, "grasped, appropriated", etc., means the same as upäälinpa, there can be no doubt that these are the correct equivalents in this context.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Rnam par physe ba is the usual Tibetan equivalent of vibbajya; Hirakawa et al. (p. 157) also give wibbakta, wipañcita, and vipukta; Yuanguchi (p. 129) gives vikalpitu, vibhäga; Mvy 6638 vicita. The PTSD (p. 629) has "divided, distributed, parted, partitioned, having divisions ..." for vibbatta, since it does not scenn to be a technical term in Päli, this is a tentative equivalent.

## Peter Skilling

(*indriya-rūpa*: eye, ear, nose, tongue, body, femininity, masculinity, life) plus *vatthu-rūpa*.

2) In the sense of not arising from kamma (akamma-jaaithena), anupādiņņa-rūpa is of 9 [types]: sound (sadda), bodily expression (kāya-viñātatī), vocal expression (vacīviñātatī), lightness of form (rūpassa lahutā), plasticity of form (rūpassa mudutā), wieldiness (kammañātatā), decay (jarā), impermanence, (aniccatā), and torpor (middha).

3) In the sense of being both (ubhayatthena), \*vibhatta-rūpa is of 12 types, that is, the remaining 12 [types of] form.

The Chinese version as given in the *Path* (244,28), while revealing some difficulties in translation, agrees with the Sav:

All material qualities can be divided into three kinds. They are non-material qualities and arrested material qualities.<sup>1</sup>

1) Here nine material qualities are feeling [upādinna, PA]. They are the eight faculties and the material basis, because they are produced owing to kamma-result.

2) Nine material qualities are<sup>2</sup> the sense-object of sound, bodyintimation, speech-intimation, buoyancy of matter, impressibility of matter, workability of matter, decay of matter, impermanency of matter and torpidity. These are not produced through kammaresult.

3) The other twelve material qualities are breakable ones because they have two kinds of significance (?).<sup>1</sup>

The classification into upādiņņa-anupādiņņa is given only as a dukamātikā in the Dhammaznigani (§§ 585, 651-54); however, as the group from rāpāyutana to kabaļinkāra āhāra is given under both categories, this implies the third \*vibhatta category of the Vimutimagga. The itemisation of the Vimutimagga and the Dhammazangani is otherwise identical, except, of course, that the former adds vatthu-rūpa, rūpassa jāt, and midha,

The passage on sabhāva-rūpa, etc., reads as follows:

(D 181a7; Q 93b6) yan gzugs thams cad la rnam pa lha<sup>2</sup> ni 'di ltar ran bitin gyi gzugs dah' rnam par 'gyur ba'i gzugs dah' mtshan hid kyi (Q om. kyi) gzugs dah' yohs su chad pa'i gzugs so//

1) de la yoñs su rázogs pa'i don gyis rah bžin gyi gzugs la dbye ba bcu dgu (D dgu bcu [!]) ste' 'äi ltar/ gan rags pa'i gzugs su gzuß ba'i bcu gñis po de dan/ bud med kyi dban po dan' skyes pa'i dbah po dan' srog gi dbah po dan' chu'i khams dan/ kham gyi zas dan'i dhos po'i no bo dan' gñid (Q ñid) do//

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Path garbles the text. "They are upādinna, anupadinna, and 'perishable'" [PA]. The last, "perishable" presumably translates a form in BHANJ against the BHAJ of the Tibetan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Nine material qualities are anupādiņņa:" ... [PA]. The Path omits anupādiņņa.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The uncertainty is expressed by the translators of the Path. The Chinese agrees with the Tibetan: "in the sense of being both" (ubhayatthena) [PA]. That is, the items of the last category are both upādiņņa and anupādiņņa.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The text states "five (*lna*)", but lists only four, as do the *Path* and the *Visuddhimagga*; thus "five" must be an error.

## Peter Skilling

2) ran bảin gyi gzugs rnam par 'gyur ba'i don gyis rnam par 'gyur ba'i gzugs la bảun ni 'di liar/ lus kyi rnam par rig byed dan' hag gi rnam par rig byed dan' gzugs kyi yah ba ñid dan' gzugs kyi 'jam pa ñid dan' las su run ba ñid dan' gzugs kyi 'phel ba dan' gzugs kyi rgyud do//

125/6

3) 'dus byas kyi don gyis (Q adds na) mtshan ñid kyi gzugs la gsum ni 'di ltar/ gzugs kyi skye ba dan/ gzugs kyi rga ba dan/ gzugs kyi mi rtag pa'o//

4) tshogs pa yohs su chad pa'i don gyis yohs su chad pa'i grugs geig ni 'di liar' nam mkha'i khams so/' 'dir rah bin gyi grugs gan yin pa de (D de'i) yohs su chad pa yin gyi lhag ma ni yohs su ma chad pa'o//

Furthermore, all form (sabbam rūpam) is of four<sup>1</sup> types: intrinsic form (sabhāva-rūpa), transforming form (vikārarūpa), characterising form (lakkhana-rūpa), and delimiting form (pariccheda-rūpa).

 Herein, in the sense of being absolute (parinipphannat;thena),<sup>2</sup> there are 19 categories (bheda) of intrinsic form: the 12 that have been taught as coarse form (olārika-rāpa), the femininity-faculty, the masculinity-faculty, the life-faculty, the water-element (āpo-dhārih), nutriment (kabalnikār-aĥāra), wathr-rāpa, and torpor (middha). 2) In the sense of transforming intrinsic form (sabhāva-rāpavipariŋamanatithena),<sup>1</sup> transforming form is of 7 [types]: bodily expression, vocal expression, lightness of form, plasticity of form, wieldiness, growth of form, and continuity of form.

3) In the sense of being conditioned (sankhatatthena), characterising form is of three [types]: birth of form, decay of form, and impermanence of form.

4) In the sense of delimiting an aggregation (kalāpaparicchedanathena)<sup>2</sup> there is one delimiting form: the spaceelement (ākāsa-dhātu). Herein, essential form is delimited (paricchinna); the remainder (sesa) are not delimited (aparicchinna).

(Path 245,8) Again, all material qualities are of four kinds, by way of intrinsic nature of matter [sabhāva-rūpa, PA], material form, material characteristics [lakhhaŋa-rūpa, PA], and delimitation of matter [pariccheda-rūpa, PA]<sup>3</sup>

1) Here 19 material qualities are intrinsic [sabhāva, PA]. They are the 12 gross material qualities, femininity, masculinity, life-

<sup>1</sup> See preceding note.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Yon's su rdzogs pa = parinispanna, paripürna, etc., Hirakawa et al. p. 262. While nipphanna is the preferred term in the Päli Abhidhamma, parinipphanna is also used, for example in the Atthasälini? cf. Karunadasa 1967, p. 42.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is tentative: rnam par 'gyur ba = viparināma, vikāra, Hirakawa et al. pp. 155-56; vikrti, Yamaguchi p. 128.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Tshogs (pa) = kalāpa, samghāta, samudāya, samūha, sāmagrī, etc., Hirakawa et al. p. 225: the reference is to the Abhidhammic atom, rūpa-kalāpa, for which see Karunadasa 1967, Ch. VIII, and especially p. 152, "Every rūpa-kalāpa is delimited (paricchindate) by the environing ākāsa, space".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The first term, sabhāva-rāpa, is clear. The second might be equivalent to vikāra-or viparingamana-rāpa. The third should be "characterising form" ((akhana-rāpa) rather than the "material characteristics" of the Pah, and the fourth "delimiting form" (pariccheda-rāpa) rather than "delimitation of matter" [PA].

## Peter Skilling

principle, element of water, solid food, material basis, and material quality of eye,<sup>1</sup> because they limit (?).<sup>2</sup>

2) Seven material qualities are material form. They are bodyintimation, speech-intimation, buoyancy of matter, impressibility of matter, workability of matter, integration of matter, continuity of matter, and intrinsic nature of matter, because they change.<sup>3</sup>

 Three material qualities are material characteristics [lakkhanarūpa, PA]. They are birth of matter, decay of matter, and impermanency of matter, because they are conditioned.

4) One material quality is delimitation of matter [paricchedaripa, PA]. It is space-element, because it defines the groups.<sup>4</sup> Here, through intrinsic nature one discriminates, not through the others.<sup>5</sup> A similar fourfold classification is given in the *Visuddhimagga* (382 § 77; Mm 21,12):

Nipphanna-rūpam pan' ettha rūpa-rūpam nāma ākāsa-dhātu pariccheda-rūpam nāma kāyavihīnatti ādi kammanīnatāpariyantam vikāra-rūpam nāma jāti-jarā-bhangam lakkhaņarūpam nāmā ti evam rūparūpādi-catukka-vasena catubbidham.

Absolute form [18 items: 4 elements, 13 starting with the eye, plus nutriment] is "form as form"; the space-element is "delimiting form"; from bodily expression to wieldiness are "transforming form"; birth, decay, and destruction are "characterising form". Thus, form is fourfold through the four groups starting with "form as form".

The *rāpa-rāpa* of Buddhaghosa is equivalent to the *sahháva-rāpa* of the *Vimutimagga* and consists of the same items, except that the latter adds middha. Buddhaghosa equates *rāpa-rāpa* vitā ni *hāphanna-rāpa*, which he defines as *sabhāveneva pariggahetabbo*, "to be comprehended in its intrinsic nature". At a later date, Anuruddha, in his *Abhidhammatihasangaha* (Mm 34,5; Nārada 285,22), gives *sabhāva-rāpa* as the preferred name for this category, thus agreeing with the *Vimutimagga*.<sup>1</sup>

D. A note on the heart-basis in the Vijñaptimātratāsiddhi

To return to the theory of the heart basis, we may note that it was also known to other North Indian sources, for example the *Abhidharmakośavyākhyā* of Yaśomitra and the *Vijňaptimātratāsiddhi* of Hsūan-tsang.<sup>2</sup> In

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Material quality of eye" in fact represents middha, as in the Tibetan. One of the Chinese terms for middha is the character for "eye": see Akira Hirakawa et al., Index to the Abhidharmakošabhåşya (Peking Edition), Part One, Sanskrit-Tibetan-Chinese, Tokyo, 1973, p. 295 [PA].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The uncertainty is expressed by the translators of the Path. The character rendered as "limit (9)" also means "definite", "ultimate" (atyonia, accand); see William Edward Soothill and Lewis Hodous, A Dictionary of Chinese Buddhist Terms, [London, 1937] Delhi, 1987, 361a [PA]. The definition is probably equal to the Tibetam, "the sense of being absolute".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Chinese lists only the seven items of the Tibetan. "Intrinsic nature of matter" (= sabhāva-rūpa) belongs to the concluding statement, which agrees roughly with the Tibetan [PA].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> "Because it defines the groups" = "in the sense of delimiting an aggregation" of the Tibetan; the Päli here would also be *kalāpa-paricchedanatthena* [PA].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The Chinese of this sentence again corresponds exactly to the Tibetan: "Herein, essential form is delimited (*paricchinna*); the remainder (*sesa*) are not delimited (*aparicchinna*)" [PA].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> cf. Karunadasa 1967, pp. 42 foll. for a thorough study of the concept of *nipphanna-rūpa* and its implications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For a discussion of Yasomitra's reference, see Skilling 1993B.

#### 197

Herein, in the sense of exercising sovereignty (adhipatiyatthena), there are 8 [types of] form as faculty: the eye, ear, nose, tongue, and body, the femininity-faculty, masculinity-faculty, and life-faculty. In the sense of not possessing faculties<sup>4</sup> there are 22 [types of] form that are nonfaculty, that is, the remaining (types of] form.

(Path 244,21) And again, there are two kinds. They are faculty and non-faculty.<sup>2</sup> Here 8 material qualities are faculty. They are the five internals (possibly, five sentient organs),<sup>3</sup> the faculty of femininity, of masculinity, and life; they are so because of dependence. The other 22 are non-faculty, because they are nondependent.

For the Mahāvihāra, this distinction goes back to the Dhammasangaņi (§§ 661-62):

Katamam tam rūpam indriyam? Cakkhu-indriyam sotindriyam ghānindriyam jivhindriyam kāyindriyam itthindriyam purisindriyam jīvitindriyam, idam tam rūpam indriyam.

Katamam tam rūpam na indriyam? Rupāyatanam ... pe ... kabaļinkāro āhāro, idam tam rūpam na indriyam.

#### Peter Skilling

the latter, the theory of the heart-basis, without being named as such, is attributed to the Sthaviras:

Les Sthaviras disent qu'il y a dans la poitrine un  $r\overline{u}pa$ , un  $r\overline{u}padravya$ , analogue a l'oeil, etc., qui sert d'*indriya* au manovijhāna.<sup>1</sup>

12716

The Sthaviras say that there is within the bosom of every sentient being a *rūpa*, a *rūpadravya*, something substantial, analogous to the eye, etc., which serves as the *indriya* of manovij $\overline{n}a.^2$ 

If the use of the Sanskrit technical term *indriya* here is correct, it does not agree with either the *Vimuttimagga* or the Mahāvihāra tradition. For the former we have the following passage:

> (D 1811; Q 935) gian yan gaugs la rnam pa giñs ni 'di ltar/ dbañ po'i gaugs dañ/ dbañ po min pa'i gaugs sol/ de la bdag po'i don gyis (Q gyi) dbañ po'i gaugs la bryada ni 'di ltar/ mig dañ/ rna ba dañ/ sna dañ/ lce dañ/ lus dañ/ bud med kyi dbañ po dañ/ skyes pa'i dbañ po dan/ srog gi dbañ po's// dbañ po dañ mi ldan pa'i don gyis dbañ po min pa'i gaugs la ñi sú ñer giñs te 'di ltar//gaugs lhag ma rnams so//

Furthermore, there are two types of form: form as faculty (*indriya-rūpa*) and form as non-faculty (*anindriya-rūpa*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Dbah po dah mi ldan pa'i don gyis: it is possible that dbah po = indriya (in both D and Q) is a mistake for bdag po = adhipati, as in the definition of indrivarūpa.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> As noted by the translators of the Path (p. 244, note 1), the Chinese in fact has "Lit. Life-faculty and non-life-faculty" [jivitindriya, ajivitindriya, PA] throughout. It is clear that the term equals the indriya of the Tibetan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This is the translators' parenthesis. As seen from the Tibetan, the reference is to the five "internal" faculties; the eye, ear, nose, tongue, and body.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Louis de La Vallée Poussin (tr.), Vijňaptimätratāsiddhi, la Siddhi de Hiuan-Tsang, Vol. I, Paris, 1928, p. 281.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Wei Tat (tr.), Ch'eng Wei-Shih Lun, The Doctrine of Mere-Consciousness, Hong Kong, 1976, p. 327. Although Wei Tat's version is purportedly rendered directly from the Chinese, in the present case it seems to be dependent on La Valleé Poussin, particularly for the Sanskrit terms.

198

Peter Skilling As mentioned above, however, the Dhammasangani does not include hadaya-vatthu in its list of form. That it is not indriya-rūpa is made clear by the Visuddhimagga (381 § 73; Mm 20,14):

> Pasädarūpam [= cakkhādi pañcavidham rūpam] eva itthindriyādittayena saddhim adhipatiyatthena indriyam, sesam tato viparītattā anindrivam.

> Just the form of the [5] sense-organs together with the three starting with the femininity-faculty are faculty, in the sense of exercising sovereignty; the remaining [22 faculties] are nonfaculty for the opposite reason [that is, because they do not exercise sovereignty].

I may note here that the adhipativatthena of the Visuddhimagga is directly equivalent to the bdag po'i don gyis of the Sav. Again, we find the same classification in the Abhidhammattha-sangaha (Mm 35,2; Nārada 296,23):

> Pasāda-bhāva-jīvita-sankhātam atthavidham pi indriyarūpam, itaram anindrivarūpam.

> Form as faculty is eightfold: that known as the [5] sense-organs, the [2] sex-faculties, and the life-faculty. The rest are form as non-faculty.

Thus it is clear that for both the Vimuttimagga and the Mahāvihāra vatthu-rupa or hadava-vatthu was anindriva-rupa. An interesting explanation for this is put forward by Y. Karunadasa, who writes that unlike the sense-organs, the hadava-vatthu "is not an indriva, Because of this reason, although mano and mano-viññāna have hadaya-vatthu as their basis, they are not controlled by it in the sense that the relative

strength or weakness of the latter does not influence the former. Since mental culture is a central theme in Buddhism, the scholiasts seem to have taken the view that it is not proper to conceive mano and mano-viññāna as controlled by the hadava-vatthu, although the latter is recognised as the physical basis of the former".1

#### E. Conclusions

There is on-going debate about the school affiliation of the Vimuttimagga. While it is accepted that the text belongs to the broader Theravadin tradition, there is disagreement as to whether or not it can be associated with the Abhayagirivasins.2 My own conclusion --- based primarily on the sections of the Vimuttimagga discussed in this article --is that it may indeed be associated with that school. My evidence and arguments are as follows:

1) The Vimuttimagga clearly belongs to the Theravadin tradition, and therefore should belong to either the Mahavihara, the Abhavagiri, or the Jetavanīva school.

2) The Vimuttimagga cannot have been transmitted by the post-Buddhaghosa Mahāvihāra, since it disagrees with the texts of that school on a number of points, such as the important definition of one of the four elements and the inclusion of rupassa jati and middha as an elemental form.3 The passages translated above or given in Table 2 on the classification of rupassa jati and middha-rupa show that they are fully

<sup>1</sup> Karunadasa 1967, p. 65,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Norman 1983, p. 29 and accompanying note, and pp. 159-60. The most recent contribution to the debate is Norman 1991, pp. 41-50, which gives an extensive bibliography.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For other points on which the Vimuttimagga disagrees with the Mahāvihāra, see P.V. Bapat, Vimuktimärga Dhutaguna-nirdeśa, Bombay, 1964, pp. xviiixix.

## Peter Skilling

129/18

integrated into the system of the Vimuttimagga. Furthermore, while the Mahāvihāra rejected middharāpa categorically, in the Vimuttimagga it is classified as a sabhāva-rāpa, the most substantial type of derived form, thus placing it ontologically on a par with the four elements, the five sense-bases, and the five sense-objects.

3) None of this information is new, since it has long been available in the Chinese Vimutiimagga itself and in English translation in the Path. However, the fact that the material on middha-rāpa is confirmed perfectly by a North Indian text in Tibetan translation has not been previously noted. The inclusion and description of middha-rāpa as a type of derived form in the Vimutimagga is thus solidly based on two versions separated by thousands of kilometres and about six centuries.

4) These are not, as suggested by some scholars, minor points.<sup>1</sup> According to the Theravădin Abhidhamma tradition, there are four ultimates (paramatitha): mind (citta), mental states (cetasika), form (rūpa), and nibbāna.<sup>2</sup> When the Vimuttimagga disagrees with the Mahāvihāra tradition on the definitions of both constituents of one of these ultimates, form — of the four basic elements and of derived form — this is a major point of contention. The fact that Buddhaghosa takes pains to discuss rūpassa jāti and middha-rūpa in his Visuddhimagga, and that he is so emphatic about the numbers of types of derived form, iself shows that this was a controversial point. 5) Buddhaghosa attributes the theory of middha-rūpa to an anonymous "some"; the *Tikā* specifies that this refers to the adherents of the Abhayagiri tradition, which eliminates the Jetavaniyas. This statement may, of course, be wrong, since no commentator is infallible. However, since the author of the *Tikā* was a learned Theravādin monk writing in Ceylon, where we know that the different schools lived in close proximity, I see no basis for reasonable doubt, and assume that he is correct in attributing the theory of middha-rūpa to the Abhayagin!

6) It is sometimes suggested that the Vimutimagga cannot belong to the Abhayagiri because it shows no sign of Mahāyā minfluence. This is beside the point: as an Abhidhammic meditation manual, there is no reason that it should. Monks of the Abhayagiri tradition who practised the Mahāyāna, would have been defined as Abhayagirivāsin by their Vinaya lineage; whether or not they composed their own "Mahāyānis" texts cannot be said, but they would certainly not have tampered with the ancient literature of the school. At any rate, Bechert (1992) has shown that "Mahāyānist" ideas are present in such Mahāvānist retxts as the Buddhavamsa, Cariyāpiņaka, and Buddhāpadāna: the absence or presence of such ideas tells us nothing about school-affiliation within the greater Theravidin lineage.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See for example Näŋamoli, Introduction p. xxviii: "That [the Vimutimagga] contains some minor points accepted by the Abhayagiri Monatery does not necessarily imply that it had any special connexion with that centre ... the disputed points are not schismatica!". Näŋamoll's statement is cited and approved at Path xxvii; see also Path xxviii-xxiii.

<sup>2</sup> Abhidhammatthasangaha, Mm 1,6, Närada 6,10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The authors of the *Tikäs* certainly had access to Vaibhäjika texts — which are paraphrased in Pill in some of their works (for example, Vaibhäjika explanations of the number and order of the 22 faculties [*indriya*] given in the *Visuddhimegga-fika*, *Vibhanga-anufika*, and *Abhidhammattha-vibhävia*] — and I see no reason to doubt that they had direct access to to Abhayagiri works. Reference to philosophical opponents as "some" ar "or "others" would rarely if ever suggest that a writer did not know the name or school of his opponents: rather it was a matter of protocol, widely followed in Sanskrit Buddhist texts of all periods. In both the Pill and Sanskrit tradition, it was left to the commentators to name the opponents if they so chose.



I therefore conclude that the Vimuttimagga, which asserts the existence of a type of intrinsic form, sabhāva-rūpa, called middha, was a manual transmitted by the Abhayagiri school within the greater Theravādin tradition.<sup>1</sup> I use the word "transmitted" advisedly: there is no evidence to date that Upatissa was a native of Ceylon or that he composed his only surviving work at the Abhayagiri Vihāra. The Vimuttimagga may have been composed elsewhere in Ceylon, in India, or perhaps even Southeast Asia

Peter Skilling

Who transmitted the Vinuttimagga in India ? This is an open question. I can only note that Dasabalašrimitra attributes his citations to the Sthavinss — whether those of Ceylon or of India cannot be said.<sup>2</sup> According to *L'Inde classique* (§ 2147), the Vinutimagga was translated from a manuscript brought to China in about 502 by another monk of Funan. Unfortunately, no source is given. If the information can be shown to be reliable, this would be important evidence for the presence of non-Mahavihar Theravida in South-east Asia at an early date.

#### Bangkok

Peter Skilling

Abbreviations and Bibliography

References to Pali texts are to the editions of the Pali Text Society, with standard abbreviations, unless otherwise noted.

D Derge (sDe dge) edition of the Tibetan Tanjur ("Karmapa reprint", copy courtesy Prof. H. Bechert, Göttingen) KBC Lewis L. Lancaster in collaboration with Sung-bae Park, The Korean Buddhist Canon: A Descriptive Catalogue, Berkeley, 1979

Mm Thai script edition(s) of Mahāmakutarājavidyālaya, Bangkok

Mvy R. Sakaki (ed.), Mahāvyutpatti, Kyöto, 1926 [repr. Suzuki Research Foundation, Tökyö, n. d.]

- [PA] Comments on the Chinese text by Dr. Prapod Assavavirulhakarn (see note 1 on p. 4)
- Path N.R.M. Ehara, Soma Thera, and Kheminda Thera, The Path of Freedom (Vimuttimagga), [Colombo, 1961] Kandy, 1977
- Q Peking (Qianlong) edition of the Tibetan Tanjur ("Otani reprint")
- Sav 'Dus byas dan 'dus ma byas rnam par nes pa. D 3897, Vol. 108, dbu ma, ha; Q 5865, Vol. 146, no mishar bstan bcos, ño.
- T Taishō edition of the Chinese Tripitaka
- Abhidhammattha-sangaha: Thai script edition, Mahāmakuţarājavidyālaya, Bangkok, 2516 [1973]; Nārada Mahā Thera (ed., tr.), A Manual of Abhidhamma, being Abhidhammattha Sangaha of Bhadanta Anuruddhācariya, 5th. ed., Kuala Lumpur, 1987
- Bareau, André, 1955: Les sectes bouddhiques du Petit Véhicule (Publications de l'École française d'Extrême-Orient XXXVIII), Paris
- Bechert, Heinz, 1992: "Buddha-field and Transfer of Merit in a Theravāda Source", Indo-Iranian Journal 35, pp. 95–108

Hirakawa, Akira et. al., 1978: Index to the Abhidharmakośabhāsya

(Peking Edition), Part Three, Tibetan-Sanskrit, Tokyo Karunadasa, Y., 1967: Buddhist Analysis of Matter, Colombo

For references to other works considered by some to be affiliated with the Abhayagiri see Norman 1983 and 1991 and Skilling 1993A.
 For the question of the Sthavira presence in India, see Skilling 1987 and 1993B.

5

(

.

- Lévi, Sylvain, 1915: "Le catalogue géographique des Yakşa dans la Mahāmāyūri", Journal asiatique, onzième série, tome V, pp. 19-138
- Nāņamoli, Bhikkhu: The Path of Purification (Visuddhimagga) by Bhadantācariya Buddhaghosa, Kandy, 1975
- Norman, K.R., 1983: Pāli Literature (Jan Gonda, [ed.], A History of Indian Literature, Vol. VII, fasc. 2), Wiesbaden
- Norman, K.R., 1991: "The Literary Works of the Abhayagirivihārins", in V.N. Jha (ed.), Kalyāna-mitta: Professor Hajime Nakamura Felicitation Volume, Delhi, pp. 41-50
- Skilling, Peter, 1987: "The Samskrtāsamskrta-viniścaya of Daśabalaśrimitra", Buddhist Studies Review, 4/1, pp. 3-23
- Skilling, Peter, 1993A: "A Citation from the \*Buddhavamsa of the Abhayagiri School", JPTS XVIII, pp. 165-75
- Skilling, Peter, 1993B: "Theravādin Literature in Tibetan Translation", JPTS XIX, pp. 69–201
- Yamaguchi, Susumu, 1974: Index to the Prasannapadā Madhyamakavrtti, Part Two, Tibetan-Sanskrit, Kyoto

## Table 1: The 26 types of derived form<sup>1</sup>

	ertāsamskrta-vinišcaya 9a5; Q 90b8)	The Path of Freedom (238,12)			
•1.	mig cakkhu eye	The sense organs of 1. eye			
*2.	rna ba sota ear	2. ear			
*3.	sna · ghāna nose	3. nose			
*4.	lce jivhā tongue	4. tongue			
*5.	lus kāya body	5. body			
*6.	gzugs rūpa [visible] form	6. matter as sense-object			
*7.	sgra sadda sound	7. sound as sense-object			

1 An asterisk indicates that an item is given in the Dhammasangani list (§ 596).

206	Peter	Skilling	(32/6		The form-aggregate accor	ding to the Vimuttimagga 2	:07		
*8.	<i>dri</i> gandha odour	8. odour as sense-object	100 A	*16.	gzugs kyi yan ba ñid² rūpassa lahutā lightness of form	16. buoyancy of matter			
*9.	ro rasa taste	9. taste as sense-object		*17.	gzugs kyi 'jam pa ñid rūpassa mudutā plasticity of form	17. impressibility of matter			
-	(reg pa) (phassa) (contact)	_		*18.	gzugs kyi las su run ba ñid rūpassa kammaññatā wieldiness of form	18. adaptability of matter			
*10.	bud med kyi dban po itthindriya femininity-faculty	10. femininity		*19.	gzugs kyi 'phel ba <sup>3</sup> rūpassa upacaya growth of form	19. integration of matter			
*11.	skyes pa'i dban po purisindriya masculinity-faculty	11. masculinity		*20.	gzugs kyi rgyud rūpassa santati continuity of form	20. continuity of matter			
*12.	srog gi dban po jīvitindriya life-faculty	12. life-principle		21.	gzugs kyi skye ba <sup>4</sup> rūpassa jāti birth of form	21. arising of matter <sup>5</sup>			
*13.	<i>lus kyi rig byed kāyaviññatti</i> bodily expression	13. body-intimation		<sup>2</sup> D spath for yan. <sup>3</sup> D spath for yan. <sup>3</sup> Also at Q 91b1, 94a2. 'Phel ba, increase, development, growth, etc., is given as the equivalent of Sanskrit upacaya at Mvy 7437, and in Yamaguchi, p. 145. Other equivalents include viriadhi, vivardhana, vrddhi, caya, etc. The Visuddhimagga (380 § 67, Mm III 18,10) gives vaddhi as a synonym of upacaya "according to the Atthushathi". Both Nhamoli (p. 489) and Karunadasa (1967, pp. 78, etc.) translate upacaya as "growth". <sup>4</sup> Also at Q 91b1, 942. <sup>5</sup> "Arrising of matter" is omitted here in the English translation (Path, 238,17) but found in the Chines of the Tasish edition (445c22). It is given at Path 240,25, where it is defined as "the traising of matter", 242,5,28 ("birth"), 245,17 ("birth of matter").					
*14.	nag gi rig byed vacīviññātti vocal expression	14. speech-intimation							
*15.	nam mkha'i khams ākāsadhātu space element	15. element of space							

<ul> <li>*22. grugs kyi rgu ba<sup>6</sup> 22. decay of matter ripassa jara[12] decay of form</li> <li>*23. grugs kyi mi rtag pa 23. impermanency of matter ripassa anicc(1a] impermanence of form</li> <li>*24. kham kyi zas<sup>7</sup> 24. solid food kabalikhära-ahdra nutriment</li> <li>25. dhos po 'i ho bo<sup>8</sup> 25. the basis of the material element vathwrīpa form as base</li> <li>26. grita<sup>9</sup> 26. the material quality of torpor (middha-rūpa) middha torpor</li> <li>26. grita<sup>9</sup> 26. the material quality of torpor (middha-rūpa) middha torpor</li> <li>27. Alto at (9 16), 538, 50. Ros po = varu, padarha, bhāva (Yamaguchi, p. 41), 810, bhāva et al. p. 50. No bo = rāpa (% 100, 130, 130, 938, 201, 130, 938, 201, 100, 130, 938, 201, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100</li></ul>	208	Peter Skilling	133/6		11	ejon	n-aggregate act	coraing to in	e v muuma
<ul> <li>25. dhas po'i ho bo<sup>4</sup></li> <li>25. the basis of the material element wathurūpa form as base</li> <li>26. griūd<sup>3</sup></li> <li>26. the material quality of torpor (middha-rūpa) middha torpor</li> <li>middha torpor</li> <li><sup>6</sup> Same at Q 93b1, 9443 but grugs ky rāins pa at 91b2.</li> <li><sup>7</sup> Also at Q 91b1, 9398.</li> <li><sup>8</sup> Also at Q 91a6, 93a8, 93b8. Daos po vastu, padārha, bhāva (Yamaguchi, pr. 41-44); May 193, 949, etc.; Hirākawa et al. p. 50. No bo = rāpa (Yamaguchi, p. 41), sko bāka (Kirākwa et al. p. 50. No bo = rāpa (Yamaguchi, p. 41), sko bāka (Kirākwa et al. p. 50. No bo = rāpa (Yamaguchi, p. 41), sko bāka (Kirākwa et al. p. 50. No bo = rāpa (Yamaguchi, p. 41), sko bāka (Kirākava et al. p. 50. No bo = rāpa (Yamaguchi, p. 41), sko bāka (Kirākwa et al. p. 51, p. 1130b.</li> <li><sup>6</sup> Same at Q 91a6, 93a8, 93b8. Daos po vastu, padārha, bhāva (Yamaguchi, p. 61), sko thu white both Sav and the Paān place vathurūpa bres as § 25, the Visudalhimagga places the equivalent hadyarauthu betwas § \$12 and 13.</li> <li><sup>6</sup> Same at Q 93b1, 940; etc.; Hirākawa et al. p. 50. No ba = rāpa (Yamaguchi, p. 41), sko bāka (Kirākawa et al. p. 50. No ba = rāpa (Yamaguchi, p. 61), sko thu white both Sav and the Paān place vathurūpa bres as § 25, the Visudalhimagga places the equivalent hadyarauthu betwas (§ 12 and 13.</li> </ul>	rūpassa jara[tā]	22. decay of matter				zas	<i>muțthāna</i> nind,		
<ul> <li>25. dhas po'i ho bo<sup>4</sup></li> <li>25. the basis of the material element wathurūpa form as base</li> <li>26. griūd<sup>3</sup></li> <li>26. the material quality of torpor (middha-rūpa) middha torpor</li> <li>middha torpor</li> <li><sup>6</sup> Same at Q 93b1, 9443 but grugs ky rāins pa at 91b2.</li> <li><sup>7</sup> Also at Q 91b1, 9398.</li> <li><sup>8</sup> Also at Q 91a6, 93a8, 93b8. Daos po vastu, padārha, bhāva (Yamaguchi, pr. 41-44); May 193, 949, etc.; Hirākawa et al. p. 50. No bo = rāpa (Yamaguchi, p. 41), sko bāka (Kirākwa et al. p. 50. No bo = rāpa (Yamaguchi, p. 41), sko bāka (Kirākwa et al. p. 50. No bo = rāpa (Yamaguchi, p. 41), sko bāka (Kirākwa et al. p. 50. No bo = rāpa (Yamaguchi, p. 41), sko bāka (Kirākava et al. p. 50. No bo = rāpa (Yamaguchi, p. 41), sko bāka (Kirākwa et al. p. 51, p. 1130b.</li> <li><sup>6</sup> Same at Q 91a6, 93a8, 93b8. Daos po vastu, padārha, bhāva (Yamaguchi, p. 61), sko thu white both Sav and the Paān place vathurūpa bres as § 25, the Visudalhimagga places the equivalent hadyarauthu betwas § \$12 and 13.</li> <li><sup>6</sup> Same at Q 93b1, 940; etc.; Hirākawa et al. p. 50. No ba = rāpa (Yamaguchi, p. 41), sko bāka (Kirākawa et al. p. 50. No ba = rāpa (Yamaguchi, p. 61), sko thu white both Sav and the Paān place vathurūpa bres as § 25, the Visudalhimagga places the equivalent hadyarauthu betwas (§ 12 and 13.</li> </ul>	rūpassa anicca[tā		natter	ı jāti	jāti	lan sems dan	un tu ostan ou 19b3 itta-āhāra-sa me, kamma, t t		
<sup>6</sup> Same at Q 93b1, 943 but grugs kyt räins pa at 91b2. <sup>7</sup> Also at Q 91b1, 93b8. <sup>8</sup> Also at Q 91b1, 93b8. <sup>8</sup> Also at Q 91b1, 93b8. <sup>9</sup> Also (Y) Wy 793, 949, etc.; Hirakawa et al. p. 50. No bo = ripa (Yamaguchi, p. 41), also bhäva (Hirakawa et al., p. 49). Note that while both Sav and the Path place wathwripa here as $\frac{3}{2}$ 3, the Visualdhimagga places the equivalent Adaparatithu Evene §12 and 13.	kabaļinkāra-āhāro			and rūpasso	C. Rüpassa	dus dan las c	Q 91a8, D 17 Q 91a8, D 17 utu-kamma-c arisen from ti and nutrimen	idem	idem
<sup>6</sup> Same at Q 93b1, 943 but grugs kyt räins pa at 91b2. <sup>7</sup> Also at Q 91b1, 93b8. <sup>8</sup> Also at Q 91b1, 93b8. <sup>8</sup> Also at Q 91b3, 93b8. Dies po = vastu, padärtha, bhāva (Yamaguchi, Production Production Pro	vatthurūpa	25. the basis of the mat	terial element	īpa, middha,		zas kyis	a nuțțhăna ature, mind,		
Same at Q 93b1, 94a3 but grugs kyt räins pa at 91b2. <sup>7</sup> Also at Q 91b1, 93b8. <sup>8</sup> Also at Q 91b1, 93b8. <sup>8</sup> Also at Q 91b1, 93b8. <sup>9</sup> Also at Q 91b1, 93b8. <sup>9</sup> Also at Q 91b1,	-			vatthu-ri	ha	ems dan 1	u ostan od 179b3 Thāra-san 1 tempera nent		
<sup>6</sup> Same at Q 93b1, 94a3 but grugs kyt räiks pa at 91b2. <sup>7</sup> Also at Q 91b1, 93b8. <sup>8</sup> Also at Q 91b1, 93b8. <sup>8</sup> Also at Q 91b1, 93b8. <sup>9</sup> Also at Q 91b3, 93b8. Dios po = vastu, padäriha, bhäva (Yamaguchi, <sup>9</sup> Also at Q 91b1, 93b8. <sup>9</sup> Also at Q 91b1, 93b8. <sup>9</sup> Also at Q 91b1, 93b8. <sup>9</sup> Also at Q 91b1, 93b8.				ssification of	B. Midd	dus dan s	Ayus Aun Id Q 91a7, D utu-citta-i arisen froi and nutrin	idem	idem
equivalent hadayavalthu between §§ 12 and 13.				Table 2: Cla		ń ba	a -	D 180b7	0 180b7
	<ul> <li><sup>7</sup> Also at Q 91b1, 93b8.</li> <li><sup>8</sup> Also at Q 91a6, 93a8, 93b8.</li> <li><sup>9</sup> pp. 41-44); Mvy 793, 949, (Yamaguchi, p. 41), also bha Sav and the Path place vathba</li> </ul>	Dios po = vastu, padāriha, bhāva etc.; Hirakawa et al. p. 50. N va (Hirakawa et al., p. 49). Note ti rūpa here as § 25, the Visuddhimag	to $bo = r\bar{u}pa$ hat while both		Vatthu-rūpa	las kyis kun tu bsla	Q 91a5, D 179b1 kamma-samuṭṭhān arisen from kamma	<i>phra mo</i> , Q 93a3, ] <i>sukhuma</i> subtle	phyi rol, Q 93a4, D bahiddhā outer
			iid).		A.	(		2)	3)

210		Peter Skill	ing
idem	rnam par phye ba, Q 93b2, D 181a4 *vibhatta to be distinguished (?)	idem	mtshan ñid kyl gzugs, Q 94a2, D 181b3 lakkhana-rūpa characterising form
idem .	ma zin pa, Q 93a8, D 181a3 anupādiņņa ungrasped	idem	idem
<ol> <li>dbañ po min, Q 93a6, D 181a2 anindriya non-faculty</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>zin pa, Q 93a8, D 181a3</li> <li>upādiņņa</li> <li>grasped</li> </ol>	6) bstan du med pa thogs pa med pa Q 93b5, D 181a7 anidassana-appaitgha jivisible and non-obstructive	rai bžin gyi gzugs, Q 93b7, D 181b1 idem sabhāva-rūpa intrinsic form
4)	5)	ଡ଼	P

PĂLI LEXICOGRAPHICAL STUDIES XII<sup>1</sup>

134/6

# TEN PĀLI ETYMOLOGIES

Here is another random group of words which are either omitted from PED,  $^2$  or given an incorrect meaning or etymology there, or misunderstood by translators.

(a)pi; emphatic particle
 abhijāna "knowledge"
 assa = yassa
 kaācana "golden"
 kaācana depiccha" golden two-winged one"
 khuidā "bee", khuida(ka) "honey"
 jei vocative particle
 dhoreyya "foremost"
 bārasa "twelve"
 sadhāyamānarūpa "abusive"

1. (a)pi: emphatic particle

We find at D III 203,22 the sentence api ssu nam mārisa amanussā rittam pi pattam sīse nikkujjeyyum, which is translated by Rhys Davids

 <sup>1</sup> See K.R. Norman, "Päll Lexicographical Studies XI", in JPTS XVIII, 1993, pp. 149-64.
 <sup>2</sup> Abbreviations of the titles of Päli texts are as in the Epilegomena to

<sup>4</sup> Abbreviations of the titles of Päli texts are as in the Epilegomena to V. Trencher, A Critical Päli Dictionary, Vol. 1, Copenhagen 1924-48 (c CPD). In addition: BHS(D) = Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit (Dictionary); CP I, II, III, IV = K.R. Norman, Collected Papers, Vols. I, II, III, IV, PTS 1990, 1991, 1992, 1993; MW = M. Monier-Williams, Sanskrit-English Dictionary, Oxford 1899; PTS = Pail Text Society; PED = PTS Pail: Faglish Dictionary, PTC = Päli Tipikatar, Concordance; AMg = Ardha-Mägadh; PRt = Pakkrit; Stt = Sanskrit; GDhp = Gändhär Dharmapada; B<sup>\*</sup> = Burnese edition; C<sup>\*</sup> = Sinhalese edition; B<sup>\*</sup> = European edition; clyfolices = commentary commentaries.

Journal of the Pali Text Society, Vol. XX, 1994, pp. 211-30